prior to the date of official filing, the filing will be stayed pending consideration of the protest. A plat will not be officially filed until the day after all protests have been dismissed and become final or appeals from the dismissal are affirmed.

A person or party who wishes to protest against any of these surveys must file a written protest with the New Mexico State Director, Bureau of Land Management, stating that they wish to protest. A statement of reasons for a protest may be filed with the notice of protest to the State Director, or the statement of reasons must be filed with the State Director within thirty (30) days after the protest is filed.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: These plats will be available for inspection in the New Mexico State Office, Bureau of Land Management, 301 Dinosaur Trail, Santa Fe, New Mexico. Copies may be obtained from this office upon payment. Contact Marcella Montoya at 505–954–2097, or by e-mail at Marcella_Montoya@nm.blm.gov, for assistance.

Stephen W. Beyerlein,
Acting Chief, Branch of Cadastral Survey/GeoSciences.

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Bureau of Land Management

Notice of Public Meeting, North Slope Science Initiative—Science Technical Advisory Panel


ACTIONS: Notice of public meeting.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Federal Land Policy and Management Act (FLPMA) and the Federal Advisory Committee Act of 1972 (FACA), the U.S. Department of the Interior, North Slope Science Initiative (NSSI)—Science Technical Advisory Panel (STAP) will meet as indicated below:

DATES: The meeting will be held April 26 and 27, 2010, in Fairbanks, Alaska. On April 26, 2010, the meeting will begin at 9 a.m., at the University of Alaska Fairbanks, International Arctic Research Center, Room 501, Fairbanks, Alaska. Public comments will begin at 3 p.m. On April 27, 2010, the meeting will begin at 9 a.m. at the same location, and will adjourn at noon.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John F. Payne, Executive Director, North Slope Science Initiative, AK–910, c/o Bureau of Land Management, 222 W. Seventh Avenue, #13, Anchorage, AK 99513, (907) 271–3431 or e-mail john_f.payne@blm.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The NSSI—STAP provides advice and recommendations to the NSSI Oversight Group regarding priority needs for management decisions across the North Slope of Alaska. These priority needs may include recommendations on inventory, monitoring, and research activities that contribute to informed land management decisions. The topics to be discussed at the meeting include:

- Emerging issue summaries from the STAP
- Update on the land cover project
- Update on the project tracking system and database
- NSSI priority issues and projects
- Other topics the Oversight Group or STAP may raise.

All meetings are open to the public. The public may present written comments to the Science Technical Advisory Panel through the Executive Director, North Slope Science Initiative. Each formal meeting will also have time allotted for hearing public comments. Depending on the number of persons wishing to comment and time available, the time for individual oral comments may be limited. Individuals who plan to attend and need special assistance, such as sign language interpretation, transportation, or other reasonable accommodations, should contact the Executive Director, North Slope Science Initiative.

Before including your address, phone number, e-mail address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.


Thomas P. Lonnie,
Alaska State Director.

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–698]

In the Matter of Certain DC–DC Controllers and Products Containing Same; Notice of Commission Decision Not to Review the Administrative Law Judge’s Initial Determination Granting Complainants’ Motion To Amend the Complaint and Notice of Investigation


ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge’s initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 6) granting complainants’ motion to amend the complaint and notice of investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sidney A. Rosenzweig, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708–2532. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at http://www.usitc.gov. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on December 29, 2009, based on a complaint filed by Richtek Technology Corp. of Taiwan and Richtek USA, Inc. of San Jose, California. (“Richtek”), alleging a violation of section 337 in the importation, sale for importation, and sale within the United States after importation of certain DC–DC controllers by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 7,315,190; 6,414,470; and 7,132,717, and by reason of trade secret misappropriation. 75 FR 446 (Jan. 5, 2010). The complaint named as respondents uPI Semiconductor Corp. of Taiwan; Advanced Micro Devices, Inc. of Sunnyvale, California; Sapphire
Technology Ltd. of Hong Kong; Best Data Products Inc. d/b/a Diamond Multimedia, Inc. of Chatsworth, California; and XFX Technology, Inc. of Ontario, California (“XFX”).

On February 17, 2010, Richtek moved to amend the complaint and notice of investigation to correct the corporate name of XFX to Eastcom, Inc. d/b/a XFX Technology USA; to add new proposed respondents Micro-Star Int’l Co., Ltd., and MSI Computer Corp.; to add new respondent VisionTek Prods. LLC; and to seek a general exclusion order against downstream products containing the accused uPI chips.

The ALJ granted Richtek’s motion in its entirety. Order No. 6 (Mar. 5, 2010). No petitions for review of the ID were filed. The Commission has determined not to review the ID. The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in section 210.42 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR § 210.42).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: March 31, 2010.

Marilyn R. Abbott,
Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2010–7680 Filed 4–5–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337–TA–710]

In the Matter of Certain Personal Data and Mobile Communications Devices and Related Software; Notice of Investigation


ACTION: Institution of investigation pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on March 2, 2010, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, on behalf of Apple Inc., f/k/a Apple Computer, Inc. of Cupertino, California and NeXt Software, Inc. f/k/a NeXt Computer, Inc. of Cupertino, California. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain personal data and mobile communications devices and related software by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 5,481,721; 5,519,867; 5,566,337; 5,929,852; 5,946,647; 5,969,705; 6,275,983; 6,343,263; 5,915,131; and RE39,486. The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The complainants request that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue an exclusion order and a cease and desist order.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436; telephone 202–205–2000.

Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000.

General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at http://www.usitc.gov. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov.


Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on March 30, 2010, ordered that—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain personal data or mobile communications devices or related software that infringe one or more of claims 1–3, 7, 12, and 32 of U.S. Patent No. 5,519,867; claims 1, 3, 7, 8, and 22 of U.S. Patent No. 6,275,983; claims 1, 3, 8–10, 12, 18, 19, 23, and 24 of U.S. Patent No. 5,566,337; and claims 1–3 and 7–13 of U.S. Patent No. 5,929,852; claims 1, 3, 6, 8, 10, 13–16, 19, 20, and 22 of U.S. Patent No. 5,946,647; claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 5,969,705; claims 1–6, 24, 25, 29, and 30 of U.S. Patent No. 6,343,263; claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 15, and 17 of U.S. Patent No. 5,915,131; claims 1–3, 6, 8, 9, 12, and 14–17 of U.S. Patent No. RE39,486; and claims 1–6 and 19–22 of U.S. Patent No. 5,481,721, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainants are:

Apple Inc., f/k/a Apple Computer, Inc., 1 Infinite Loop, Cupertino, CA 95014.
NeXt Software, Inc. f/k/a NeXt Computer, Inc., 1 Infinite Loop, Cupertino, CA 95014.
(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

High Tech Computer Corp. f/k/a HTC Corp., 23 Xinghua Road, Taoyuan 330, Taiwan
HTC America, Inc., 13920 SE Eastgate Way, Suite 400, Bellevue, WA 98005
Exedea, Inc., 5950 Corporate Drive, Houston, TX 77036
(c) The Commission investigative attorneys, parties to this investigation, are Daniel L. Girdwood, Esq. and Erin D. E. Joffre, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(3) For the investigation so instituted, the Honorable Paul J. Luckern, Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(d)–(e) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the