why shipments cannot be made before the October 1, 2008, expiration date. The justification must demonstrate that: (a) There are no alternative domestically approved packages available; (b) the contents cannot be reconfigured such that transport can be conducted in accordance with the regulations; and (c) the transport schedule cannot be adjusted so as to be conducted in accordance with the regulations. The justification must also describe any good-faith efforts to acquire replacement packages that meet the current package performance requirements, including a detailed description of past activities and the current status of acquiring replacement packages. In addition, any adverse impacts that will result if the shipment is not conducted should be fully described.

(4) *Safety Justification for Continued Use and Proposed Compensatory Measures.* Since the expiring package designs may lack safety enhancements included in newer designs, the request must include a safety justification for continued use and a description of compensatory measures that will be used to provide an equivalent level of safety. Examples of compensatory measures that may be considered are: (a) Special package inspections, tests, or determinations that ensure that the packaging is in unimpaired physical condition; (b) transport by exclusive use; (c) transport during time of low road usage; and (d) accompaniment of shipment by escort equipped to effect a recovery in an emergency situation or in case of a transportation accident.

(5) *A Plan and Schedule to Acquire Replacement Packages or Complete Necessary Shipments.* Details about the planned acquisition of replacement packages must be provided, along with key milestones, and proposed implementation dates, unless the licensee can show why acquiring a replacement package is not practical and why shipments cannot be made before the October 1, 2008, expiration date.

Filing Requests for Extension

Requests to extend use of these packages should be sent to NRC, with a copy sent separately to DOT (applications to DOT should be in accordance with 49 CFR 107.105). The requests should be addressed as follows:

ATTN: Document Control Desk, Director, Division of Spent Fuel Storage and Transportation, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–001;

ATTN: Special Permits, PHH–31, Associate Administrator for Hazardous Material Safety, Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration, U.S. Department of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Ave., SE., Washington, DC 20590.

Backfit Discussion

This RIS outlines information that licensees should consider for incorporation in voluntary submittals for extending the use of the subject transportation packages. The identified information will assist the NRC in the regulatory process and aids licensees in developing thorough submittals. Any requests to extend the use of the subject transportation packages are proposed by licensees and are therefore not backfits. Further, this RIS requires no action or written response and therefore is not a backfit. Consequently, the staff did not perform a backfit analysis.

Federal Register Notification

A notice of opportunity for public comment on this RIS was not published in the **Federal Register** because it is informational and pertains to a staff position that does not represent a departure from current regulatory status and practice. This RIS is being published in the **Federal Register** for information.

Congressional Review Act

This RIS is not a rule, as designated by the Congressional Review Act (5 U.S.C. 801–808) and, therefore, is not subject to the Act.

Paperwork Reduction Act Statement

This Regulatory Issue Summary contains information collections that are subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501, et seq.). These information collections were approved by the Office of Management and Budget, approval number 3150–0008.

Public Protection Notification

If a means used to impose an information collection does not display a currently valid OMB control number, the NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a request for information collection.

Contact

Please direct any questions about this matter to the technical contact listed below.

E. William Brach, Director,
Division of Spent Fuel Storage and Transportation, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.

Technical Contact: Nancy L. Osgood, NMSS/SFST, (301) 492–3326, e-mail: *Nancy.Osgood@nrc.gov.*

[FR Doc. E8–19553 Filed 8–21–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

PEACE CORPS

Proposed New Information Collection Requests Under OMB Review

AGENCY: Peace Corps.

ACTION: Notice of public use form review request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB).

SUMMARY: The Peace Corps Office of Communications invites comments on the information collection request as required pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1981 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). This notice announces that the Peace Corps Office of Communications has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget a request to approve the use of: PC–836, Peace Corps 50th Anniversary Archive Submission Form (On-line Version) (06/2008); PC–837, 50th Anniversary Archive Project Material Submission Authorization and Agreement (Print Version) (06/2008); PC–839, 50th Anniversary Archive Submission Guidelines (06/2008); and PC–841, 50th Anniversary Archive (Print Version) (06/2008). The purpose of this notice is to allow for comments on whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for proper performance of the functions of the Peace Corps' Office of Communications; including whether the information will have practical use; the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarify of the information to be collected; and ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information to on those who are to respond, including through the use of automated collection techniques, when appropriate, and other forms of technology. The initial **Federal Register** notice was published on July 2, 2008, Volume 73, No. 128, pgs. 37997–37998 for 60 days. Also available at GPO Access: *wais.access.gpo.gov.* No comments, inquiries or responses to the notice were received. Comments may be directed to: Stacia Clifton, 50th Anniversary Archive Project Manager, Peace Corps, Office of Communications, 1111 20th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20256. Ms. Clifton can also be contacted by telephone at 202–692–2234 or by e-mail at *archive@peacecorps.gov.* Comments should be received on or before September 22, 2008.

Information Collection Abstract

Title: 50th Anniversary Archive Submission Form.

Need for and Use of This Information: The Peace Corps is collecting contact information, stories, photos and historical documents related to their Peace Corps service from Returned Peace Corps Volunteers. Submissions will be received electronically through an online submission form. The information will be used in informational and promotional articles, exhibits and events celebrating the history of the Peace Corps.

Respondents: Returned Peace Corps Volunteers.

Respondents' Obligation to Reply: Voluntary.

Burden on the Public:

a. *Annual reporting burden:* 250 hours.

b. *Annual record keeping burden:* 50 hours.

c. *Estimated average burden per response:* 15 minutes.

d. *Frequency of response:* One-time.

e. *Estimated number of respondents:* 3000.

f. *Estimated cost to respondents:* $0.00/$0.00.

