Applicant requests a new permit for research and recovery purposes to conduct presence/absence surveys of lesser long-nosed bat (Leptonycteris curasoae varbaunae) within Arizona.

Authority: 16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.


Thomas L. Bauer,
Regional Director, Southwest Region, Fish and Wildlife Service.

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
National Park Service

Intent To Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for the New Merced Wild and Scenic River Comprehensive Management Plan Yosemite National Park, Mariposa and Madera Counties, CA

ACTION: Notice of second extension of public scoping period.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (Pub. L. 91–190) and the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act (Pub. L. 90–542), the National Park Service reopened public scoping for planning and environmental impact analysis for a new Merced Wild and Scenic River Comprehensive Management Plan and Environmental Impact Statement in Yosemite National Park. The original notice of intent to prepare the Draft EIS was published in the Federal Register on June 30, 2009; the original public scoping period was extended through December 4, 2009 (as noted in the Federal Register on August 25, 2009). In deference to continued public interest, the scoping period has been further extended for two months.

DATES: Comments must be received by February 4, 2010. If you submitted comments previously, you do not need to resubmit them. We will also consider any comments that we received between the previous deadline of December 4, 2009 and February 4, 2010.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Interested individuals, organizations, and agencies are encouraged to provide written comments. To be considered any response must be postmarked or transmitted by February 4, 2010. Any comments already provided need not be resubmitted. All new responses should be addressed to the Superintendent, Attn: Merced River Plan, Yosemite National Park, P.O. Box 577, Yosemite National Park, CA 95389, or may be faxed to (209) 379–1294. Before including your address, phone number, e-mail address, or other personal identifying information, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

To inquire about additional public meetings that may be scheduled, or to obtain other current information, please contact the planning team at (209) 379–1112, or refer to periodic updates posted at http://www.nps.gov/yose/parkmgmt/newmp.htm.

Rory D. Westberg,
Acting Regional Director, Pacific West Region.

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Bureau of Land Management

John Day/Snake Resource Advisory Council; Meetings

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Meeting Notice for the John Day/Snake Resource Advisory Council.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the Federal Land Policy and Management Act and the Federal Advisory Committee Act, the U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management (BLM) John Day/ Snake Resource Advisory Council (JDSRAC) will meet as indicated below:

DATES: The JDSRAC meeting will begin 8 a.m. PST on February 24, 2010.

ADDRESSES: The JDSRAC will meet at the Oxford Suites Pendleton, 2400 SW Court Place, Pendleton, Oregon 97801.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The meeting agenda includes new member orientation, setting the calendar for 2010 meetings, election of officers for 2010, Baker Resource Management Plan RAC Involvement, Sub-Committee Reports, and other matters as may reasonably come before the Council. The public is welcome to attend all portions of the meeting and may make oral comments to the Council at 1 p.m. on February 24, 2010. Those who verbally address the JDSRAC are asked to provide a written statement of their comments or presentation. Unless otherwise approved by the JDSRAC Chair, the public comment period will last no longer than 15 minutes, and each speaker may address the JDSRAC for a
maximum of five minutes. If reasonable accommodation is required, please contact the BLM's Prineville District at (541) 416–6889 as soon as possible.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Christina Lilienthal, Public Affairs Specialist, 3050 NE Third, Prineville, OR 97754, (541) 416–6889 or e-mail: christina_lilienthal@blm.gov.

Deborah J. Henderson-Norton, District Manager, Prineville District Office.

[FR Doc. 2010–2426 Filed 2–3–10; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4310–33–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–665]

In the Matter of: Certain Semiconductor Integrated Circuits and Products Containing Same: Notice of Commission Determination To Review in Part a Final Initial Determination Finding No Violation of Section 337 and on Review To Take No Position on One Issue; Termination of the Investigation With a Finding of No Violation


ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to review in part the final initial determination ("ID") issued by the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") on October 14, 2009, finding no violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in this investigation. On review, the Commission has determined to take no position on one issue, and to terminate this investigation with a finding of no violation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Sidney A. Rosenzweig, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708–2532. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http://www.usitc.gov).

