graded to final contours, dressed to eliminate low areas, and opened for use by the public. Support equipment will be shifted out of completed sections as soon as practicable, so that construction activities in a particular reach will not disrupt normal beach use for only a month or so at any locality. The finished sections will be allowed to adjust to natural processes for several months. The final process will include the placement of dune fencing and/or dune plantings as needed or required.

4. Alternatives. An extensive alternatives analysis was performed and reviewed for this project. This included the evaluation of a no action alternative; a retreat and relocate alternative; and the preferred alternative. Many alternatives were identified and evaluated through the scoping process, and further detailed descriptions of all alternatives is disclosed in Section 5.0 of the Draft EIS.

5. *Scoping Process.* A public scoping meeting was held on April 28, 2009 and public and agency comments were solicited for input in the preparation of the Draft EIS. The scoping meeting was well attended by the public, as well as representatives from local, State, and Federal governmental agencies.

The COE coordinated closely with the North Carolina Division of Coastal Management, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, and the National Marine Fisheries Service in the development of the Draft EIS to ensure the process complies with State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) requirements, as well as the NEPA requirements. The Draft EIS has been designed to consolidate both NEPA and SEPA processes, but the State of North Carolina will administer their own Coastal Zone Management Permit process.

Dated: November 9, 2009.

### Jefferson M. Ryscavage,

Colonel, U.S. Army, District Commander. [FR Doc. E9–27790 Filed 11–18–09; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3720–58–P

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

## **Department of the Army**

#### Western Hemisphere Institute for Security Cooperation, Board of Visitors Meeting

**AGENCY:** Department of the Army, DoD. **ACTION:** Notice of open meeting.

**SUMMARY:** This notice sets forth the schedule and summary agenda for the annual meeting of the Board of Visitors (BoV) for the Western Hemisphere Institute for Security Cooperation

(WHINSEC). Notice of this meeting is required under the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463). The Board's charter was renewed on February 1, 2008 in compliance with the requirements set forth in Title 10 U.S.C. 2166.

Date: Friday, December 4, 2009. *Time:* 8 a.m. to 4 p.m.

*Location:* The National Infantry Museum and Soldier Center Classroom, 1775 Legacy Way, Columbus, GA 31903.

Proposed Agenda: The WHINSEC BoV will be briefed on activities at the Institute since the last Board meeting on June 18, 2009, as well as receive other information appropriate to its interests **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** WHINSEC Board of Visitors Office of the Designated Enderal Official at (703)

the Designated Federal Official at (703) 692–7381. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The meeting is open to the public. Pursuant

meeting is open to the public. Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act of 1972 and 41 CFR 102-3.140(c), members of the public or interested groups may submit written statements to the advisory committee for consideration by the committee members. Written statements should be no longer than two type-written pages and sent via fax to (706) 545-6964 by 5 p.m. EST on Wednesday, December 2, 2009 for consideration at this meeting. In addition, public comments by individuals and organizations may be made from 9:30 a.m. to 9:45 a.m. during the meeting. Public comments will be limited to three minutes each. Anyone desiring to make an oral statement must register by sending a fax to (703) 614-8920 with their name, phone number, email address, and the full text of their comments (no longer than two typewritten pages) by 5 p.m. EST on Friday, November 27, 2009. The first five requestors will be notified by 5 p.m. EST on Tuesday, December 1, 2009 of their time to address the Board during the public comment forum. All other comments will be retained for the record. Public seating is limited to ten seats and will be available on a first come, first serve basis.

#### Brenda S. Bowen,

Army Federal Register Liaison Officer. [FR Doc. E9–27789 Filed 11–18–09; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3710–08–P

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

#### Department of the Army

### Performance Review Board Membership

AGENCY: Department of the Army, DoD.

