


Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on March 18, 2010, ordered that—
(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain dynamic random access memory semiconductors or products containing the same, including memory modules that infringe one or more of claims 1–16 of U.S. Patent No. 5,480,051; claims 1–9 of U.S. Patent No. 5,422,309; claims 6–9 and 11 of U.S. Patent No. 5,397,664; and claims 1–20 of U.S. Patent No. 5,422,309; claims 6–9 and 11 of U.S. Patent No. 5,480,051; claims 1–19 of U.S. Patent No. 5,422,309; claims 6–9 and 11 of U.S. Patent No. 5,480,051; claims 1–19 of U.S. Patent No. 5,422,309; claims 6–9 and 11 of U.S. Patent No. 5,480,051; claims 1–19 of U.S. Patent No. 5,422,309; claims 6–9 and 11 of U.S. Patent No. 5,480,051; claims 1–19 of U.S. Patent No. 5,422,309; claims 6–9 and 11 of U.S. Patent No. 5,480,051; claims 1–19 of U.S. Patent No. 5,422,309; the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337; and
(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following entities alleged to be in violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and the antidumping duty orders on carbazole violet pigment 23 from China and India, the petitioners are hereby named as parties upon which the Commission will give notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.


Marilyn R. Abbott,
Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2010–6617 Filed 3–24–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701–TA–437 and 731–TA–1060–1061 (Review)]

Carbazole Violet Pigment 23 From China and India


ACTION: Scheduling of expedited five-year reviews concerning the countervailing duty order on carbazole violet pigment 23 from India and the antidumping duty orders on carbazole violet pigment 23 from China and India.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of expedited reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(3)) (the Act) to determine whether revocation of the countervailing duty order on carbazole violet pigment 23 from India and the antidumping duty orders on carbazole violet pigment 23 from China and India would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. The Commission has determined to exercise its authority to extend the review period by up to 90 days pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)(B). For further information concerning the conduct of these reviews...
and rules of general application, consult the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

DATES: Effective Date: February 5, 2010.


General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http://www.usitc.gov). The public record for these reviews may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Background. On February 5, 2010, the Commission determined that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution (74 FR 56663 November 2, 2009) of the subject five-year reviews was adequate and that the respondent interested party group response was inadequate. The Commission did not find any other circumstances that would warrant conducting full reviews. Accordingly, the Commission determined that it would conduct expedited reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Act.

Staff report. A staff report containing information concerning the subject matter of the reviews will be placed in the nonpublic record on April 8, 2010, and made available to persons on the Administrative Protective Order service list for these reviews. A public version of the nonpublic record on April 8, 2010, will be placed in the Commission’s rules.

Written submissions. As provided in section 207.62(d) of the Commission’s rules, interested parties that are parties to the reviews and that have provided individually adequate responses to the notice of institution, and any party other than an interested party to the reviews may file written comments with the Secretary on what determinations the Commission should reach in the reviews. Comments are due on or before April 13, 2010 and may not contain new factual information. Any person that is neither a party to the five-year reviews nor an interested party may submit a brief written statement (which shall not contain any new factual information) pertinent to the reviews by April 13, 2010. The deadline for comments (which may not contain new factual information) on Commerce’s final results is three business days after the issuance of Commerce’s results. If comments contain business proprietary information (BPI), they must conform with the requirements of sections 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission’s rules. The Commission’s rules do not authorize filing of submissions with the Secretary by facsimile or electronic means, except to the extent permitted by its Handbook on Electronic Filing Procedures, 67 FR 68168, 68173 (November 8, 2002).

In accordance with sections 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to the reviews must be served on all other parties to the reviews (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

Determination. The Commission has determined to exercise its authority to extend the review period by up to 90 days pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)(B).

Authority: These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission’s rules.

Issued: March 18, 2010.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,
Secretary to the Commission.

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731–TA–1058 (Review)]

Wooden Bedroom Furniture From China


ACTION: Notice of Commission determination to conduct a full five-year review concerning the antidumping duty order on wooden bedroom furniture from China.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice that it will proceed with a full review pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty order on wooden bedroom furniture from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. A schedule for the review will be established and announced at a later date. For further information concerning the conduct of this review and rules of general application, consult the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

DATES: Effective Date: March 8, 2010.


General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http://www.usitc.gov). The public record for this review may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On March 8, 2010, the Commission determined that it should proceed to a full review in the subject five-year review pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Act. The Commission found that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution (74 FR 62817, December 1, 2009) was adequate and the respondent interested party group

---

1 A record of the Commissioners’ votes, the Commission’s statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner’s statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission’s Web site.

2 The Commission has found the responses submitted by Nation Ford Chemical Co. and Sun Chemical Corp. to be individually adequate. Comments from other interested parties will not be accepted (see 19 CFR 207.62(d)(2)).