requirements which have subsequently changed; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Respondents/Affected Entities: Flexible polyurethane foam fabrication facilities.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 11.

Frequency of Response: Initially, occasionally, semiannually, and annually.

Estimated Total Annual Hour Burden: 12,303.

Estimated Total Annual Cost: $1,004,834, which includes: labor costs of $1,002,163, annualized capital/startup costs of $997, and $1,674 in O&M costs.

Changes in the Estimates: There is no change in the total estimated burden currently identified in the OMB Inventory of Approved ICR Burdens. Apparent differences of less than 500 hours are attributable to rounding; in previous years, hours were rounded to the nearest thousand; this ICR presents more exact figures.

Dated: January 13, 2009.

John Moses,

Acting Director, Collection Strategies Division.

[FR Doc. E9–1169 Filed 1–21–09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

EXPORT-IMPORT BANK OF THE UNITED STATES

Economic Impact Policy

This notice is to inform the public that the Export-Import Bank of the United States has received an application for a $453 million direct loan to support the U.S. export of approximately $372 million worth of mining equipment and services for a mining project in the Dominican Republic. The U.S. exports will enable the company in the Dominican Republic to produce approximately 28.35 metric tons of gold and 140 metric tons of silver per year on average during the 11-year repayment term of the loan. Available information indicates that most of this new gold and silver production will be sold internationally. Interested parties may submit comments on this transaction by e-mail to *economic.impact@exim.gov* or by mail to 811 Vermont Avenue, NW., Room 1238, Washington, DC 20571, within 14 days of the date this notice appears in the **Federal Register**.

Helene S. Walsh,

Vice-President, Policy Analysis Division.

[FR Doc. E9–1164 Filed 1–21–09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6690–01–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[MB Docket No. 08–214; DA 08–2819]

NFL Enterprises LLC, Complainant v. Comcast Cable Communications, LLC, Defendant; File No. CSR–7876–P

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This document finds that the Administrative Law Judge exceeded his authority by setting a hearing date beyond the 60-day deadline specified in the Hearing Designation Order for issuing a recommended decision regarding the above-captioned program carriage dispute and orders that the Media Bureau will proceed to resolve this dispute without the benefit of a recommended decision from the ALJ.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information on this proceeding, contact Steven Broeckaert, *Steven.Broeckaert@fcc.gov,* or David Konczal, *David.Konczal@fcc.gov,* of the Media Bureau, Policy Division, (202) 418–2120.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Memorandum Opinion and Order, DA 08–2819, adopted and released on December 31, 2008. The full text of this document is available for public inspection and copying during regular business hours in the FCC Reference Center, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street, SW., CY–A257, Washington, DC 20554. This document will also be available via ECFS (*http://www.fcc.gov/cgb/ecfs/*). (Documents will be available electronically in ASCII, Word 97, and/or Adobe Acrobat.) The complete text may be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY–B402, Washington, DC 20554. To request this document in accessible formats (computer diskettes, large print, audio recording, and Braille), send an e-mail to *fcc504@fcc.gov* or call the Commission's Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau at (202) 418–0530 (voice), (202) 418–0432 (TTY).

Synopsis of the Order

1. On October 10, 2008, the Media Bureau issued a *Memorandum Opinion and Hearing Designation Order* (''HDO'') in the above captioned matter. 73 FR 65312, November 3, 2008. The HDO, among other things, referred certain program carriage disputes, including the above-captioned matter, to an Administrative Law Judge (''ALJ'') to resolve factual disputes as to whether the defendant cable operators had discriminated against the complainant video programmers or required a financial interest in the complainant video programmer's programming as a condition for carriage in violation of the Commission's program carriage rules. 73 FR 65312, 65322, 65323, November 3, 2008. The HDO ordered the ALJ to make and return a recommended decision to the Commission within 60 days of the release date of the HDO, *i.e.*, by December 9, 2008. Unfortunately, the ALJ has not issued a recommended decision by the deadline but, instead, has set a date to begin a hearing more than three months past the HDO's deadline without indicating when a recommended decision will be released. *Herring Broadcasting, Inc.* v. *Time Warner Cable Inc. et al.*, Order, MB Docket No. 08–214, FCC 08M–50 (rel. Dec. 2, 2008).

2. On December 24, 2008, the Media Bureau issued a *Memorandum Opinion and Order* (the ''*Dec. 24th MO&O*'') finding that the ALJ exceeded his authority by setting a hearing date beyond the HDO's 60-day deadline for issuing a recommended decision. *In the Matter of Herring Broadcasting Inc., d/b/a WealthTV, et al.*, Memorandum Opinion and Order, DA 08–2805, MB Docket 08–214 (rel. Dec. 24, 2008), at ¶¶ 2, 14–16 (''*Dec. 24th MO&O*''). In the *Dec. 24th MO&O,* the Media Bureau stated that the ALJ's limited authority to consider these matters extended through December 9, 2008. *See id.* The Media Bureau noted that this deadline has passed, and the ALJ's delegated authority over these hearing matters has thus expired under the terms of the HDO. *See id.* While the above-captioned matter was not included in the caption of the *Dec. 24th MO&O,* NFL Enterprises, LLC has filed a Motion for Clarification arguing that the logic and reasoning of that decision applies equally to the above-captioned matter. *See* NFL Enterprises LLC, Motion for Clarification, MB Docket No. 08–214, File No. CSR–7876–P (filed Dec. 29, 2008). We agree and therefore the Media Bureau will proceed to resolve the above-captioned program carriage