SUMMARY: The Commodity Futures Trading Commission has submitted information collection 3038–0017, Market Surveys, to OMB for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13). The information collected pursuant to these rules is in the public interest and is necessary for market surveillance.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before April 5, 2010.

ADRESSES: Persons wishing to comment on this information collection should contact Gary J. Martinaitis, Division of Market Oversight, U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission, 1155 21st Street, NW., Washington, DC 20581; Fax (202) 418–5527; or E-mail: martinaitis@cftc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for the CFTC’s regulations were published on December 30, 1981. See 46 FR 63035 (Dec. 30, 1981). The Federal Register notice with a 60-day comment period soliciting comments on this collection of information was published on December 30, 2009 (74 FR 69076).

Title: Market Surveys.

Control Number: 3038–0017.

Action: This is a request for extension of a currently approved information collection.

Respondents: Businesses (excluding small businesses).

Estimated Annual Burden: 700 total hours.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Respondents</th>
<th>Businesses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regulation (17 CFR)</td>
<td>21.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated number of respondents</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reports annually by each respondent</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total annual responses</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated number of hours per response</td>
<td>1.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


David A. Stawick,
Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2010–4535 Filed 3–3–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

Sunshine Act Meetings

TIME AND DATE: Wednesday, March 10, 2010; 2 p.m.

PLACE: Hearing Room 420, Bethesda Towers, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, Maryland.

STATUS: Closed to the Public.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:


A live webcast of the Meeting can be viewed at http://www.cpsc.gov/webcast/index.html.

For a recorded message containing the latest agenda information, call (301) 504–7948.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:
Todd A. Stevenson, Office of the Secretary, U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, MD 20814 (301) 504–7923.

Dated: March 1, 2010.

Todd A. Stevenson,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2010–4596 Filed 3–2–10; 4:15 pm]

BILLING CODE 6355–01–P

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

Draft Principles and Standards Sections of the “Economic and Environmental Principles and Guidelines for Water and Related Land Resources Implementation Studies”; Initiation of Revision and Request for Comments

AGENCY: Council on Environmental Quality.

ACTION: Notice of the extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: This notice extends the comment period on a notice published in the Federal Register on December 9, 2009 (74 FR 65102). The original date that the comment period would end was March 5, 2010. That date will now be extended until April 5, 2010.

Section 2031 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (Pub. L. 110–114) directs the Secretary of the Army to revise the “Economic and Environmental Principles and Guidelines for Water and Related Land Resources Implementation Studies,” (P&G) dated March 10, 1983, consistent with a number of considerations enumerated in the statute. The Administration has initiated the development of uniform planning standards for the development of water resources that would apply to water resources development programs and activities government-wide, to agencies in addition to the traditional water resources development agencies covered under the current Principles and Guidelines: the Army Corps of Engineers, Bureau of Reclamation (Interior), Natural Resources Conservation Service (USDA), and Tennessee Valley Authority. Therefore, the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ), in coordination with the Office of Management and Budget, has implemented a two phase interagency process revising the planning guidance. The first phase focused on facilitating interagency revisions to the “Principles and Standards” (Chapter I of the existing P&G) of Principles and Guidelines for planning water resources projects. The second phase will address revisions to the Procedures (Chapters II through IV of the 1983 P&G).

Upon approval of the revised “Principles and Standards” and the future revision of the Procedures, the entire revision will apply to Federal water resources implementation studies including project reevaluations and modifications except those commenced prior to the issuance of the revised guidance. The purpose of this notice is
to provide an opportunity for interested individuals and organizations to submit comments on the revised “Principles and Standards”. Using these comments and those from the National Academy of Sciences, CEQ will lead an interagency effort to finalize the Principles and Standards and draft the Procedures sections of the Principles and Guidelines.

Draft Document For Review: The draft “Principles and Standards” for review can be accessed on the Internet at http://www.whitehouse.gov/administration/eop/ceq/initiatives/PandG/ or, upon request, will be provided by mail or e-mail.

