

Analysis—Level of Information and Reliability Estimation.” This action is necessary to correct typographical errors.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION, CONTACT: Jon Chen, Project Manager, Division of High-Level Waste Repository Safety, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001 [Telephone: (301) 415–5526; fax number: (301) 415–5399; e-mail: jcc2@nrc.gov.]

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On page 13534, in the middle column, in the first paragraph, last sentence, “waste at a geologic repository” is changed to “waste geologic repository.”

On page 13536, in the middle column, in the fourth complete paragraph, lines 4–5, “DOE should to consider” is changed to read “DOE should consider.”

On page 13536, in the middle column, in the fourth complete paragraph, lines 7–8, “DOE should to provide” is changed to read “DOE should provide.”

On page 13537, in the third column, in the eighth complete paragraph, lines 1–2, “Lines 445: Though 453: λ was changed to, to distinguish this quantity” is changed to “Lines 445 through 453: λ was changed to ρ to distinguish this quantity.”

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 11th day of April, 2007.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

N. King Stablein,

Chief Project-Management Branch B, Division of High-Level Repository Safety, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.

[FR Doc. E7–7373 Filed 4–17–07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

TRADE REPRESENTATIVE

[Docket No. WTO/DS–360]

WTO Dispute Settlement Proceeding Regarding India—Additional and Extra Additional Duties on Imports

AGENCY: Office of the United States Trade Representative.

ACTION: Notice; request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Office of the United States Trade Representative (USTR) is providing notice that on March 6, 2007, in accordance with the Marrakesh Agreement Establishing the World Trade Organization (WTO Agreement), the United States requested consultations regarding additional and extra additional duties India applies to imports from the United States. India applies these duties to products that

include, but are not limited to, imports of wines and distilled spirits. That request may be found at www.wto.org contained in a document designated as WT/DS360/1. USTR invites written comments from the public concerning the issues raised in this dispute.

DATES: Although USTR will accept any comments received during the course of the consultations, comments should be submitted on or before May 7, 2007 to be assured of timely consideration by USTR.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be submitted (i) electronically, to FR0706@ustr.eop.gov, with “India Alcohol Duties (DS360)” in the subject line, or (ii) by fax, to Sandy McKinzy at (202) 395–3640, with a confirmation copy sent electronically to the electronic mail address above, in accordance with the requirements for submission set out below.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Amy A. Karpel, Assistant General Counsel, Office of the United States Trade Representative, 600 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC, (202) 395–3150.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 127(b) of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA) (19 U.S.C. 3537(b)(1)) requires that notice and opportunity for comment be provided after the United States submits or receives a request for the establishment of a WTO dispute settlement panel. In an effort to provide additional opportunity for comment, USTR is providing notice that consultations have been requested pursuant to the WTO *Understanding on Rules and Procedures Governing the Settlement of Disputes* (“DSU”). If such consultations should fail to resolve the matter and a dispute settlement panel is established pursuant to the DSU, such panel, which would hold its meetings in Geneva, Switzerland, would be expected to issue a report on its findings and recommendations within nine months after it is established.

Major Issues Raised by the United States

On March 6, 2007, the United States requested consultations with India regarding additional and extra additional duties India applies to imports from the United States. India applies these duties to products that include, but are not limited to, imports of wines and distilled spirits. These duties appear to subject imports to ordinary customs duties or other duties or charges in excess of those in India’s WTO Tariff Schedule. These duties include the following, as well as any

amendments and related or implementing measures:

- Sections 2 and 3, and First Schedule, of the Customs Tariff Act, 1975; (“basic customs duty,” “additional duty” and “extra additional duty”).
- Section 12 of the Customs Act, 1962 (“basic customs duty”).
- Customs Notification No. 5/2004 (January 8, 2004) (“basic customs duty” *inter alia* on spirits);
- Customs Notification No. 20/1997 (March 1, 1997) (“basic customs duty” *inter alia* on wine);
- Customs Notification No. 32/2003 (March 1, 2003) (“additional duty” *inter alia* on wine and spirits); and
- Customs Notification No. 19/2006 (March 1, 2006) (“extra additional duty” *inter alia* on wine and spirits).

As a result of the duties, products from the United States do not appear to be exempt from ordinary customs duties or other charges in excess of those set forth in India’s WTO Tariff Schedule and appear to be accorded less favorable treatment than that provided for in India’s WTO Tariff Schedule. Even if these duties were considered to be internal taxes applied at the time of importation, the duties appear to subject imports from the United States to internal taxes in excess of those applied to like domestic products or directly competitive or substitutable domestic products

USTR believes these measures are inconsistent with India’s obligations under Article II:1(a) and (b), Articles III:2 and III:4 of the *General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade 1994*.

Public Comment: Requirements for Submissions

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments concerning the issues raised in the dispute. Comments should be submitted (i) electronically, to FR0706@ustr.eop.gov, with “India Alcohol Duties (DS360)” in the subject line, or (ii) by fax, to Sandy McKinzy at (202) 395–3640, with a confirmation copy sent electronically to the electronic mail address above.

USTR encourages the submission of documents in Adobe PDF format as attachments to an electronic mail. Interested persons who make submissions by electronic mail should not provide separate cover letters; information that might appear in a cover letter should be included in the submission itself. Similarly, to the extent possible, any attachments to the submission should be included in the same file as the submission itself, and not as separate files.

