PCG will recruit firms over the phone using lists obtained from third party vendors. Data collection will be conducted during eight phone interviews (8 participants per focus group) by a client focus group moderator who will record the interviews and then transcribe via computer. All comments from participants will be anonymous. Data collected from focus groups will provide qualitative information that will later serve to supplement the quantitative data collected in subsequent surveys and form a complete picture of the needs, attitudes, and behaviors of a moderate exporter. Subsequently, mass surveys yielding at least 1600 survey responses will seek to collect quantitative data.

III. Data

OMB Number: 0625–xxxx.

Form Number: ITA–xxxx.

Type of Review: Regular Submission.

Affected Public: U.S. companies that are recruited by Pacific Consulting Group.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 64.

Estimated Time Per Response: 1.5 hours for focus group participants.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 96 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Costs: $3,360.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and costs) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or forms of information technology. Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: December 11, 2006.

Madeleine Clayton,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. E6–21319 Filed 12–14–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–FP–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

A–570–848

Notice of Extension of the Preliminary Results of New Shipper Antidumping Duty Reviews: Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat from the People's Republic of China

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (''Department'') is conducting new shipper antidumping duty reviews of freshwater crawfish tail meat from the People's Republic of China (''PRC'') in response to requests by Nanjing Merry Trading Co., Ltd. (''Nanjing Merry''), Leping Lotai Foods Co., Ltd. (''Leping Lotai''), Weishan Hongrun Aquatic Co., Ltd. (''Weishan Hongrun''), and Shanghai Strong International Trading Co., Ltd. (''Shanghai Strong''). These reviews cover shipments to the United States for the period September 1, 2005, to February 28, 2006, by these four respondents. For the reasons discussed below, we are further extending the preliminary results of the new shipper reviews of Nanjing Merry, Leping Lotai, Weishan Hongrun, and Shanghai Strong by an additional 30 days, to no later than February 22, 2007.

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 15, 2006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Erin Begnal or Scot Fullerton, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–1442 and (202) 482–1386, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Department received timely requests from Nanjing Merry, Leping Lotai, Weishan Hongrun, and Shanghai Strong in accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(c) for new shipper reviews of the antidumping duty order on freshwater crawfish tail meat from the PRC. On May 5, 2006, the Department found that the requests for review with respect to Nanjing Merry, Leping Lotai, and Weishan Hongrun met all of the regulatory requirements set forth in 19 CFR 351.214(b) and initiated these new shipper antidumping duty reviews covering the period September 1, 2005, through February 28, 2006. *See Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat From the People's Republic of China: Initiation of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Reviews*, 71 FR 26453 (May 5, 2006).

On May 31, 2006, the Department found that the request for review with respect to Shanghai Strong met all of the regulatory requirements set forth in 19 CFR 351.214(b) and initiated a new shipper antidumping duty review covering the period September 1, 2005, through February 28, 2006. *See Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat From the People's Republic of China: Initiation of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review*, 71 FR 30866 (May 31, 2006).

Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary Results

Section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.214(i)(1) require the Department to issue the preliminary results of a new shipper review within 180 days after the date on which the new shipper review was initiated and final results of a review within 90 days after the date on which the preliminary results were issued. The Department may, however, extend the deadline for completion of the preliminary results of a new shipper review to 300 days if it determines that the case is extraordinarily complicated (19 CFR 351.214 (i)(2)).

The Department already determined that the reviews are extraordinarily complicated in its initial notice extending the deadline for the preliminary results of these reviews where it extended the deadline for the preliminary results until January 23, 2007. *See Notice of Extension of the Preliminary Results of New Shipper Antidumping Duty Reviews: Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat from the People's Republic of China*, 71 FR 59738 (October 11, 2006). The Department, however, finds that it requires additional information from respondents for purposes of the preliminary results. Based on the timing of the case and the additional information that must be gathered and verified, the preliminary results of these new shipper reviews cannot be completed within the statutory time limit of 180 days. Accordingly, the Department is further extending the time limit for the completion of the preliminary results of the new shipper reviews of Nanjing Merry, Leping Lotai, Weishan Hongrun, and Shanghai Strong by 30 days from the January 23, 2007 deadline.

The preliminary results for all four new shipper reviews will now be due February 22, 2007 in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(i)(2). The final results will, in turn, be due 90 days after the date of issuance of the preliminary results, unless extended.

