another **Federal Register** notice pursuant to 5 CFR 1320.5(a)(1)(iv) to announce the submission of the ICR to OMB and the opportunity to submit additional comments to OMB. If you have any questions about this ICR or the approval process, please contact the technical person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.**

Dated: August 27, 2007.

Matthew Hale,

Director, Office of Solid Waste.

[FR Doc. E7–17688 Filed 9–6–07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA–HQ–RCRA–2007–0418, FRL–8465–1]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed Collection; Comment Request; Identification, Listing and Rulemaking Petitions (Renewal); EPA ICR No. 1189.20, OMB Control No. 2050–0053

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et. seq.*), this document announces that EPA is planning to submit a request to renew an existing approved Information Collection Request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). This ICR is scheduled to expire on January 31, 2008. Before submitting the ICR to OMB for review and approval, EPA is soliciting comments on specific aspects of the proposed information collection as described below.

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before November 6, 2007.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA–HQ–RCRA–2007–0418, by one of the following methods:

- *http://www.regulations.gov:* Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.
- *E-mail: rcra-docket@epa.gov.*
- *Fax:* 202–566–9744.
- *Mail:* RCRA Docket (5305T), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460.
- *Hand Delivery:* 1301 Constitution Ave., NW., Room 3334, Washington, DC 20460. Such deliveries are only accepted during the Docket's normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information.

Instructions: Direct your comments to Docket ID No. EPA–HQ–RCRA–2007–0418. EPA's policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at *http://www.regulations.gov*, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI or otherwise protected through *http://www.regulations.gov* or e-mail. The *http://www.regulations.gov* Web site is an ''anonymous access'' system, which means EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send an e-mail comment directly to EPA without going through *http://www.regulations.gov* your e-mail address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the public docket and made available on the Internet. If you submit an electronic comment, EPA recommends that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your comment and with any disk or CD–ROM you submit. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters, any form of encryption, and be free of any defects or viruses. For additional information about EPA's public docket visit the EPA Docket Center homepage at *http://www.epa.gov/epahome/dockets.htm.*

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Chichang Chen, Office of Solid Waste, (5304P), Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460; telephone number: 703–308–0441; fax number: 703–308–0514; e-mail address: *chen.chichang@epa.gov.*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

How Can I Access the Docket and/or Submit Comments?

EPA has established a public docket for this ICR under Docket ID No. EPA–HQ–RCRA–2007–0418, which is available for online viewing at *http://www.regulations.gov*, or in person viewing at the RCRA Docket in the EPA Docket Center (EPA/DC), EPA West, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC. The EPA/DC Public Reading Room is open from 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Reading Room is 202–566–1744, and the telephone number for RCRA Docket is (202) 566–0270.

Use *http://www.regulations.gov* to obtain a copy of the draft collection of information, submit or view public comments, access the index listing of the contents of the docket, and to access those documents in the public docket that are available electronically. Once in the system, select ''search,'' then key in the docket ID number identified in this document.

What Information Is EPA Particularly Interested In?

Pursuant to section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the PRA, EPA specifically solicits comments and information to enable it to:

(i) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(ii) Evaluate the accuracy of the Agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(iii) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(iv) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses. In particular, EPA is requesting comments from very small businesses (those that employ less than 25) on examples of specific additional efforts that EPA could make to reduce the paperwork burden for very small businesses affected by this collection.

What Should I Consider When I Prepare My Comments for EPA?

You may find the following suggestions helpful for preparing your comments:

1. Explain your views as clearly as possible and provide specific examples.
2. Describe any assumptions that you used.
3. Provide copies of any technical information and/or data you used that support your views.
4. If you estimate potential burden or costs, explain how you arrived at the estimate that you provide.
5. Offer alternative ways to improve the collection activity.

6. Make sure to submit your comments by the deadline identified under **DATES**.

7. To ensure proper receipt by EPA, be sure to identify the docket ID number assigned to this action in the subject line on the first page of your response. You may also provide the name, date, and **Federal Register** citation.

What Information Collection Activity or ICR Does This Apply To?

Affected entities: Entities potentially affected by this action are in the private sector.

Title: Identification, Listing and Rulemaking Petitions (Renewal).

ICR numbers: EPA ICR No. 1189.20, OMB Control No. 2050–0053.

ICR status: This ICR is currently scheduled to expire on January 31, 2008. An Agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information, unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations in title 40 of the CFR, after appearing in the **Federal Register** when approved, are listed in 40 CFR part 9, are displayed either by publication in the **Federal Register** or by other appropriate means, such as on the related collection instrument or form, if applicable. The display of OMB control numbers in certain EPA regulations is consolidated in 40 CFR part 9.

