

- Award Recommendations for DataNet
- *NSB Action Item:* Award Recommendation DataNet–A
- *NSB Action Item:* Award Recommendation for DataNet–B

Committee on Audit and Oversight (A&O)

Closed Session: 2:30 p.m.–2:45 p.m.

- Pending Investigations

Open Session: 2:45 p.m.–4 p.m.

- Approval of Minutes of the August 13, 2008 Meeting
- Committee Chairman’s Opening Remarks
- Results of the FY 2008 NSF Financial Statement Audit
- CFO Update
- Recompensation of Financial Statement Audit Contract
- Audit of Large Facility Operations Agreements: Performance and Evaluation
- The Inspector General Reform Act of 2008: Implications for NSF

Task Force on the NSB 60th Anniversary

Open Session: 4 p.m.–4:30 p.m.

- Approval of Minutes for the August 2008 Meeting
- Approval of Minutes for the October 2008 Teleconference
- Task Force Chairman’s Remarks
- Further Discussion of Ideas for the NSB 60th Anniversary
- Draft Criteria for Selection of NSF Researchers/Speakers

Wednesday, December 10, 2008

Committee on Education and Human Resources (EHR)

Open Session: 8 a.m.–10:30 a.m.

- Approval of August 2008 Minutes
- EHR Committee Charge—Proposed Revision
- SEI Committee Charge
- Update on the Next Generation of STEM Innovators Workshop
- Subcommittee on Science and Engineering Indicators (SEI)
 - SEI Subcommittee Chairman’s Remarks
 - Revised SEI Chapter Outlines
 - Identifying Reviewers for SEI Draft Chapters
- Electronic Publication of Appendix Tables (Volume 2)
- Plans for *Indicators* Digest
- Subcommittee Chairman’s Summary

Committee on Strategy and Budget (CSB)

Open Session: 10:30 a.m.–11:30 a.m.

- Approval of CSB Minutes, August 12, 2008
- Committee Chairman’s Remarks

- CSB Task Force on Cost Sharing (CS)
 - Approval of Minutes
 - Task Force Chairman’s Remarks
 - Discussion of **Federal Register** Request for Public Comments
 - Discussion of Draft Second Report on Cost Sharing
 - Long Range Planning Presentation
 - Investment Priorities from the 2006 NSF Strategic Plan

Closed Session: 11:30 a.m.–11:45 a.m.

- Status of NSF FY 2010 Budget Development

Executive Committee

Open Session: 11:45 a.m.–12 p.m.

- Approval of Minutes for the August 2008 Meeting
- Executive Committee Chairman’s Remarks
- Updates or New Business from Committee Members

Plenary Executive Closed

Closed Session: 1 p.m.–1:10 p.m.

- Approval of September 2008 Minutes
- Approval of Honorary Awards Recipients

Plenary Closed

Closed Session: 1:10 p.m.–1:30 p.m.

- Approval of September 2008 Minutes
- Awards and Agreements
- Closed Committee Reports

Plenary Open

Open Session: 1:30 p.m.–3 p.m.

- Approval of September 2008 Minutes
- Resolution to Close February 2009 Meeting
- Presentation by Mr. Jeffrey Nesbit
- Chairman’s Report
- Director’s Report
- Open Committee Reports

Ann Ferrante,

Technical Writer/Editor.

[FR Doc. E8–28560 Filed 12–1–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 52–033]

Detroit Edison Company Acceptance for Docketing of an Application for Combined License (Col) for FERM1 3

On September 18, 2008, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC, the Commission) received a combined license (COL) application from Detroit Edison Company, dated September 18,

2008, filed pursuant to Section 103 of the Atomic Energy Act and Subpart C of Part 52, “Licenses, Certifications, and Approvals for Nuclear Power Plants,” of Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR Part 52). The site location is in Monroe County, Michigan and identified as Fermi 3. A notice of receipt and availability of this application was previously published in the **Federal Register** (73 FR 61916 on October 17, 2008).

The NRC staff has determined that Detroit Edison Company has submitted information in accordance with 10 CFR Part 2, “Rules of Practice for Domestic Licensing Proceedings and Issuance of Orders,” and Part 52 that is sufficiently complete and acceptable for docketing. The docket number established for this application is 52–033.

The NRC staff will perform a detailed technical review of the application. Docketing of the COL application does not preclude the NRC from requesting additional information from the applicant as the review proceeds, nor does it predict whether the Commission will grant or deny the application. The Commission will conduct a hearing in accordance with Subpart L of 10 CFR Part 2; the notice of hearing and opportunity to intervene will be published at a later date. The Commission will receive a report on the application from the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards in accordance with 10 CFR 52.87. If the Commission finds that the application meets the applicable standards of the Atomic Energy Act and the Commission’s regulations, and that required notifications to other agencies and bodies have been made, the Commission will issue a COL, in the form and containing conditions and limitations that the Commission finds appropriate and necessary.