## ACTION: Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is given of the names of members of a Performance Review Board for the Department of the Army. **DATES:** *Effective Date:* November 19, 2009.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Elizabeth Shelley, Civilian Senior Leader Management Office, 111 Army Pentagon, Washington, DC 20310–0111. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 4314(c)(1) through (5) of Title 5, U.S.C., requires each agency to establish, in accordance with regulations, one or more Senior Executive Service performance review boards. The boards shall review and evaluate the initial appraisal of senior executives' performance by supervisors and make recommendations to the appointing authority or rating official relative to the performance of these executives.

The members of the Department of the Army Performance Review Boards are:

1. Ms. Stephanie Barna, Deputy General Counsel (Operations and Personnel), Office of the General Counsel.

2. Dr. Craig College, Deputy, Deputy Assistant Chief of Staff for Installation Management, Office of the Assistant Chief of Staff for Installation Management.

3. Ms. Kathryn Condon, Special Assistant to the Under Secretary of the Army, Office of the Under Secretary of the Army.

4. General Ann E. Dunwoody, Commanding General, United States Army Materiel Command.

5. Ms. Teresa Gerton, Deputy Chief of Staff for Resource Management, United States Army Materiel Command.

6. Mr. Jerry Hansen, Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Army (Strategic Infrastructure), Office of the Assistant Secretary of the Army (Installations and Environment).

7. Ms. Ellen Helmerson, Deputy Chief of Staff, G–1/4 (Personnel and Logistics), United States Army Training and Doctrine Command.

8. Mr. Thomas Lamont, Assistant Secretary of the Army (Manpower and Reserve Affairs), Office of the Secretary of the Army Manpower and Reserve Affairs.

9. Mr. Mark Lewis, Assistant Deputy Chief of Staff for Operations (G-3/5/7), Office of Deputy Chief of Staff for Operations.

10. Mr. Joseph McDade, Assistant Deputy Chief of Staff, G–1, Office of the Assistant G–1.

11. Ms. Joyce E. Morrow, Administrative Assistant to the Secretary of the Army, Office of the Secretary of the Army. 12. Major General Roger Nadeau, Commanding General, Army Test and Evaluation Command.

13. Mr. John Nerger, Executive Director/Director of Services, Assistant Chief of Staff for Installation Management, Installation Management Command.

14. Mr. Levator Norsworthy Jr., Deputy General Counsel (Acquisition)/ Senior Deputy General Counsel, Office of the General Counsel.

15. Lieutenant General James H. Pillsbury, Deputy to the Commanding General, United States Army Material Command.

16. Mr. Dean G. Popps, Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Army (Acquisition, Logistics and Technology)/Director for Iraq Reconstruction and Program Management, Office of the Assistant Secretary of the Army Acquisition, Logistics and Technology).

17. Mr. Danny Pummill, Director of Operations, Office of the Assistant Secretary of the Army (Manpower and Reserve Affairs).

18. Mr. Wimpy Pybus, Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Army for Integrated Logistics Support, Office of the Assistant Secretary of the Army (Acquisition, Logistics, and Technology).

19. Major General Don Riley, Deputy to the Commanding General, United States Army Corps of Engineers.

20. Mr. Craig Schmauder, Deputy General Counsel (Installation, Environment and Civil Works), Office of the General Counsel.

21. Mr. Karl F. Schneider, Principal Deputy to the Assistant Secretary of the Army (Manpower and Reserve Affairs), Office of Assistant Secretary of the Army, Manpower and Reserve Affairs.

22. Mr. Larry Stubblefield, Deputy Administrative Assistant to the Secretary of the Army/Director, Shared Services, Office of the Administrative Assistant to the Secretary of the Army.

23. Lieutenant General Robert L. Van Antwerp Jr., Commanding General, United States Army Corps of Engineers.

#### Brenda S. Bowen,

Army Federal Register Liaison Officer. [FR Doc. E9–27788 Filed 11–18–09; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3710–08–P

#### DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

#### Privacy Act of 1974; Computer Matching Program

**AGENCY:** Department of Education. **ACTION:** Notice—New computer matching agreement between the Department of Education and the Department of Defense.

