company operates as the same business entity as the former company, the Department will accord the new company the same antidumping duty treatment as its predecessor.

Taking each condition in order, we begin with management. Ivaco reported that the key management personnel of both Ivaco Rolling Mills L.P. and Sivaco Ontario are identical to the management of each company after the acquisition. *See* Supplemental Questionnaire Response of Ivaco, at 1 and Appendices 1 and 2 (November 20, 2006). We find that the management structure has remained unchanged.

Second, we looked at the production facilities for subject merchandise. Ivaco explained that there have been no material changes to its operations or the way it produces or sells subject merchandise after the acquisition. *See* Section A Response, at Volume 1, page A–10 (January 12, 2006). We find that Ivaco's productions facilities have not changed as a result of the acquisition.

Third, we reviewed the supplier relationships before and after the change in ownership. Ivaco provided Ivaco Rolling Mills L.P.'s accounts payable records of its top 50 suppliers for the three month period leading up to the acquisition and the three month period immediately following the acquisition. Based on a comparison of these supplier lists, we determine that the vast majority of the suppliers are the same. Ivaco explained that the few supplier changes that did occur simply reflected changes in suppliers that take place in the normal course of business. Ivaco also provided Sivaco Ontario's accounts payable records of its top 10 suppliers for the same time periods. In this case, the suppliers are almost identical. *See* Supplemental Questionnaire Response of Ivaco, at 1–2 and Appendices 3 and 4 (November 20, 2006).

Fourth, we reviewed the customer base and find that the customer base is almost identical for both companies before and after the acquisition. Ivaco explained that the small changes that did occur in the customer base happened in the normal course of business. *See* Supplemental Questionnaire Response of Ivaco, at 2–3 and Appendices 5–7 (November 20, 2006).

In addition, we requested information about Ivaco's marketing and sales of products before and after the acquisition. Ivaco provided the distribution process and sales process from the 2003–2004 review, as well as the 2004–2005 review. We found that the processes remained unchanged. *See* Supplemental Questionnaire Response of Ivaco, at 3 and Appendix 8 (November 20, 2006). Further, Ivaco noted that products and services continue to be marketed under the Ivaco name because the Ivaco name was among the assets purchased by the entity. *See* Supplemental Questionnaire Response of Ivaco, at Volume 1, page 2 (July 7, 2006).

In summary, Ivaco reported that its acquisition did not meaningfully affect the production facilities, supplier relationships, customer base, management, marketing or sale of products and services by Ivaco Rolling Mills 2004 L.P. or Sivaco Wire Group 2004 L.P. Moreover, there have been no material changes to Ivaco's operations or the way it produces and sells subject merchandise resulting from the acquisition.

Based on Ivaco's evidence of the change in ownership and absent any other record evidence that would contradict Ivaco's statements, we preliminarily determine that Ivaco Rolling Mills 2004 L.P., and Sivaco Wire Group 2004 L.P. are the successor–in-interest to Ivaco Rolling Mills L.P. and Ivaco Inc. As a result, Ivaco Rolling Mills 2004 L.P., and Sivaco Ontario, a division of Sivaco Wire Group 2004 L.P., (collectively ''Ivaco'') should receive the same antidumping duty treatment with respect to carbon and certain alloy steel wire rod from Canada as Ivaco Rolling Mills L.P. and Ivaco Inc., respectively.

If the above preliminary results are affirmed in the Department's final results, the cash deposit rate most recently calculated for Ivaco Rolling Mills L.P. and Ivaco Inc. will apply to all entries of subject merchandise by Ivaco Rolling Mills 2004 L.P., and Sivaco Ontario, a division of Sivaco Wire Group 2004 L.P., entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of this changed circumstances review. *See, e.g., Granular Polytetraflouroethylene Resin from Italy; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review*, 68 FR 25327 (May 12, 2003). This deposit rate shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review in which Ivaco participates.

Public Comment

Any interested party may request a hearing within 30 days of publication of this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.310(c). Interested parties may submit case briefs no later than 30 days after the date of publication of this notice, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(ii). Rebuttal briefs, which must be limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed no later than 5 days after the case briefs, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.309(d)(1). Any hearing, if requested, will be held two days after rebuttal briefs are due, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.310(d)(1).

The Department will issue its final results of review within 270 days after the date on which the changed circumstances review is initiated, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.216(e), and will publish these results in the **Federal Register**.

The current requirement for a cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties on all subject merchandise will continue unless and until it is modified pursuant to the final results of this changed circumstances review.

This notice is published in accordance with sections 751(b)(1) and 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.216 of the Department's regulations.

Dated: December 6, 2006.

