proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
—Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
—Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of This Information
(1) Type of information collection: Reinstatement, with change, of a previously approved collection for which OMB approval has expired, State Court Processing Statistics, 2009.
(3) The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection: The form labels are SCPS—2009, SATCS—2009, Bureau of Justice Statistics, Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice.
(4) Affected Public Who Will Be Asked or Required to Respond, as well as a Brief Abstract: State Trial Courts and Pretrial Agencies. Abstract: The State Court Processing Statistics (SCPS) project covers felony case processing in a sample of the nation’s 75 most populous counties on a recurring basis. In the SCPS data collection program, felony defendants are tracked for up to 1 year with data collected on a variety of felony case processing characteristics. These include the types of arrest charges filed against felony defendants, conditions of pretrial release, and pretrial misconduct which includes the court appearance record, violations of release conditions, and re-arrests committed while on pretrial release. The adjudication outcomes encompassing the dismissal, diversion, guilty plea, and trial conviction rates for felony defendants are also recorded. For those defendants convicted, sentencing data are collected. The SCPS 2009 project also involves collecting aggregate information on the electronic data storage and transfer capacities of courts located in a sample of the nation’s 900 most populous counties.
(5) An Estimate of the Total Number of Respondents and the Amount of Time Estimated for an Average Respondent to Respond: It is estimated that information will be collected on a total of 15,000 felony defendants from 40 responding counties. The estimated burden hours will be contingent upon the counties electronic storage and transfer capabilities. Data collection will occur in a more timely and expeditious manner among counties with the capacities to electronically transfer all their case processing, pretrial, and criminal history information to the data collection agent. It is estimated that about 10 of the 40 counties have the capacity to transfer entire files of SCPS cases and that it should take these counties about 15 hours per county to produce programs capable of transferring the SCPS data to the data collection agent. For the remaining 30 counties that lack the capacity to engage in electronic transfers, data collection will involve manually coding the SCPS survey forms for an online or paper based submission. Prior SCPS data collection endeavors show an estimated one hour to manually code each SCPS case for online or paper based submission. In addition to collecting case processing information, courts located in 200 jurisdictions will be asked to complete a spreadsheet surveying their overall levels of case and pretrial automation. Pretests of the instrument found that the average time to complete the spreadsheet was about 2 hours per trial court.
(6) An Estimate of the Total Public Burden (in hours) Associated with the Collection: The estimated public burden associated for the SCPS data collection is 11,800 hours. In the 30 counties in which SCPS cases are manually coded for paper or online based submission, an estimated 11,250 data collection forms (375 forms per county) will be coded and it should take an estimated one hour to code each data collection form. Hence, the estimated public burden associated with the manual based collection of SCPS data forms should be about 11,250 hours. In the 10 counties in which SCPS cases can be transferred through computerized case management systems, it should take an estimated 150 hours (15 hours per county) to generate the programs capable of transferring information for these SCPS cases. Lastly, about 400 hours will be required to complete the spreadsheets surveying the overall levels of case and pretrial automation for courts located in 200 counties (200 counties multiplied by 2 hours per spreadsheet). Therefore, the total burden time for the SCPS 2009 project should be about 11,800 hours (11,250 hours for manual based data collection + 150 hours for computerized transfer of automated SCPS data + 400 hours for the survey of court automation capacities).
If additional information is required contact: Ms. Lynn Bryant, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Suite 1600, 601 D Street, NW., Washington, DC 20530.
Dated: January 12, 2010.
Ms. Lynn Bryant,
Department Clearance Officer, PRA, U.S. Department of Justice.
[FR Doc. 2010–768 Filed 1–15–10; 8:45 am]
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DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—International Electronics Manufacturing Initiative

