

Commission and must mail a copy of all filing to the applicant and to every other party in the proceeding. Only parties to the proceeding can ask for court review of Commission orders in the proceeding.

However, other persons do not have to intervene in order to have comments considered. The second way to participate is by filing with the Secretary of the Commission, as soon as possible, an original and two copies of comments in support of or in opposition to CenterPoint's request. The Commission will consider these comments in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but the filing of a comment alone will not serve to make the filer a party to the proceeding. The Commission's rules require that persons filing comments in opposition to this project provide copies of their protests only to the party or parties directly involved in the protest.

Persons who wish to comment only in support of or in opposition to CenterPoint's request should submit an original and two copies of their comments to the Secretary of the Commission. The Commission's rules require that persons filing comments in opposition to the project provide copies of their protests only to the applicant. However, the non-party commenters will not receive copies of all documents filed by other parties or issued by the Commission and will not have the right to seek court review of the Commission's final order.

The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings of comments, protests, and interventions via the Internet in lieu of paper. See 18 CFR 385.2001(a) (1) (iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site (<http://www.ferc.gov>) under the "e-Filing" link.

*Comment Date:* November 26, 2008.

**Kimberly D. Bose,**  
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. E8-28298 Filed 11-28-08; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Project No. 13203-000]

#### FFP Missouri 22, LLC; Notice of Preliminary Permit Applications Accepted for Filing and Soliciting Comment, Motions To Intervene, and Competing Applications

November 20, 2008.

On April 22, 2008, FFP Missouri 22, LLC each filed an application, pursuant to section 4(f) of the Federal Power Act,

proposing to study the feasibility of the Missouri River 22 Project, to be located on the Missouri River in Saline, Chariton, and Carroll Counties, Missouri.

The proposed Missouri River 22 Project consists of: (1) 7,560 proposed 20 kilowatt Free Flow generating units having a total installed capacity of 151.2 megawatts, (2) a proposed transmission line, and (3) appurtenant facilities. The FFP Missouri 22, LLC, project would have an average annual generation of 662.26 gigawatt-hours and be sold to a local utility.

*Applicant Contact:* Mr. Dan Irvin, FFP Missouri 22, LLC, 69 Bridge Street, Manchester, MA 01944, phone (978) 232-3536.

*FERC Contact:* Robert Bell, (202) 502-6062.

Deadline for filing comments, motions to intervene, competing applications (without notices of intent), or notices of intent to file competing applications: 60 days from the issuance of this notice. Comments, motions to intervene, notices of intent, and competing applications may be filed electronically via the Internet. See 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site under the "e-Filing" link. If unable to be filed electronically, documents may be paper-filed. To paper-file, an original and eight copies should be mailed to: Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426. For more information on how to submit these types of filings please go to the Commission's Web site located at <http://www.ferc.gov/filing-comments.asp>. More information about this project can be viewed or printed on the "eLibrary" link of Commission's Web site at <http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/elibrary.asp>. Enter the docket number (P-13203) in the docket number field to access the document. For assistance, call toll-free 1-866-208-3372.

**Kimberly D. Bose,**  
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. E8-28303 Filed 11-28-08; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Project No. 13129-000; Project No. 13143-000; Project No. 13284-000]

#### NM Hydroelectric Power, LLC, Peterson Machinery Sales, Grand Traverse County and the City of Traverse City, MI; Notice of Competing Preliminary Permit Applications Accepted for Filing and Soliciting Comment, Motions To Intervene, and Competing Applications

November 20, 2008.

NM Hydroelectric Power, LLC (NMHP), Peterson Machinery Sales (PMS) and jointly by Grand Traverse County and the City of Traverse City, Michigan filed applications, pursuant to section 4(f) of the Federal Power Act, proposing to study the feasibility of the Sabin Dam and Boardman River Projects Project, to be located on the Boardman River, in Grand Traverse County, Michigan. The proposed project facilities are owned by Traverse City Light and Power Company.

The proposed Sabin Dam and Boardman River Projects:

The proposed Sabin Dam Project by NM Hydroelectric Power, LLC for Project No. 13129 filed on February 27, 2008 would consist of: (1) The existing 200-foot-long, 20-foot-high earthen Sabin Dam; (2) an existing reservoir having a surface area of 40 acres and a storage capacity of 340 acre-feet and normal water surface elevation of 611.9 feet National Geographic Vertical Datum (NGVD); (3) an existing powerhouse containing one new generating unit having an installed capacity of 500 kilowatts; (4) an existing 7-mile-long, 12.5 kilovolt transmission line; and (5) appurtenant facilities. The proposed Sabin Dam Project would have an average annual generation of 2.58 gigawatt-hours.

The proposed Boardman River Project by Peterson Machinery Sales would consist of the following three developments:

#### Sabin Dam Development

(1) The existing 200-foot-long, 20-foot-high earthen Sabin Dam; (2) an existing reservoir having a surface area of 40 acres and a storage capacity of 340 acre-feet and normal water surface elevation of 613.5 feet National Geographic Vertical Datum (NGVD); (3) an existing powerhouse containing one new generating unit having an installed capacity of 500 kilowatts; (4) an existing 1,000-foot-long, 13.8 kilovolt transmission line; and (5) appurtenant