David M. Spooner,

Assistant Secretaryfor Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E6–21315 Filed 12–13–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

(A–428–816)

Certain Cut–to-Length Carbon Steel Plate from Germany: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On September 11, 2006, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the antidumping (AD) administrative review on certain cut–to-length carbon steel plate (CTL Plate) from Germany. The period of review (POR) is August 1, 2004, through July 31, 2005. *See Certain Cut–to-Length Carbon Steel Plate from Germany: Notice of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 71 FR 53382 (September 11, 2006) (*Preliminary Results*). This review covers AG der Dillinger Huttenwerke, manufacturer of the subject merchandise, and its U.S. affiliate, Arcelor International America, LLC (AIA) (collectively, Dillinger).

Though Dillinger submitted comments, they did not warrant reconsideration of our preliminary results; therefore, our final results remain unchanged from our preliminary results. The final results are listed in the section *Final Results of Review* below.

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 14, 2006

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stephanie Moore or Dennis McClure, AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3692 or (202) 482–5973, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On September 11, 2006, the Department published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the AD order on CTL Plate from Germany. *See Preliminary Results*, 71 FR 53382. This review covers imports of CTL Plate from Dillinger during the POR, August 1, 2004, through July 31, 2005. We invited interested parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*.

On October 11, 2006, Dillinger commented that the Department should not make any changes to its *Preliminary Results*, and that the Department should continue to calculate a *de minimis* margin in the final. Alternatively, Dillinger stated that if the Department does make any adjustments that would increase the dumping margin above *de minimis*, then the Department should consider a list of suggestions or issues that Dillinger set forth. The petitioners did not comment on the *Preliminary Results*. Because the Department is not changing its preliminary results, we have not addressed Dillinger's alternative suggestions.

Scope of the Order

This order covers hot–rolled carbon steel universal mill plates (*i.e.*, flat–rolled products rolled on four faces or in a closed box pass, of a width exceeding 150 millimeters but not exceeding 1,250 millimeters and of a thickness of not less than 4 millimeters, not in coils and without patterns in relief), of rectangular shape, neither clad, plated, nor coated with metal, whether or not painted, varnished, or coated with plastics or other nonmetallic substances; and certain hot–rolled carbon steel flat–rolled products in straight lengths, of rectangular shape, hot rolled, neither clad, plated, nor coated with metal, whether or not painted, varnished, or coated with plastics or other nonmetallic substances, 4.75 millimeters or more in thickness and of a width which exceeds 150 millimeters and measures at least twice the thickness, as currently classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) under item numbers 7208.40.3030, 7208.40.3060, 7208.51.0030, 7208.51.0045, 7208.51.0060, 7208.52.0000, 7208.53.0000, 7208.90.0000, 7210.70.3000, 7210.90.9000, 7211.13.0000, 7211.14.0030, 7211.14.0045, 7211.90.0000, 7212.40.1000, 7212.40.5000, 7212.50.0000. Included in the order are flat–rolled products of non–rectangular cross-section where such cross-section is achieved subsequent to the rolling process (*i.e.*, products which have been ''worked after rolling'') for example, products which have been beveled or rounded at the edges. Excluded from this order is grade X–70 plate. Also excluded is certain carbon cut–to-length steel plate with a maximum thickness of 80 mm in steel grades BS 7191, 355 EM, and 355 EMZ, as amended by Sable Offshore Energy Project specification XB MOO Y 15 0001 types 1 and 2.

These HTSUS item numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written descriptions remain dispositive.

Final Results of Review

As noted above, there have been no changes from the *Preliminary Results*, therefore, we are not attaching a Decision Memorandum to this **Federal Register** notice. For further details of the issues addressed in this proceeding, see the *Preliminary Results*.

As a result of this review, we find that the following weighted–average dumping margin exists:

Producer/Manufacturer	Weighted–Average Margin
Dillinger	0.16% (*i.e.*, *de minimis*)

Assessment Rates

The Department will determine, and Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries, pursuant to section 751(a)(1)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) and 19 CFR 351.212(b). The Department calculated importer–specific duty assessment rates on the basis of the ratio of the total antidumping duties calculated for the examined sales to the total entered value of the examined sales for that importer. Where the assessment rate is above *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to assess duties on all entries of subject merchandise by that importer. The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of these final results of review.

The Department clarified its ''automatic assessment'' regulation on May 6, 2003 (68 FR 23954). This clarification will apply to entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by Dillinger where Dillinger did not know its merchandise was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all–others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction. For a full discussion of this clarification, *see* Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

Cash Deposit Requirements

Furthermore, the following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of CTL Plate from Germany entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of these final results, as provided by section 751(a) of the Act: (1) for the company covered by this review, the cash deposit rate will be zero; (2) for merchandise exported by producers or exporters not covered in this review, but covered in the investigation, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company–specific rate established in the final determination; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review or the less–than-fair–value investigation, but the producer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the producer of the subject merchandise for the most recent period; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the producer is a firm covered in this review or the less–than-fair–value investigation, the cash deposit rate will be 36.00 percent, the ''All Others'' rate established in the less–than-fair–value investigation. *See Antidumping Duty Orders and Amendments to Final Determinations of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Hot–Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products, Certain Cold–Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products, Certain Corrosion–Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products, and Certain Cut–to-Length Carbon Steel Plate From Germany*, 58 FR 44170 (August 19, 1993). These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

Reimbursement of Duties

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402 (f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries

during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the presumption that reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties occurred and the subsequent increase in antidumping duties by the amount of antidumping and/or countervailing duties reimbursed.

