21. City of Hollywood, Hollywood City Hall, 2600 Hollywood Boulevard, Hollywood, FL 33020 (*Telephone:* 954–921–3473).

22. City of Dania Beach, Dania Beach City Hall, 100 W. Dania Beach Boulevard, Dania Beach, FL 33004 (*Telephone:* 954–924–3600).

23. Town of Davie, Davie Town Hall, 6591 SW., 45th Street, Davie, FL 33314 (*Telephone:* 954–797–1000).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FAA encourages all interested parties to provide comments concerning the scope and content of the Draft EIS. Comments should be as specific as possible. Comments should address the contents of the Draft EIS, such as the analysis of potential environmental impacts, the adequacy of the proposed action to meet the stated need, or the merits of the various alternatives. Reviewers should organize their participation to make it meaningful and effective in making the FAA aware of the viewer's interests and concerns. Reviewers should use quotations, page references, and other specific citations to the text of the Draft EIS and related documents. This commenting procedure is intended to ensure that substantive comments and concerns are made available to the FAA in a timely and effective manner, so that the FAA has an opportunity to address them.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Virginia Lane, FAA Orlando Airports District Office, 5950 Hazeltine National Drive, Orlando, Florida 32822–5024. *Telephone:* (407) 812–6331, *Fax:* (407) 812–6978.

Issued in Orlando, Florida, on March 21, 2007.

W. Dean Stringer,

Manager, FAA Orlando Airports District Office.

[FR Doc. 07–1523 Filed 3–29–07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Highway Administration

Notice To Rescind a Notice of Intent To Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS): State Route 357 From Existing State Route 357 West of the Tri-Cities Airport to the U.S. 11E/19E U.S. 19E Intersection Near Bluff City, Sullivan County, TN

AGENCY: Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of Intent.

SUMMARY: The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) is issuing this notice to advise the public that the Notice of Intent published on December 7, 2005 to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed extension of State Route 357 in Sullivan County, Tennessee, is being rescinded.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Karen M. Brunelle, Planning and Program Management Team Leader, Federal Highway Administration—Tennessee Division Office, 640 Grassmere Park Road, Suite 112, Nashville, TN 37211. 615–781–5772.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FHWA, in cooperation with the Tennessee Department of Transportation, is rescinding the notice of intent to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) on a proposal to provide an extension to State Route 357 in Sullivan County, Tennessee. The proposed project was to involve extending State Route 357 from existing State Route 357 west of the Tri-Cities Airport to the U.S. 11E/19E-U.S. 19E intersection near Bluff City, Tennessee.

The project was proposed to provide for existing and projected traffic demand on the surrounding transportation network. After nearly two years of public involvement, it became clear that there was not an urgent need for the extension to State Route 357. An extensive Context Sensitive Solution process with a local citizen team did not identify an immediate need for the extension project. The State Route 357 Extension project is not currently included as a priority project by either the Kingsport Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) or the First Tennessee Regional Planning Organization (RPO).

To ensure that the full range of issues related to this proposed action is identified and taken into account, comments and suggestions are invited from all interested parties. Comments and questions concerning the proposed action should be directed to the FHWA contact person identified above at the address provided above.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Number 20.205, Highway Planning and Construction. The regulations implementing Executive Order 12372 regarding intergovernmental consultation on Federal programs and activities apply to this proposed program.)

Issued on: March 26, 2007.

Karen M. Brunelle,

Planning and Program Management Team Leader, Nashville, TN.

[FR Doc. E7–5891 Filed 3–29–07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–22–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Transit Administration

[Docket No: FTA–2007–23697]

Public-Private Partnership Pilot Program

AGENCY: Federal Transit Administration (FTA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of extension of date by which FTA shall respond to public comments on the establishment and implementation of the Public-Private Partnership Pilot Program.

SUMMARY: FTA is extending the date by which it indicated it would respond to comments received on the establishment and implementation of the Public-Private Partnership Pilot Program.

DATES: FTA will respond to comments received on the establishment and implementation of the Public-Private Partnership Pilot Program no later than April 30, 2007.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David B. Horner, Esq., Chief Counsel, Federal Transit Administration, U.S. Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590–0001, (202) 366–4040, *david.horner@dot.gov.* Office hours are from 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On March 22, 2006, FTA issued a notice soliciting comments and expressions of preliminary interest with respect to the Secretary of Transportation's establishment and implementation of FTA's Public-Private Partnership Pilot Program (the Pilot Program) (71 FR 14568). FTA received comments from nineteen parties in response to this notice. On January 19, 2007, FTA issued a Notice of Establishment of Public-Private Partnership Pilot Program, which set forth the definitive terms of the Pilot Program and invited interested parties to submit applications to the Pilot Program by March 31, 2007 (72 FR 2583). In this notice, FTA indicated that it would, by separate notice, summarize and respond to comments on the March 22, 2006 notice no later than March 31, 2007.

The volume of work underway within FTA has prevented publication of response to comments by the date previously indicated. Therefore, FTA intends to summarize and respond to comments on the March 22, 2006 notice no later than April 30, 2007.