The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted Inv. No. 337–TA–665 on December 24, 2008, based on a complaint filed by Qimonda AG of Munich, Germany ("Qimonda"). 73 FR 79165 (Dec. 24, 2008). The complaint alleged a violation of section 337 in the importation, sale for importation, and sale within the United States after importation of certain semiconductor integrated circuits and products containing same by reason of infringement of various claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 5,213,670 ("the '670 patent"); 5,646,434 ("the '434 patent"); 5,851,899 ("the '899 patent"); 6,495,918 ("the '918 patent"); 6,593,240 ("the '240 patent"); 6,714,055 ("the '055 patent"); and 6,103,456 ("the '456 patent"). The complaint further alleged that there exists a domestic industry with respect to each of the asserted patents. The complaint named the following respondents: LSI Corporation of Milpitas, California ("LSI"); Seagate Technology of the Cayman Islands; Seagate Technology (US) Holdings Inc. of Scotts Valley, California; Seagate Memory Products (US) Corporation of Scotts Valley, California; and Seagate (US) LLC of Scotts Valley, California (collectively "Seagate"). Qimonda accuses of infringement certain LSI integrated circuits, as well as certain Seagate hard disk drives that contain the accused LSI integrated circuits.

The ALJ conducted an evidentiary hearing in Munich, Germany (on a complaint filed by Qimonda AG of Munich, Germany ("Qimonda"). 73 FR 79165 (Dec. 24, 2008). The complaint alleged a violation of section 337 in the importation, sale for importation, and sale within the United States after importation of certain semiconductor integrated circuits and products containing same by reason of infringement of various claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 5,213,670 ("the '670 patent"); 5,646,434 ("the '434 patent"); 5,851,899 ("the '899 patent"); 6,495,918 ("the '918 patent"); 6,593,240 ("the '240 patent"); 6,714,055 ("the '055 patent"); and 6,103,456 ("the '456 patent"). The complaint further alleged that there exists a domestic industry with respect to each of the asserted patents. The complaint named the following respondents: LSI Corporation of Milpitas, California ("LSI"); Seagate Technology of the Cayman Islands; Seagate Technology (US) Holdings Inc. of Scotts Valley, California; Seagate Memory Products (US) Corporation of Scotts Valley, California; and Seagate (US) LLC of Scotts Valley, California (collectively "Seagate"). Qimonda accuses of infringement certain LSI integrated circuits, as well as certain Seagate hard disk drives that contain the accused LSI integrated circuits.

The ALJ conducted an evidentiary hearing from June 1–9, 2009. Prior to the hearing, Qimonda tacitly withdrew three of the asserted patents: The '055 patent, the '240 patent, and the '456 patent. Qimonda did not present evidence regarding those patents at the hearing, and did not include any analysis of those patents in its post-hearing briefs.

On October 14, 2009, the ALJ issued his final ID. The ID formally withdrew the '055 patent, the '240 patent, and the '456 patent from the investigation. The ALJ found that based on his claim constructions, Qimonda had not demonstrated that it practices any of the patents in suit. Accordingly, the ALJ ruled that an industry does not exist in the United States that exploits any of the four remaining asserted patents, as required by 19 U.S.C. 1337(a)(2). The ALJ ruled that certain LSI products infringe some, but not all, of the '918 patent, but that no accused products infringe any of the other asserted patents. The ALJ ruled that all of the asserted claims of the '918 patent, and some of the asserted claims of the '434 patent, are invalid under 35 U.S.C. 102, but that the asserted claims of the '670 and '899 patents are not invalid.

On October 27, 2009, Qimonda filed a petition for review of the ID. Qimonda did not petition for review of the ALJ’s finding of no violation of section 337 as to the '670 patent. Thus, only three patents—the '434, '899, and '918 patents—remain in suit. On November 5, 2009, the Respondents and IA filed responses to Qimonda’s petition.

Having examined the record of this investigation, including the ALJ’s final ID, the petition for review, and the responses thereto, the Commission has determined to review the final ID in part. Specifically, the Commission has determined to review and to take no position on whether U.S. Patent No. 6,424,051 to Shinogi anticipates, under 35 U.S.C. 102, any of the asserted claims of the '918 patent. See Beloit Corp. v. Valmet Oy, 742 F.2d 1421, 1422–23 (Fed. Cir. 1984).

The Commission has determined not to review the remainder of the ID. Accordingly, the Commission has terminated this investigation with a finding of no violation.


By order of the Commission.
Marilyn R. Abbott,
Secretary to the Commission.
[FR Doc. 2010–2319 Filed 2–3–10; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7020–08–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 332–509; Inv. No. 332–510]

Small and Medium-Sized Enterprises: U.S. and EU Export Activities, and Barriers and Opportunities Experienced by U.S. Firms and Small and Medium-Sized Enterprises: Characteristics and Performance


ACTION: Notice of time and place of additional public hearings in St. Louis, MO, and Portland, OR, and reaffirming of time and place of Washington, DC hearing.