Abstract: Under 40 CFR 260.20(b), all rulemaking petitioners must submit basic information with their demonstrations, including name, address, and statement of interest in the proposed action. Under § 260.21, all petitioners for equivalent testing or analytical methods must include specific information in their petitions and demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Administrator that the proposed method is equal to, or superior to, the corresponding method in terms of its sensitivity, accuracy, and reproducibility. Under § 260.22, petitions to amend Part 261 to exclude a waste produced at a particular facility (more simply, to delist a waste) must meet extensive informational requirements. When a petition is submitted, the Agency reviews materials, deliberates, publishes its tentative decision in the **Federal Register**, and requests public comment. EPA also may hold informal public hearings (if requested by an interested person or at the discretion of the Administrator) to hear oral comments on its tentative decision. After evaluating all comments, EPA publishes its final decision in the **Federal Register**.

40 CFR 260.30–260.31, and 260.33 comprise the standards, criteria, and procedures for variances from classification as a solid waste for three types of materials, materials that are collected speculatively without sufficient amounts being recycled; materials that are reclaimed and then reused within the original primary production process in which they were generated; and materials which have been reclaimed, but must be reclaimed further before the materials are completely recovered. Under 40 CFR 260.32 and 260.33 are regulations governing the procedures and criteria for obtaining a variance for classification as a boiler. This variance is available to owners or operators of enclosed flame combustion devices.

40 CFR 261.3 and 261.4 contain provisions that allow generators to obtain a hazardous waste exclusion for certain types of wastes. Facilities applying for these exclusions must submit a notification, or supporting information and/or keep detailed records. Under § 261.3(a)(2)(iv), generators may obtain a hazardous waste exclusion for wastewater mixtures subject to Clean Water Act regulation. Under § 261.3(c)(2)(ii)(C), generators may obtain an exclusion for certain non-wastewater residues resulting from high temperature metals recovery (HTMR) processing of K061, K062 and F006 waste. Also, under § 261.4(a)(20)(ii)(A), generators and intermediate handlers may obtain a hazardous waste exclusion for zinc-bearing hazardous secondary materials that are to be incorporated into zinc fertilizers. In addition, under § 261.4(b)(6), generators of chromium-containing waste may obtain a hazardous waste exclusion under certain conditions.

Also addressed under this section is the shipment of samples between generators and laboratories for the purpose of testing to determine their characteristics or composition. Sample handlers who are not subject to DOT or USPS shipping requirements must comply with the information requirements of § 261.4(d)(2).

When intended for treatability studies, hazardous waste otherwise subject to regulation under Subtitle C of RCRA is exempted from these regulations, provided that the requirements in § 261.4(e)–(f) are met, including the following information requests: initial notification, record keeping, reporting, and final notification. In addition, generators and collectors of treatability study samples also may request quantity limit increases and time extensions, as specified in § 261.4(e)(3).

40 CFR 261.31(b)(2)(ii) governs procedures and informational requirements for generators and treatment, storage and disposal facilities to obtain exemptions from listing as F037 and F038 wastes. Also under this section are regulations promulgated in 1990 under § 261.35(b) and (c) governing procedures and information requirements for the cleaning or replacement of all process equipment that may have come into contact with chlorophenolic formulations or constituents thereof, including, but not limited to, treatment cylinders, sumps, tanks, piping systems, drip pads, fork lifts, and trams.

Burden Statement: The annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection varies depending on the type of petition or demonstration. For example, it is estimated that the average reporting burden per respondent ranges from 0.00 hours (equipment cleaning and replacement) to 788 hours (preparation of a delisting petition). The average recordkeeping burden per respondent ranges from 63.75 hours (equipment cleaning and replacement) to 1.75 hours (delisting petition).

Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements which have subsequently changed; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

The ICR provides a detailed explanation of the Agency's estimate, which is only briefly summarized here:

Estimated total number of potential respondents: 37,690.

Frequency of response: On occasion.

Estimated total average number of responses for each respondent: 1.

Estimated total annual burden hours: 75,929.

Estimated total annual costs: $10,243,000. This includes an estimated $1,311,000 in labor costs and an estimated $8,932,000 for capital investments and operation and maintenance costs.

What Is the Next Step in the Process for This ICR?

EPA will consider the comments received and amend the ICR as appropriate. The final ICR package will then be submitted to OMB for review and approval pursuant to 5 CFR 1320.12. At that time, EPA will issue another **Federal Register** notice pursuant to 5 CFR 1320.5(a)(1)(iv) to announce the submission of the ICR to OMB and the opportunity to submit additional comments to OMB. If you have any questions about this ICR or the approval process, please contact the technical person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.**

Dated: August 10, 2007.