Administrative Protective Orders

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APOs) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation that is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing these results and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: December 7, 2006.

David M. Spooner,

Assistant Secretaryfor Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E6–21326 Filed 12–13–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Notice of Creation of U.S.–Iraq Business Dialogue

AGENCY: International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Amendment to Prior Notice.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce and the Iraqi Ministry of Trade have established the U.S.–Iraq Business Dialogue (Business Dialogue or Dialogue). This notice announces an amendment extending the deadline for applications for American representatives to join the U.S. section of the Dialogue.

DATES: Applications must be received no later than December 28, 2006.

ADDRESSES: Please send requests for consideration to Ms. Susan Hamrock, Director, Iraq Investment and Reconstruction Task Force, U.S. Department of Commerce, either by fax on 202–482–0980 or by mail to U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Mail Stop 3868, Washington, DC 20230.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Aaron Kleiner, Office of the Middle East, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room 2029–B, Washington, DC 20230. Phone: 202–482–2680.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On October 30, 2006, the International Trade Administration of the U.S. Department of Commerce published a **Federal Register** notice soliciting applications from U.S. persons interested in serving as members of the U.S. Section of the U.S.–Iraq Business Dialogue. *See* 71 FR 63286. The International Trade Administration of the U.S. Department of Commerce is amending the previous notice due to the level of interest in the Dialogue and to attract applicants from a more diverse geographic base, including applicants located in the Middle East. Applicants must meet the requirements put forward in the previous notice. *See* 71 FR 63286.

Dated: December 11, 2006.

Susan Hamrock,

Director, Iraq Investment and Reconstruction Task Force.

[FR Doc. E6–21288 Filed 12–13–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DA–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

Availability of Seats for the Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary Advisory Council

AGENCY: National Marine Sanctuary Program (NMSP), National Ocean Service (NOS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Department of Commerce (DOC).

ACTION: Notice and request for applications.

SUMMARY: The Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary (FKNMS)) is seeking applications for the following vacant seats on its Sanctuary Advisory Council (council): Boating, Citizen-at-Large (upper Keys), Diving (alternate), Citizen-at-Large (middle Keys, alternate), Conservaiton & Environment (alternate), Recreational Fishing, Recreational Fishing (alternate), Research & Monitoring (alternate), Elected Official (alternate), Charter Fishing (sports). Applicants are chosen based upon their particular expertise and experience in relation to the seat for which they are applying; community and professional affiliations; philosophy regarding the protection and management of marine resources; and possibly the length of residence in the area affected by the sanctuary. Applicants who are chosen as members should expect to serve three-year terms, pursuant to the Council's charter.

DATES: Applications are due by January 12, 2007.

ADDRESSES: Application kits may be obtained from the Advisory Council Coordinator at *Fiona.Wilmot@noaa.gov,* from the Web site at *www.floridakeys.noaa.gov,* by telephone at (305)–395–0194 or in writing at 2513 Granada Circle East, St. Petersburg, FL 33712 or any of the FKNMS offices listed on the Web site. Completed applications should be sent to the same address listed above.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Fiona Wilmot at the above address, e-mail and telephone number.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Information concerning the council, including past meeting minutes and member contact information can be found at the sanctuary Web site.

Authority: 16 U.S.C. Sections 1431, *et seq.*

(Federal Domestic Assistance Catalog Number 11.429 Marine Sanctuary Program)

Dated: December 7, 2006.

Daniel J. Basta,

Director, National Marine Sanctuary Program, National Ocean Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.

[FR Doc. 06–9675 Filed 12–13–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–NK–M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

[I.D. 121106A]

Magnuson-Stevens Act Provisions; General Provisions for Domestic Fisheries; Application for Exempted Fishing Permits

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice; receipt of exempted fishing permit application.

SUMMARY: The Assistant Regional Administrator for Sustainable Fisheries, Northeast Region, NMFS (Assistant Regional Administrator) has made a preliminary determination that the subject exempted fishing permit (EFP) application contains all the required information and warrants further consideration. The Assistant Regional Administrator has also made a preliminary determination that the activities authorized under the EFP would be consistent with the goals and objectives of the Northeast (NE)