Notice is hereby given that, on December 15, 2009, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 et seq. (“the Act”), International Electronics Manufacturing Initiative (“iNEMI”) has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Elec & Eltek, Kowloon, HONG KONG—CHINA; Guangdong Shengyi Sci. Tech Co., Guangdong, PEOPLE’S REPUBLIC OF CHINA; Ibiden, Toshiba-cho, JAPAN; Pacific Insulating Material Co., Ltd., Shenzhen, PEOPLE’S REPUBLIC OF CHINA; Lenovo, Quarry Bay, HONG KONG—CHINA; and Quanta Computer Inc., Tao Yuan Shien, TAIWAN, have been added as parties to this venture.
Also, Agile Software Corporation, San Jose, CA; NanoDynamics, Inc., Buffalo, NY; Ciba, Tarrytown, NY; 3M, St. Paul, MN; Ministère du Développement économique, de l’Innovation et de l’Exportation (Gouvernement du Québec) Montreal, Quebec, CANADA; Motorola, Inc., Schaumburg, IL; Jabil Circuit, St. Petersburg, FL; and ERSA North America, Plymouth, WI have withdrawn as parties to this venture.
No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and iNEMI intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.
On June 6, 1996, iNEMI filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of
Justice published a notice in the Federal Register pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on June 28, 1996 (61 FR 33774).

The last notification was filed with the Department on November 4, 2008. A notice was published in the Federal Register pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on December 12, 2008 (73 FR 75772).

Patricia A. Brink,
Deputy Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 2010–641 Filed 1–15–10; 8:45 am]
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DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers

Notice is hereby given that, on December 28, 2009, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 et seq. ("the Act"), the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers ("IEEE") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Quatius Limited, Kowloon, HONG KONG–CHINA has been added as a party to this venture. Also, Futurque A/S, Aalborg, DENMARK; Hyo Seong Techno Corporation, Seoul, REPUBLIC OF KOREA; OPT Corporation, Nagano-ken, JAPAN; and Shinano Kenshi Co., Ltd., Nagano-ken, JAPAN have withdrawn as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and DVD CCA intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On April 11, 2001, DVD CCA filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the Federal Register pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on August 3, 2001 (66 FR 40727).

The last notification was filed with the Department on September 17, 2004, IEEE filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the Federal Register pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on November 3, 2004 (69 FR 64105).

On September 17, 2004, IEEE filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the Federal Register pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on November 3, 2004 (69 FR 64105).

The last notification was filed with the Department on July 6, 2009. A notice was published in the Federal Register pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on August 3, 2009 (74 FR 38473).

Patricia A. Brink,
Deputy Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 2010–640 Filed 1–15–10; 8:45 am]
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DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Occupational Safety and Health Administration

[Docket No. OSHA–2010–0004]

OSHA Listens: Occupational Safety and Health Administration Stakeholder Meeting

AGENCY: Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), Labor.

ACTION: Notice of public meeting.

SUMMARY: The Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) is announcing a public meeting to solicit comments and suggestions from stakeholders on key issues facing the agency.

DATES: The public meeting will be held on February 10, 2010, from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m. Persons interested in attending the meeting must register by February 3, 2010. In addition, comments relating to the “Scope of Meeting” section of this document must be submitted in written or electronic form by March 30, 2010.

ADDRESSES: The public meeting will be held at the Frances Perkins Building Auditorium, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210.

Submit written comments to the OSHA Docket Office, Docket No. OSHA–2010–0004, Technical Data Center, Room N–2625, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210; telephone (202) 693–2350. Submit electronic comments by e-mail to: stakeholder.meeting@dol.gov. All comments should be identified with Docket No. OSHA–2010–0004.

Registration To Attend and/or To Participate in the Meeting: If you wish to attend the public meeting and/or make an oral presentation at the meeting, you must register by e-mail to: stakeholder.meeting@dol.gov by close of business on February 3, 2010. When registering, you must provide the following information: (1) Your name, title, company or organization (if applicable), address, phone number and e-mail address, and (2) if you wish to make a short presentation, the specific topic or issue to be addressed. Actual times provided for presentation will depend on the number of requests. There is no fee to register for the public meeting. Registration on the day of the public meeting will be permitted on a space-available basis beginning at 8:30 a.m.

We will do our best to accommodate all persons who wish to make a presentation at the meeting. OSHA