having unsupervised contact with children (or other vulnerable population) would ideally have been submitted to the FBI and approved pursuant to Public Law 92–544. However, in the absence of such approved state statute, the FBI Compact Officer may determine that the National Child Protection Act (NCPA)/Volunteers for Children Act (VCA) may be used as authorization to access FBI-maintained criminal history record information during emergencies and disasters. Authorized federal or state officials must ensure, within reason, that a system is maintained to track the name-based criminal history record checks of the III system and the required follow-up fingerprint submissions during the emergency period and to ensure name-based check results are disseminated solely to government officials to be used for official purposes.


Liane M. Moriyama,
Compact Council Chairman.

FR Doc. 2010–5799 Filed 3–16–10; 8:45 am

BILLING CODE 4410–02–P

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Proposal Review; Notice of Meetings

In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463, as amended), the National Science Foundation (NSF) announces its intent to hold proposal review meetings throughout the year. The purpose of these meetings is to provide advice and recommendations concerning proposals submitted to the NSF for financial support. The agenda for each of these meetings is to review and evaluate proposals as part of the selection process for awards. The review and evaluation may also include assessment of the progress of awarded proposals. The majority of these meetings will take place at NSF, 4201 Wilson Blvd., Arlington, Virginia 22230.

These meetings will be closed to the public. The proposals being reviewed include information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including technical information; financial data, such as salaries; and personal information concerning individuals associated with the proposals. These matters are exempt under 5 U.S.C. 552b(c), (4) and (6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act. NSF will continue to review the agenda and merits of each meeting for overall compliance of the Federal Advisory Committee Act.

These closed proposal review meetings will not be announced on an individual basis in the Federal Register. NSF intends to publish a notice similar to this on a quarterly basis. For an advance listing of the closed proposal review meetings that include the names of the proposal review panel and the time, date, place, and any information on changes, corrections, or cancellations, please visit the NSF Web-site: http://www.nsf.gov. This information may also be requested by telephoning, 703/292–8182.

Dated: March 12, 2010.

Susanne Bolton,
Committee Management Officer.

FR Doc. 2010–5799 Filed 3–16–10; 8:45 am

BILLING CODE 7555–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards (ACRS); Meeting of the ACRS Subcommittee on EPR; Notice of Meeting

The ACRS U.S. Evolutionary Power Reactor (EPR) Subcommittee will hold a meeting on April 6, 2010, at 11545 Rockville Pike, T2–B1, Rockville, Maryland.

The entire meeting will be open to public attendance, with the exception of a portion that may be closed to protect information that is proprietary to AREVA NP, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(4).

The proposed agenda for the subject meeting shall be as follows:

Tuesday, April 6, 2010, 8:30 a.m.–5 p.m.

The Subcommittee will review Chapters 11 and 16 of the Safety Evaluation Report with Open Items associated with the U.S. EPR Design Certification Document Review. The Subcommittee will gather information, analyze relevant issues and facts, and formulate proposed positions and actions, as appropriate, for deliberation by the Full Committee.

Members of the public desiring to provide oral statements and/or written comments should notify the Designated Federal Official (DFO), Mr. Derek Widmayer (Telephone 301–415–7366, E-mail: Derek.Widmayer@nrc.gov) five days prior to the meeting, if possible, so that appropriate arrangements can be made. Thirty-five hard copies of each presentation or handout should be provided to the DFO one day before meeting. If an electronic copy cannot be provided within this timeframe, presenters should provide the DFO with a CD containing each presentation at least thirty minutes before the meeting. Electronic recordings will be permitted. Detailed procedures for the conduct of and participation in ACRS meetings were published in the Federal Register on October 14, 2009, (74 FR 58268–58269).

Detailed meeting agendas and meeting transcripts are available on the NRC Web site at http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/acrs. Information regarding topics to be discussed, changes to the agenda, whether the meeting has been canceled or rescheduled, and the time allotted to present oral statements can be obtained from the Web site cited above or by contacting the identified DFO.

Moreover, in view of the possibility that the schedule for ACRS meetings may be adjusted by the Chairman as necessary to facilitate the conduct of the meeting, persons planning to attend should check with these references if such rescheduling would result in major inconvenience.

Dated: March 12, 2010.

Antonio F. Dias,
Branch Chief, Reactor Safety Branch B, Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards.

FR Doc. 2010–5791 Filed 3–16–10; 8:45 am

BILLING CODE 7550–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Withdrawal of Regulatory Guide 8.6

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Introduction

RG 8.6 provided guidance for licensees to comply with Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) Part 20, “Standards for Protection against Radiation,” specifically 10 CFR 20.201, “Precautionary Procedures: Surveys” which required surveys, including appropriate measurements of levels of radiation. That provision, 10 CFR 20.201, was deleted, and that subject matter is addressed in a new section, 10 CFR 20.1501, “General,” which states that licensees shall perform surveys to ensure that instruments and equipment used for quantitative radiation measurements (e.g., dose rate and effluent monitoring) are calibrated periodically for the radiation measured.