DATES: CEQ is extending the written comments period, they will be accepted through April 5, 2010.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be submitted in writing to the Council on Environmental Quality, Attn: Terry Breyman, 722 Jackson Place, NW., Washington, DC 20503, via e-mail to P&G@ceq.eop.gov; FAX 202–456–6546, or submitted via the CEQ Web page at http://www.whitehouse.gov/administration/eop/ceq/initiatives/PandG/.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Terry Breyman, Deputy Associate Director for Natural Resources, at 202–456–9721.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Council on Environmental Quality in conjunction with the Office of Management and Budget is seeking comments on the revised draft of the “Principles and Standards” (Chapter I of the 1983 P&G) which is the first phase. Revision of Chapters II through IV of the Procedures will be initiated at a later date. Written comments should be submitted to Terry Breyman, 722 Jackson Place, NW., Washington, DC 20503 or via e-mail to P&G@ceq.eop.gov or FAX 202–456–6546. Comments may also be submitted directly to the Council on Environmental Quality Web page at http://www.whitehouse.gov/administration/eop/ceq/initiatives/PandG/. To help understand the changes, the following background documents will be made available by mail or e-mail or they may be accessed at the Internet addresses indicated: “Economic and Environmental Principles and Guidelines for Water and Related Land Resources Implementation Studies dated March 10, 1983 (http://www.usace.army.mil/CECW/PlanningCOP/Documents/library/Principles_Guidelines.pdf) Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (Pub. L. 110–114) at http://www.usace.army.mil/CECW/PlanningCOP/Documents/library/hr1495_pl110–114.pdf.


Nancy H. Sutley,
Chair, Council on Environmental Quality.

[FR Doc. 2010–4501 Filed 3–3–10; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3125–W0–P

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Office of Innovation and Improvement; Overview Information Magnet Schools Assistance Program; Notice Inviting Applications for New Awards for Fiscal Year (FY) 2010


Full Text of Announcement

I. Funding Opportunity Description

Purpose of Program: The Magnet Schools Assistance Program (MSAP) provides grants to eligible local educational agencies (LEAs) and consortia of LEAs to support magnet schools that are part of an approved desegregation plan. Through the implementation of magnet schools, these program resources can be used in pursuit of the objectives of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), which supports State and local efforts to enable all elementary and secondary students to achieve to high standards, and holds schools, LEAs, and States accountable for ensuring that their students do so. In particular, the MSAP provides an opportunity for eligible entities to focus on expanding their capacity to provide public school choice to students who attend schools identified for improvement, corrective action, or restructuring under Title I, Part A of the ESEA.

Priorities: This competition includes four competitive preference priorities which are explained in the following paragraphs.

Competitive Preference Priorities: In accordance with 34 CFR 75.105(b)(2)(i), Priorities 1, 2, and 3 are from the regulations for this program (34 CFR 280.32). Priority 4 is from the notice of final funding policy published in the Federal Register on March 9, 2007 (72 FR 10729).

For FY 2010, these priorities are competitive preference priorities. Under 34 CFR 75.105(c)(2)(i) we award up to an additional 40 points to an application, depending on how well the application meets these priorities. The maximum possible points for each priority are indicated in parentheses following the title of the competitive preference priority. These points are in addition to any points the application earns under the selection criteria.

These priorities are:

Priority 1—Need for assistance (up to 10 additional points). The Secretary evaluates the applicant’s needs for assistance under the MSAP regulations in 34 CFR part 280, by considering—

(a) The costs of fully implementing the magnet schools project as proposed;

(b) The resources available to the applicant to carry out the project if funds under the program were not provided;

(c) The extent to which the costs of the project exceed the applicant’s resources; and

(d) The difficulty of effectively carrying out the approved plan and the project for which assistance is sought, including consideration of how the design of the magnet schools project—e.g., the type of program proposed, the location of the magnet school within the LEA—impacts on the applicant’s ability to carry out the approved plan successfully.

Priority 2—New or revised magnet school projects (up to 10 additional points). The Secretary determines the extent to which the applicant proposes to carry out new magnet schools projects or significantly revise existing magnet schools projects.

Priority 3—Selection of students (up to 10 additional points). The Secretary determines the extent to which the applicant proposes to select students to attend magnet schools by methods such as lottery, rather than through academic examination.

Priority 4—Expanding Capacity to Provide Choice (up to 10 additional points). This priority supports projects that will—

(1) Help parents whose children attend low-performing schools (that is, schools that have been identified for school improvement, corrective action, or restructuring under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965, as amended) by—

(a) Selecting schools identified for school improvement, corrective action, or restructuring under Title I as magnet schools to be funded under this project and improving the quality of teaching and instruction in these schools; or