Comments must be in English. A person requesting that information contained in a comment submitted by that person be treated as confidential business information must certify that such information is business confidential and would not customarily be released to the public by the commenter. Confidential business information must be clearly designated as such and "Business Confidential" must be marked at the top and bottom of the cover page and each succeeding page. Persons who submit confidential business information are encouraged also to provide a non-confidential summary of the information.

Information or advice contained in a comment submitted, other than business confidential information, may be determined by USTR to be confidential in accordance with section 135(g)(2) of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2155(g)(2)). If the submitter believes that information or advice may qualify as such, the submitter—

(1) Must clearly so designate the information or advice;

(2) Must clearly mark the material as "Submitted In Confidence" at the top and bottom of the cover page and each succeeding page; and

(3) Is encouraged to provide a non-confidential summary of the information or advice.

Pursuant to section 127(e) of the URAA (19 U.S.C. 3537(e)), USTR will maintain a file on this dispute settlement proceeding, accessible to the public, in the USTR Reading Room, which is located at 1724 F Street, NW., Washington, DC 20508. The public file will include non-confidential comments received by USTR from the public with respect to the dispute; if a dispute settlement panel is convened or in the event of an appeal from such a panel, the U.S. submissions, the submissions, or non-confidential summaries of submissions, received from other participants in the dispute; the report of the panel; and, if applicable, the report of the Appellate Body. The USTR Reading Room is open to the public, by appointment only, from 10 a.m. to noon and 1 p.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday. An appointment to review the public file (Docket WTO/DS-360, India Alcohol Duties Dispute) may be made by calling the USTR Reading Room at (202) 395-6186.

Daniel Brinza,

Assistant United States Trade Representative for Monitoring and Enforcement.

[FR Doc. E7-7376 Filed 4-17-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3190-W7-P

OVERSEAS PRIVATE INVESTMENT CORPORATION

April 19, 2007 Public Hearing

OPIC's Sunshine Act notice of its Public Hearing in Conjunction with each Board meeting was published in the **Federal Register** (Volume 72, Number 59, Page 14627) on March 29, 2007. No requests were received to provide testimony or submit written statements for the record; therefore, OPIC's public hearing scheduled for 2 PM, April 19, 2007 in conjunction with OPIC's April 26, 2007 Board of Directors meeting has been cancelled.

Contact Person For Information: Information on the hearing cancellation may be obtained from Connie M. Downs at (202) 336-8438, via facsimile at (202) 218-0136, or via e-mail at cdown@opic.gov.

Dated: April 16, 2007.

Connie M. Downs,

OPIC Corporate Secretary.

[FR Doc. 07-1945 Filed 4-16-07; 1:53 pm]

BILLING CODE 3210-01-M

RAILROAD RETIREMENT BOARD

Proposed Collection; Comment Request

SUMMARY: In accordance with the requirement of Section 3506 (c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 which provides opportunity for public comment on new or revised data collections, the Railroad Retirement Board (RRB) will publish periodic summaries of proposed data collections.

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed information collection is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information has practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the RRB's estimate of the burden of the collection of the information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden related to the collection of information on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Title and purpose of information collection: Self-Employment and Substantial Service Questionnaire; OMB 3220-0138. Section 2 of the Railroad Retirement Act (RRA) provides for payment of annuities to qualified employees and their spouses. In order to receive an age and service annuity, Section 2(e)(3) states that an applicant must stop all railroad work and give up any rights to return to such work.

However, applicants are not required to stop non-railroad work or self-employment.

The RRB considers some work claimed as "self-employment" to actually be employment for an employer. Whether the RRB classifies a particular activity as self-employment or as work for an employer depends upon the circumstances of each case. These circumstances are prescribed in 20 CFR part 216.

Under the 1988 amendments to the RRA, an applicant is no longer required to stop work for a "Last Pre-Retirement Nonrailroad Employer" (LPE). However, section 2(f)(6) of the RRA requires that a portion of the employee's Tier II benefit and supplemental annuity be deducted for earnings from a "LPE" employer.

"LPE" is defined as the last person, company or institution with whom the employee or spouse applicant was employed concurrently with, or after, the applicant's last railroad employment and before their annuity beginning date. If a spouse never worked for a railroad, the LPE employer is the last person for whom he or she worked.

The RRB currently utilizes Form AA-4, Self-Employment and Substantial Service Questionnaire, when an applicant claims to be self-employed to obtain information needed to determine if the applicant's work is LPE, railroad service or self-employment. If the work is self-employment, the questionnaire identifies any months in which the applicant did not perform substantial service. One response is requested of each respondent. Completion is voluntary. However, failure to complete the form could result in the nonpayment of benefits.

The RRB proposes editorial and formatting changes to Form AA-4. Other non-burden impacting changes include dividing current items that currently contain multiple questions into separate items with Yes/No responses and skip patterns. Checklists have also been added to many items to obtain more standardized responses. Currently most items cite the possible options only as examples to prompt the applicant.

The completion time for the AA-4 is estimated at between 40 and 70 minutes. The RRB estimates that approximately 600 AA-4's are completed annually.

Additional Information or Comments: To request more information or to obtain a copy of the information collection justification, forms, and/or supporting material, please call the RRB Clearance Officer at (312) 751-3363 or send an e-mail request to