Matthew Hale,

Director, Office of Solid Waste.

[FR Doc. E7–17690 Filed 9–6–07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER–FRL–6690–8]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at 202–564–7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in the **Federal Register** dated April 6, 2007 (72 FR 17156).

Draft EISs

EIS No. 20070169, ERP No. D–GSA–J80047–CO, Denver Federal Central Site Plan Study, Master Site Plan, Implementation, City of Lakewood, Jefferson County, CO

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concern about construction dust and emission impacts on nearby schools and a hospital. EPA requested that mitigation measures be developed. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20070209, ERP No. D–FHW–C59000–NY, Long Island Truck-Rail Intermodel (LITRIM) Facility, Construction and Operation, Right-of Way Acquisition, Town of Islip, Suffolk County, NY

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concern about air quality impacts, including the need for a General Conformity analysis and PM2.5 hotspot analysis. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20070230, ERP No. D–FHW–C40171–NY, NYS Route 17 at Exit 122 Interchange Project, To Improve the Safety and Operation, Right-of-Way Acquisition, Town of Wallkill, Orange County, NY

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concern about air quality impacts, wetlands impacts, and the need for a mitigation plan. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20070253, ERP No. D–BIA–G08012–NM, Desert Rock Energy Project, Construction and Operation of Coal-Fired Power Plant, Right-of-Way Permit, Navajo Nation Indian Reservation, San Juan County, NM

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concern about coal combustion byproduct disposal and dust emissions. EPA requested a comprehensive groundwater monitoring program, additional mitigation for reducing dust emissions, and clarification of the project proponent's commitment to reduce mercury emissions. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20070282, ERP No. D–AFS–K65330–00, Sierra Nevada Forests Management Indicator Species Amendment (MIS), Proposes to Adopt a Common List of Management Indicator Species (MIS), and Amending Land & Resource Management Plans for Following Ten Forests: Eldorado, Inyo, Lassen, Modoc, Plumas, Sequoia, Sierra, Stanislaus and Tahoe National Forests and Lake Tahoe Basin Management Unit, Several Counties, CA and Douglas, Esmeralda, Mineral Counties, NV

Summary: EPA expressed concerns about the ability of the monitoring system to address Forest-specific unique resources, issues, and concerns. EPA suggests that past collaborative decisions be integrated into the proposed action. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20070306, ERP No. D–NPS–J61112–CO, Curecanti National Recreation Area Resource Protection Study, Gunnison and Montrose Counties, CO

Summary: EPA does not object to the proposed action. Rating LO.

EIS No. 20070288, ERP No. DA–NOA–K91012–00, Bottomfish and Seamount Groundfish Fisheries of the Western Pacific Region, Amendment 14 to the Fishery Management Plan, Additional Information to Analyze a Range of Management Alternatives to End Bottomfish Overfishing in the Hawaiian Archipelago, HI, GU, and AS

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concern about potential increases in barotrauma mortality in non-target bottomfish species from the preferred alternative's Total Available Catch approach, and recommended mitigation be included to minimize this impact. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20070284, ERP No. DS–DOE–K08024–CA, Sacramento Area Voltage Support Project, Updated Information, Proposal to Build a Double-Circuit 230-kV Transmission Line, Placer, Sacramento and Sutter Counties, CA

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concern about impacts to air quality and aquatic resources. EPA recommends limiting operating periods and fencing sensitive resources, such as vernal pools, during construction. Rating EC2.

Final EISs

EIS No. 20070302, ERP No. F–USA-G15001–NM, Cannon Air Force Base (AFB), Proposal to Beddown, or Locate Air Force Special Operations Command (AFSOC), Implementation, Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC), NM

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

Dated: September 4, 2007.

Ken Mittelholtz,

Environmental Protection Specialist, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. E7–17691 Filed 9–6–07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER–FRL–6690–7]

Environmental Impacts Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564–7167 or *http://www.epa.gov/compliance/nepa/*.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements filed 08/27/2007 through 08/31/2007.

Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 20070374, Final EIS, SFW, PR, Vieques National Wildlife Refuge Comprehensive Conservation Plan, Implementation, Vieques, PR. *Wait Period Ends:* 10/09/2007. *Contact:* Jim Oland 410–573–4592.

EIS No. 20070375, Final EIS, AFS, AK, Kuiu Timber Sale Area, Proposes to Harvest Timber and Build Associated Temporary Roads, U.S. Army COE section 10 and 404 Permits, North Kuiu Island, Petersburg Ranger