In the 1970s, the GM counters were the main radiation detection instruments used by licensees. At that time, radiation protection programs needed the guidance included in RG 8.6 because there was limited information available on the use and maintenance of these counters. However, since the 1970s, technology has changed radically, and currently, in addition to GM counters, there are many types of radiation detection and measurement instruments used. Most of them are fairly complex to operate, maintain, and calibrate.

Generally, the NRC does not provide specific guidance for the technical testing or calibration of radiation detection and measurement equipment. Any such guidance would soon become outdated, since the development of these instruments is continuously advancing, producing new models tailored to a range of specialized clientele. The manufacturers provide instructions and training for testing and calibration of each new instrument. Also, since the 1970s, the industry has gained extensive experience in the characteristics of the GM counters and other instruments. In addition, organizations such as the National Institute of Standards and Technology and other private groups offer calibration services to those who lack in-house training and experience in testing and calibrating instruments.

II. Further Information

The withdrawal of RG 8.6 does not alter any prior or existing licensing commitments or conditions based on its use. The guidance provided in RG 8.6 is neither necessary nor current. Regulatory guides may be withdrawn when their guidance is superseded by congressional action or no longer provides useful information. Regulatory guides are available for inspection or downloading through the NRC’s public Web site under “Regulatory Guides” in the NRC’s Electronic Reading Room at http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections. Regulatory guides are also available for inspection at the NRC’s Public Document Room (PDR), Room O–1 F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD 20852–2738. The PDR’s mailing address is US NRC PDR, Washington, DC 20555–0001. The PDR staff can be reached by telephone at 301–415–4737 or 800–397–4209, by fax at 301–415–3548, and by e-mail to pdr.resource@nrc.gov.

Regulatory guides are not copyrighted, and NRC approval is not required to reproduce them.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 9th day of March, 2010.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Andrea D. Valentin,
Chief, Regulatory Guide Development Branch, Division of Engineering, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research.

[FR Doc. 2010–5794 Filed 3–16–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION
[Docket No. CP2010–26; Order No. 420]

New Postal Product

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission is noticing a recently-filed Postal Service request to include a new contract within the existing Global Expedited Package Services 2 (GEPS 2) product. The Postal Service characterizes the referenced contract as a successor to a current contract, which will terminate early due to fulfillment of a volume condition. This notice addresses procedural steps associated with this filing.

DATES: Comments are due: March 19, 2010.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically via the Commission’s Filing Online system at http://www.prc.gov. Commenters who cannot submit their views electronically should contact the person identified in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section by telephone for advice on alternatives to electronic filing.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel, 202–789–6820 or stephen.sharfman@prc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Contents

I. Introduction
II. Notice of Filing

III. Ordering Paragraphs

I. Introduction

On March 10, 2010, the Postal Service filed a notice announcing that it has entered into an additional Global Expedited Package Services 2 (GEPS 2) contract. The Postal Service believes the instant contract is functionally equivalent to previously submitted GEPS 2 contracts, and is supported by Governors’ Decision No. 08–7, attached to the Notice and originally filed in Docket No. CP2008–4. Id. at 1.

Attachment 3. The Notice also explains that Order No. 86, which established GEPS 1 as a product, also authorized functionally equivalent agreements to be included within the product, provided that they meet the requirements of 39 U.S.C. 3633. Id. at 1. In Order No. 290, the Commission approved the GEPS 2 product. The instant contract. The Postal Service filed the instant contract pursuant to 39 CFR 3015.5. In addition, the Postal Service contends that the contract is in accordance with Order No. 86. The Postal Service submitted the contract and supporting materials under seal, a redacted copy of the contract as Attachment 1, a certified statement required by 39 CFR 3015.5(c)(2) to the Notice as Attachment 2, Governors’ Decision No. 08–7 and an application for non-public treatment of materials as Attachments 3 and 4, respectively. Id. at 1–2.

The Postal Service relates that the instant contract is the immediate successor contract to the contract in Docket No. CP2009–59, which expires on September 5, 2010, and which the Commission found to be functionally equivalent in Order No. 286. It states that because the customer has met the volume commitment of its present contract, it requests approval for the instant contract and the customer’s current contract will be terminated early. The term of the contract is one year from the date the Postal Service notifies the customer that all necessary regulatory approvals have been received.

The Notice advances reasons why the instant GEPS 2 contract fits within the