Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) and Record of Decision. Because of the significance of paleontological, botanical, hydrological, and cultural resources present within the CTA study area and the need for additional public input, the BLM is preparing a Supplemental EIS. The BLM proposes to establish a final boundary for the CTA. This decision was not made in the 2004 Record of Decision. The CTA study area is located in the northern portion of the Las Vegas Valley. A defined final boundary is needed to ensure protection of sensitive resources, including fossils, cultural resources, the natural functioning of the wash, and endemic plants on public lands available for disposal within the CTA study area, in accordance with applicable laws.

Six alternatives for boundaries are analyzed, ranging from approximately 13,000 acres to less than 1,500 acres. Alternative A, at 12,953 acres, includes the fossil formation, sensitive cultural and plant resources, active wash, the adjacent alluvial fan, and a one mile resource protection zone around northern and eastern boundaries of the Las Vegas Paiute reservation. Alternative B, at 11,008 acres, includes the fossil formation, sensitive cultural and plant resources, active wash, and the adjacent alluvial fan. Alternative B is the BLM’s Preferred Alternative. Alternative C, at 6,362 acres, includes the fossil formation, sensitive cultural and plant resources, active wash, and a portion of the adjacent alluvial fan. Alternative D, at 5,301 acres, includes most of the fossil formation, the sensitive cultural and rare plant resources, and the active wash. Alternative E, at 3,314 acres, includes some of the fossil formation, the sensitive cultural and rare plant resources, and part of the active wash. The No Action alternative, at 1,448 acres, includes the Tule Spring cultural site and the 300-acre Eglington Preserve. Scoping of the project occurred from June 6 to August 20, 2007, and was extended to September 4, 2007. A total of 1,183 individuals submitted comments. Comments received pertained to a variety of broad categories, including alternatives, boundaries, management, and physical/natural resources. Additional stakeholder involvement has been achieved through the BLM’s newsletters that provided updates on the Supplemental EIS process.

The Draft Supplemental EIS addresses the following issues identified during scoping: NEPA process (consultation/coordination, NEPA, proposal description, alternatives, and connected actions/cumulative impacts); social resources (cultural resources, visual resources, noise, land use, recreation, transportation, and socioeconomic resources); and physical/natural resources (botanical resources, water resources, paleontological resources, and geologic/soil resources).

Maps of the CTA study area and the alternatives being analyzed in the Supplemental EIS are available at the BLM Las Vegas Field Office. Please note that public comments and information submitted including names, street addresses, and e-mail addresses by only one of the following means: (1) by mail to: Brad Mehaffy, NEPA Compliance Officer at the National Indian Gaming Commission; 202–632–7003 or by facsimile to 303–632–7066 (not toll-free numbers).

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION: In response to several requests, the Acting Chairman of the National Indian Gaming Commission has decided to reopen the comment period on the Draft NEPA Procedures Manual for an additional 45 days.

George T. Skibine, Acting Chairman, National Indian Gaming Commission.

[FR Doc. 2010–1148 Filed 1–21–10; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7565–01–P

UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

Preserved Mushrooms from Chile, China, India, and Indonesia


ACTION: Scheduling of expedited five-year reviews concerning the antidumping duty orders on preserved mushrooms from Chile, China, India, and Indonesia.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of expedited reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(3)) (the Act) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty orders on preserved mushrooms from Chile, China, India, and Indonesia would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. For further information concerning the conduct of these reviews and rules of general application, consult the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

DATES: Effective Date: January 4, 2010.

FEDERAL REGISTRATION

information on this matter by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000.

General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (http://www.usitc.gov). The public record for these reviews may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background.—On January 4, 2010, the Commission determined that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution (74 FR 50818, October 1, 2009) for each review was adequate and that the respondent interested party group response was inadequate. The Commission did not find any other circumstances that would warrant conducting full reviews. Accordingly, the Commission determined that it would conduct expedited reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Act.

Staff report.—A staff report containing information concerning the subject matter of the reviews will be placed in the nonpublic record on February 17, 2010, and made available to persons on the Administrative Protective Order service list for these reviews. A public version will be issued thereafter, pursuant to section 207.62(d)(4) of the Commission’s rules.

Written submissions.—As provided in section 207.62(d) of the Commission’s rules, interested parties that are parties to the reviews and that have provided individually adequate responses to the notice of institution, and any party other than an interested party to the reviews, may file written comments with the Secretary on what determination the Commission should reach in the reviews. Comments are due on or before February 23, 2010 and may not contain new factual information. Any person that is neither a party to the five-year reviews nor an interested party may submit a brief written statement (which shall not contain any new factual information) pertinent to the review by February 23, 2010. If comments contain business proprietary information (BPI), the comments must comply with the requirements of sections 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission’s rules. The Commission’s rules do not authorize filing of submissions with the Secretary by facsimile or electronic means, except to the extent permitted by section 201.8 of the Commission’s rules, as amended, 67 FR 68036 (November 8, 2002). Even where electronic filing of a document is permitted, certain documents must also be filed in paper form, as specified in II (C) of the Commission’s Handbook on Electronic Filing Procedures, 67 Fed. Reg. 68168, 68173 (November 8, 2002).

In accordance with sections 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to the reviews must be served on all other parties to the reviews (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

Determination.—The Commission has determined to exercise its authority to extend the review period by up to 90 days pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)(B).

Authority: These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission’s rules.


Marilyn R. Abbott, Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2010–1136 Filed 1–21–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[OMB Number 1121–0311]

Bureau of Justice Statistics; Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comments Requested


The Department of Justice (DOJ), Bureau of Justice Statistics, will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for “sixty days” until March 23, 2010. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

If you have comments especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Paige M. Harrison, Bureau of Justice Statistics, 810 Seventh Street, NW., Washington, DC 20531 (phone: 202–514–0809).

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

—Evaluate whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
—Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
—Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
—Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of this information collection:

(1) Type of Information Collection: Extension of existing collection with change.

(2) Title of the Form/Collection: National Inmate Survey.

(3) Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department of Justice sponsoring the collection: Paper and Pencil questionnaires—PAPI M12, PAPI M<12, PAPI F12, PAPI F<12, SP PAPI M12, SP PAPI M<12, SP PAPI F12, SP PAPI F<12; Facility Characteristics survey—NIS FS. The Bureau of Justice Statistics, Office of Justice Programs, Department of Justice is the sponsor for the collection.

(4) Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract: Primary: State, Local, or Tribal Government. Other: Federal Government, Business or other for-profit, Not-for-profit institutions. The work under this clearance will be used to produce estimates for the incidence and prevalence of sexual assault within correctional facilities as required under the Prison Rape Elimination Act of 2003 (Pub. L. 108–79).

(5) An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time