**SUMMARY:** Section 473(b) of the Higher Education Act of 1965, as amended (HEA) (20 U.S.C. 1087mm(b)) requires the Secretary of Defense to provide the Secretary of Education with information to identify children whose parent or guardian was a member of the Armed Forces of the United States and died as a result of performing military service in Iraq or Afghanistan after September 11, 2001. Beginning with the 2009–2010 Award Year, a qualifying student may be eligible for a higher amount of Title IV, HEA program assistance. The qualifying student must have been age 24 or less at the time of the parent or guardian's death or, if older than 24, enrolled in an institution of higher education on a part-time or full-time basis at the time of the parent or guardian's death. Beginning July 1, 2010, students who are otherwise qualified children of deceased U.S. military who meet the requirements of section 420R of the HEA (20 U.S.C. 1070h) (effective July 1, 2010) may also be eligible for higher amounts of Title IV, HEA program assistance.

In order to ensure that students whose parent or guardian died as a result of performing United States military service in Iraq or Afghanistan after September 11, 2001 receive the maximum allowable amount of Title IV, HEA program assistance, the Department of Defense and the Department of Education are implementing a new computer matching program to commence in the fall of 2009.

The purpose of this notice is to announce the new computer matching program and to provide certain required information concerning the computer matching program.

In accordance with the Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. 552a), as amended by the Computer Matching and Privacy Protection Act of 1988 (Pub. L. 100–503) and the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Guidelines on the Conduct of Matching Programs (54 FR 25818, June 19, 1989), and OMB Circular A–130, the following information is provided: <u>1. Names of Participating Agencies.</u>

1. Names of Participating Agencies. The Department of Education (ED) (recipient agency) and the Department of Defense (DoD)(source agency).

2. *Purpose of the Match*. The purpose of this matching program s to ensure that the requirements of

is to ensure that the requirements of sections 420R and 473(b) of the Higher Education Act of 1965, as amended (HEA) (20 U.S.C. 1070h and 20 U.S.C. 1087mm(b)) are fulfilled. DoD is the lead contact agency for information related to benefits for military service dependents and, as such, provides these data to ED. ED (recipient agency) seeks access to the information contained in the DoD (source agency) Defense Manpower Data Center (DMDC) system and the Defense Enrollment Eligibility Reporting System (DEERS) that contains information ED requires.

3. Authority for Conducting the Matching Program.

Under sections 420R and 473(b) of the HEA (20 U.S.C. 1070h and 20 U.S.C. 1087mm(b)) ED must identify the children of military personnel who have died as a result of their military service in Iraq or Afghanistan after September 11, 2001 to determine if the child is eligible for increased amounts of Title IV, HEA program assistance.

DoD and ED have determined that using DoD data provided to ED for matching against ED's Federal Student Aid Application File (18–11–01) is the only practical method that the agencies can use to meet the statutory requirements of the HEA.

4. Categories of Records and Individuals Covered by the Match.

DoD will submit, for verification, records from its DMDC and DEERS data bases to ED's Central Processing System files (Federal Student Aid Application File (18–11–01)), the Social Security number (SSN) and other identifying information for each DoD selected qualifying dependent record. ED will use the SSN, date of birth, and the first two letters of an applicant's last name to match with the Federal Student Aid Application File.

The DoD DMDC and DEERS systems contains the names, SSNs, dates of birth, and other identifying information regarding dependents of service personnel who died as a result of performing their military service in Iraq or Afghanistan after September 11, 2001. This system of records also contains the date the servicemember died.

# 5. *Effective Dates of the Matching Program.*

The matching program will be effective on the last of the following dates: (1) Thirty (30) days after notice of the matching program has been published in the **Federal Register**; or (2) forty (40) days after a report concerning the matching program has been transmitted to OMB and transmitted to the Congress along with a copy of this agreement, unless OMB waives 10 days of this 40-day period for compelling reasons, in which case, 30 days after transmission of the report to OMB and Congress.