This notice does not affect the application deadlines to the Pilot Program. Therefore, to be considered in

FTA's first quarterly review of applications to the Pilot Program, applications must be received by FTA on or before March 31, 2007. Applications received by FTA between March 31, 2007, and July 1, 2007, will be reviewed in FTA's second quarterly review of applications to the Pilot Program.

Issued in Washington, DC, this 26th day of March 2007.

James S. Simpson,

Administrator, Federal Transit Administration.

[FR Doc. E7–5880 Filed 3–29–07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–57–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration

[Docket No. PHMSA–2006–26275]

Receipt of Petition for Rulemaking Classification of Polyurethane Foam and Certain Finished Products Containing Polyurethane Foam as Hazardous Materials

AGENCY: Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This Notice solicits comments on the merits of a petition for rulemaking filed by the National Association of State Fire Marshals (NASFM). The NASFM petitioned PHMSA to classify Polyurethane Foam and certain finished products containing Polyurethane Foam (PU) as hazardous materials in transportation in commerce, as a matter of safety for emergency responders and the public.

DATES: Comments must be received by June 28, 2007.

ADDRESSES: *Written comments:* You may submit comments on this Notice identified by the docket number (PHMSA–2006–26275) by any of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal: http://www.regulations.gov.* Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
- *Web site: http://dms.dot.gov.* Follow the instructions for submitting comments on the DOT electronic docket site.
- *Fax:* 1–202–493–2251.
- *Mail:* Docket Management System, U.S. Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Nassif Building, PL–402, Washington, DC 20590–0001.
- *Hand Delivery:* PL–402 on the Plaza level of the Nassif Building, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m. Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

Instructions: All submissions must include the agency name and docket number for this notice. Internet users may access comments received by DOT at *http://dms.dot.gov.* Note that comments received may be posted without change to *http://dms.dot.gov* including any personal information provided. If you believe your comments contain trade secrets or confidential commercial information, those comments or relevant portions of those comments should be appropriately marked. PHMSA procedures in 49 CFR part 105 establish a mechanism by which commenters may request confidentiality.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Helen Engrum or Susan Gorsky, Office of Hazardous Materials Standards (202) 366–8553, Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration, U.S. Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590–0001.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

In a letter dated October 31, 2006, the National Association of State Fire Marshals (NASFM) submitted a petition for rulemaking to the U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT) through the Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA) under the provisions of 49 CFR 106.31. The NASFM requested that the Hazardous Materials Regulations (HMR; 49 CFR parts 171–180) be amended to classify Polyurethane (PU) Foam and certain finished products containing PU as a hazardous material for purposes of transportation in commerce. The NASFM is made up of senior-level public safety officials from the 50 States and the District of Columbia. The NASFM petition was received and acknowledged by PHMSA and assigned petition number P–1491; Docket No. PHMSA–2006–26275.

Issuance of this Notice does not constitute a decision by PHMSA to undertake a rulemaking action on the substance of the petition. This Notice is issued solely to obtain comments on the merits of the petition to assist PHMSA in making a decision of whether to proceed with a rulemaking. Of particular interest are substantive comments that address the following items: (1) Estimated incremental costs or savings; (2) Anticipated safety benefits; (3) Estimated burden hours associated with the proposals related to information collection; (4) Impact on small businesses; and (5) Impact on the national environment.

II. Petition P–1491 Is Quoted as Follows

As a matter of safety for emergency responders and the public, the National Association of Fire Marshals petitions the U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT), through the Pipeline & Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA), to classify polyurethane (PU) foam and certain finished products containing it as a hazardous material for purposes of transportation. NASFM consists of senior-level public safety officials from the 50 states and District of Columbia.

The petitioners regard this proposal as critical to the safety of emergency responders and the public they are sworn to protect. The safety of emergency responders begins with information—at minimum, responders have the absolute right to know when they are dealing with hazardous materials, so they may take special precautions at incidents. The petitioners' interest extends to ensuring that hazardous materials are used, stored and transported in safe ways. Regulations exist across agencies that regulate the use and storage of PU foam, but a gap exists in ensuring the safe transportation of this hazardous material. Because it is not officially classified as a hazardous material for purposes of transportation, the safety of emergency responders and the public is compromised.

The U.S. Department of Transportation's system of hazardous materials transportation placarding is critical to the safety of emergency responders and the public. Placards typically are the one source of information immediately available to responders as they determine the safest and most efficient means of suppressing fires and of rescuing persons trapped in vehicles. Placards provide information essential to knowing how fast a fire might spread, how difficult it might be to suppress, and how large and dangerous it may become.

When hazardous materials are not properly placarded, the consequences to emergency responders could be injury or death. Obviously some shippers and transporters choose to violate the law by failing to properly placard when placarding is required. However, the DOT does not require placarding with some well-recognized hazardous materials. Such is the case with most grades of rigid and flexible PU foam and many of the finished products containing this highly flammable solid.

PU foam, whether in bulk shipments or in finished products, is explicitly listed and controlled as a hazardous material in all phases of manufacturing, construction and more recently, consumer applications. As such, records pertaining to the hazardous nature of PU foam already are kept and reports are routinely issued by the producers of these materials. Ironically, when the risks are least manageable—in transportation—PU foam is not officially considered hazardous. This petition aims to correct this inadvertent oversight.

Whether experienced in the real world or observed under scientific conditions, PU foam is a hazardous material. A significant