Dated: August 15, 2008.

Wilbert Bryant,

Associate Director for Management.

[FR Doc. E8–19511 Filed 8–21–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6051–01–P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. MC2008–7, CP2008–16, CP2008–17; Order No. 98]

Administrative Practice and Procedure; Postal Service

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission has established formal dockets to consider a formal request to add a new product to the competitive product list for prices not of general applicability and incorporates a notice of execution of two related contracts. The Commission's action will provide for public input and for a review to determine consistency with applicable statutory requirements.

DATES: Comments are due August 27, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel, 202–789–6820 or *stephen.sharfman@prc.gov.*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Introduction

On August 8, 2008, the Postal Service filed a formal request (with attachments) to add a new product to the competitive product list for prices not of general applicability.[1] The Request incorporates notice of (1) issuance of the Governors' Decision authorizing the new product; (2) the execution of two related contracts; and (3) submission of supporting material under seal.[2]

Request. The Request was filed pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 39 CFR 3020.30 *et seq.* Substantively, it seeks the addition of a shell classification in the competitive product list for a product referred to as Global Plus 2 Negotiated Service Agreements. Prices for this product, by the terms of the Governors' Decision, are established by setting price floor and price ceiling formulas in the contracts.

The Request also addresses the Postal Service's interest in confidentiality; presents proposed Mail Classification Schedule (MCS) language; cites the Cebello Statement with respect to satisfaction of pertinent Commission rules; and discusses reasons why the Global Plus 2 contracts should be deemed functionally equivalent. Request at 2–7. It affirmatively notes that the contracts include retroactivity provisions.[3] *Id.* at 7–9.

Related contracts. The Postal Service contemporaneously filed contracts related to the proposed new product listing pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3632(b)(3) and 39 CFR 3015.5. It maintains that both contracts, by virtue of their terms, fit within the proposed MCS language. It asks that the contracts be added as one product to the competitive product list for prices not of general applicability. *Id.* at 1. It further notes that both contracts are set to expire July 1, 2009. *Id.* at 2.

II. Notice of Filings

The Commission establishes Docket Nos. MC2008–7, CP2008–16 and CP2008–17 for consideration of the Request pertaining to Global Plus 2 and the two related contracts. In keeping with recent practice, these dockets are addressed on a consolidated basis for purposes of this Order; however, future filings should be made in the specific docket in which issues being addressed pertain. Interested persons may submit comments on whether the Postal Service's filings in the captioned dockets are consistent with the policies of 39 U.S.C. 3632, 3633, or 3642. Comments are due no later than August 27, 2008. The public portions of these filings can be accessed via the Commission's Web site (*www.prc.gov*).

The Commission appoints Paul L. Harrington to serve as Public Representative in the captioned filings.

It is Ordered:

1. The Commission establishes Docket Nos. MC2008–7, CP2008–16 and CP2008–17 for consideration of the matters raised in each docket.

2. The Commission, pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, appoints Paul L. Harrington to serve as officer of the Commission (Public Representative) to represent the interests of the general public in these proceedings.

3. Comments by interested persons on issues in these proceedings are due no later than August 27, 2008.

4. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this Order in the **Federal Register**.

By the Commission.

Issued August 13, 2008.

Judith M. Grady,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. E8–19439 Filed 8–21–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710–FW–P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. MC2008–6, CP2008–14, CP2008–15; Order No. 97]

Administrative Practice and Procedure; Postal Service

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission has established formal dockets to consider three related Postal Service filings. The filings concern prices and classification changes for competitive products not of general applicability involving foreign postal administrations. The Commission's action will provide for public input and for a review to determine consistency with applicable statutory requirements.

DATES: Comments are due August 26, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel, 202–789–6820 or *stephen.sharfman@prc.gov.*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On August 5, 2008, the Postal Service filed three requests incorporating notices, pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR 3030 *et seq.*,

[1] *See* Request of the United States Postal Service to Add Global Plus 2 Negotiated Service Agreements to the Competitive Product List, and Notice of Filing (Under Seal) the Enabling Governors' Decision and Two Functionally Equivalent Agreements, August 8, 2008 (Request). Attachment 1 to the Request consists of Decision of the Governors of the United States Postal Service on the Establishment of Prices and Classifications for Global Direct, Global Bulk Economy, and Global Plus Contracts (Governors' Decision No. 08–10), July 16, 2008 (Governors' Decision). The Governors' Decision includes its own set of attachments presenting proposed classification language, pricing formulas and analyses, and the certification required under Commission rules. In the Request as filed, portions of the Governors' Decision and some of the attachments are redacted. Attachment 2 to the Request is the Statement of Supporting Justification provided by Frank Cebello, Executive Director of Global Business Management for the Postal Service (Cebello Statement) in the capacity of sponsor of the instant Request.

[2] This material includes an unredacted version of the enabling Governors' Decision, the record of proceedings, and other documents addressing compliance with 39 CFR 3015.5.

[3] The Postal Service states that the retroactivity provisions were intended to sustain customer relationships and avoid an interruption in service given uncertainty about the timing of review of the new Global Plus 2 contracts; however, it further notes that based on the Commission's decision and guidance in Order No. 85 (which was issued after the Global Plus 2 contracts were executed), it no longer intends to present future contracts to the Commission with retroactivity provisions. Instead, it will avail itself of the procedure for expedited consideration of requests for temporary relief when warranted. Request at 9.