This notice is published pursuant to sections 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: December 8, 2006.

Stephen J. Claeys,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E6–21442 Filed 12–14–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

A–570–827

Notice of Amended Final Results in Accordance With Court Decision: Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Sebacic Acid from the People's Republic of China

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On September 18, 2006, the United States Court of International Trade (''CIT'') affirmed the redetermination of the Department of Commerce (''the Department'') in the antidumping duty (''AD'') administrative review of sebacic acid from the People's Republic of China (''PRC''). *See Guangdong Chemicals Import and Export Corporation v. the United States*, Court No. 05–00023; Slip–Op 06–142 (CIT 2006); *see also Department's Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Court Order: Guangdong Chemicals Import and Export Corporation v. United States* (dated May 3, 2006). As there is now a final and conclusive court decision in this case, the Department is amending the final results of this administrative review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 15, 2006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jennifer Moats at (202) 482–5047, AD/CVD Operations, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On December 16, 2004, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the final results of the 2002–2003 administrative review of the antidumping duty order on sebacic acid from the PRC. *See Sebacic Acid From the People's Republic of China: Final Results Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 69 FR 75303 (''*Final Results*''). In that review, the Department relied on Monthly Statistics of the Foreign Trade of India (MSFTI) for the period of review (''POR'') to value sebacic acid, a surrogate value used to allocate the respondent's reported inputs between the production of the subject merchandise, sebacic acid, and non–subject merchandise. Additionally, in that review the Department deducted the by–product offset from normal value.

The respondent in the 2002–2003 administrative review of the antidumping duty order on sebacic acid from the PRC filed a complaint with the CIT contesting both the surrogate value assigned to sebacic acid and the location of the deduction of the by–product offset in the *Final Results*. The Department filed a motion with the CIT for a voluntary remand with respect to the application of the by–product offset. On January 25, 2006, the CIT remanded this case to the Department to re–evaluate the surrogate value selected to value sebacic acid and granted the Department's request for a voluntary remand with respect to the application of the by–product offset. On May 3, 2006, the Department issued its final results of remand redetermination on the surrogate value selected to value sebacic acid and its voluntary redetermination to further explain its application of the by–product offset.

In its redetermination, the Department concluded that it was appropriate to value sebacic acid using MSFTI data after removing aberrational amounts (''MSFTI data''), rather than the Chemical Weekly ChemImpEx database (''ChemImpEx'') as suggested by the respondent. The Department reached this conclusion because, unlike the ChemImpEx data, the MSFTI data is official government data and had a greater variety of data points. On September 18, 2006, the CIT affirmed the Department's remand redetermination. *See Guangdong Chemicals Import & Export Corporation v. United States*, Ct. No. 05–00023 Slip Op. 06–142 (September 18, 2006). Consistent with the decision of the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit in *Timken Company v. United States and China National Machinery and Equipment Import and Export Corporation*, 893 F. 2d 337 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (''Timken''), on October 4, 2006, the Department published a notice announcing that the CIT's decision was not in harmony with the Department's determination in the 2002–2003 administrative review of the antidumping duty order on sebacic acid from the PRC. No party appealed the CIT's decision. Therefore, there is now a final and conclusive court decision in this case.

Amended Final Results of Review

As the litigation in this case has concluded, the Department is amending the Final Results. The dumping margins in the amended final results of review are as follows:

Exporter/Manufacturer	Margin (percent)
Guangdong Chemicals Import and Export Corporation	19.82

The PRC–wide rate continues to be 243.40 percent.

Assessment

The Department intends to issue assessment instruction to U.S. Customs and Border Protection 15 days after the date of publication of these amended final results of review.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended.

Dated: December 8, 2006.

David M. Spooner,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E6–21439 Filed 12–14–06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–469–805]

Correction to Notice of Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Stainless Steel Bar From Spain

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce

DATES: *Effective Date:* December 15, 2006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dmitry Vladimirov or Minoo Hatten, AD/CVD Operations, Office 5, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0665 and (202) 482–1690, respectively.

Correction

On December 1, 2006, the Department of Commerce published a notice of extension of time limit for the preliminary results of the antidumping duty administrative review of the order on stainless steel bar from Spain for the period March 1, 2005, through February