DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA–W–62,376]

Dixie Consumer Products, LLC, Dixie Products Division, a Subsidiary of Georgia-Pacific, Including Leased Workers of Staffmark, Los Angeles, CA; Notice of Termination of Investigation; Findings of the Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on October 29, 2007 in response to a worker petition filed on behalf of workers of Dixie Consumer Products, LLC, Dixie Products Division, a subsidiary of Georgia Pacific, Los Angeles, California.

The petitioning group of workers is covered by an active certification (TA–W–62,268) which expires on October 23, 2009. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 14th day of November 2007.

Richard Church,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E7–22749 Filed 11–20–07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–FN–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA–W–61,324]

Ford Motor Company, Vehicle Operations Division, Wixom Assembly Plant, Including On-Site Leased Workers of G-Tech Professional Staffing, Inc., MSX and Aerotech, Wixom, MI; Amended Notice of Revised Determination on Reconsideration

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273), and section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974 (26 U.S.C. 2813), as amended, the Department of Labor issued a Notice of Revised Determination on Reconsideration on August 22, 2007. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on August 30, 2007 (72 FR 50128).

On our own motion, the Department reviewed the Notice of Revised Determination on Reconsideration for workers of the subject firm. The workers were engaged in the assembly of Lincoln Towncars.

The review of the investigation record shows that the Department inadvertently excluded from the certification on-site leased workers from G-Tech Professional Staffing, Inc., MSX and Aerotech. The Department has determined that these workers were sufficiently under the control of Ford Motor Company, Vehicle Operations Division, Wixom Assembly Plant to be considered leased workers.

Accordingly, the Department is amending this certification to include leased workers of G-Tech Professional Staffing, Inc., MSX and Aerotech working on-site at the Wixom, Michigan location of the subject firm.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers employed at Ford Motor Company, Vehicle Operations Division, Wixom Assembly Plant, Wixom, Michigan who were adversely-impacted by a shift in production to Canada.

The amended notice applicable to TA–W–61,324 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of Ford Motor Company, Vehicle Operations Division, Wixom Assembly Plant, including on-site leased workers of G-Tech Professional Staffing, Inc., MSX and Aerotech, Wixom, Michigan, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after April 12, 2006, through August 22, 2009, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, and are also eligible to apply for alternative trade adjustment assistance under Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 14th day of November 2007.

Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E7–22746 Filed 11–20–07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–FN–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA–W–62,056]

Glaxo Smith Kline, Shared Financial Services Department, Philadelphia, PA; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated October 15, 2007, the petitioner requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA), applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The denial notice was signed on September 17, 2007 and published in the **Federal Register** on October 3, 2007 (72 FR 56385).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) if it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) if in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a mis-interpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The negative TAA determination issued by the Department for workers of Glaxo Smith Kline, Shared Financial Services Department, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania was based on the finding that the worker group does not produce an article within the meaning of Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974. The investigation revealed that workers of the subject firm performed financial services, such as invoice processing, general accounting, helpdesk support and travel and expense services. The investigation further revealed that although production of article(s) occurred within the firm or appropriate subdivision, the workers do not support this production.

The petitioner contends that the Department erred in its determination and conveys that workers of the subject firm should be investigated on the basis of the secondary impact, and should be certified eligible for TAA as ''downstream producers''. The petitioner alleges that workers of the subject firm are ''value-added production workers'' because they provide the processing of payments of invoices for the vendors that Glaxo Smith Kline uses to produce their drugs.

In order to make an affirmative determination and issue a certification of eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance on the basis of the secondary impact, the workers' firm has to be a downstream producer (final finishing or assembly) for, a primary firm whose workers are certified eligible to apply for adjustment assistance.

In this case, however, workers of Glaxo Smith Kline, Shared Financial Services Department, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, did not produce a product and did not perform finishing or final assembly of articles produced by a primary firm from August 2006 through August of 2007. Financial services, such as the processing of payments of invoices for the vendors are