A copy of the application is available for public inspection at the Commission’s Public Document Room located at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland. The application is also available at <http://www.nrc.gov/reactors/new-reactors/col/Fermi.html> and is accessible electronically from the Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Public Electronic Reading Room on the Internet at the NRC Web site, <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html> (ADAMS Accession No. ML082730763). Persons who do not have access to ADAMS, or who encounter problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, should contact the NRC Public Document Room staff by telephone at 1–

(800) 397-4209, (301) 415-4737 or by e-mail to pdr@nrc.gov.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 25th day of November 2008.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Mark Tonacci,

*Senior Project Manager, ESBWR/ABWR
Projects Branch 2, Division of New Reactor
Licensing, Office of New Reactors.*

[FR Doc. E8-28567 Filed 12-1-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Biweekly Notice Applications and Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses Involving No Significant Hazards Considerations

I. Background

Pursuant to section 189a.(2) of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission or NRC staff) is publishing this regular biweekly notice. The Act requires the Commission to publish notice of any amendments issued, or proposed to be issued, and grants the Commission the authority to issue and make immediately effective any amendment to an operating license upon a determination by the Commission that such amendment involves no significant hazards consideration, notwithstanding the pendency before the Commission of a request for a hearing from any person.

This biweekly notice includes all notices of amendments issued, or proposed to be issued from November 6, 2008 to November 19, 2008. The last biweekly notice was published on November 18, 2008 (73 FR 68451).

Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the following amendment requests involve no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. The basis for this proposed determination for each amendment request is shown below.

The Commission is seeking public comments on this proposed determination. Any comments received within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice will be considered in making any final determination.

Normally, the Commission will not issue the amendment until the expiration of 60 days after the date of publication of this notice. The Commission may issue the license amendment before expiration of the 60-day period provided that its final determination is that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration. In addition, the Commission may issue the amendment prior to the expiration of the 30-day comment period should circumstances change during the 30-day comment period such that failure to act in a timely way would result, for example in derating or shutdown of the facility. Should the Commission take action prior to the expiration of either the comment period or the notice period, it will publish in the **Federal Register** a notice of issuance. Should the Commission make a final No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, any hearing will take place after issuance. The Commission expects that the need to take this action will occur very infrequently.

Written comments may be submitted by mail to the Chief, Rulemaking, Directives and Editing Branch, Division of Administrative Services, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, and should cite the publication date and page number of this **Federal Register** notice. Written comments may also be delivered to Room 6D22, Two White Flint North, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland, from 7:30 a.m. to 4:15 p.m. Federal workdays. Copies of written comments received may be examined at the Commission's Public Document Room (PDR), located at One White Flint North, Public File Area O1F21, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland. The filing of requests for a hearing and petitions for leave to intervene is discussed below.

Within 60 days after the date of publication of this notice, person(s) may file a request for a hearing with respect to issuance of the amendment to the subject facility operating license and any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding and who wishes to participate as a party in the proceeding must file a written request via electronic submission through the NRC E-Filing system for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene. Requests for a hearing and a petition for

leave to intervene shall be filed in accordance with the Commission's "Rules of Practice for Domestic Licensing Proceedings" in 10 CFR Part 2. Interested person(s) should consult a current copy of 10 CFR 2.309, which is available at the Commission's PDR, located at One White Flint North, Public File Area O1F21, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland. Publicly available records will be accessible from the Agencywide Documents Access and Management System's (ADAMS) Public Electronic Reading Room on the Internet at the NRC Web site, <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/cfr/>. If a request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene is filed within 60 days, the Commission or a presiding officer designated by the Commission or by the Chief Administrative Judge of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, will rule on the request and/or petition; and the Secretary or the Chief Administrative Judge of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board will issue a notice of a hearing or an appropriate order.

As required by 10 CFR 2.309, a petition for leave to intervene shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, and how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why intervention should be permitted with particular reference to the following general requirements: (1) The name, address, and telephone number of the requestor or petitioner; (2) the nature of the requestor's/petitioner's right under the Act to be made a party to the proceeding; (3) the nature and extent of the requestor's/petitioner's property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding; and (4) the possible effect of any decision or order which may be entered in the proceeding on the requestor's/petitioner's interest. The petition must also set forth the specific contentions which the petitioner/requestor seeks to have litigated at the proceeding.

Each contention must consist of a specific statement of the issue of law or fact to be raised or controverted. In addition, the petitioner/requestor shall provide a brief explanation of the bases for the contention and a concise statement of the alleged facts or expert opinion which support the contention and on which the petitioner/requestor intends to rely in proving the contention at the hearing. The petitioner/requestor must also provide references to those specific sources and documents of which the petitioner is aware and on which the petitioner/requestor intends