SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Corporation, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Propose ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Propose ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

Comments

A 60-day public comment Notice was published in the Federal Register on April 28, 2010. This comment period ended June 29, 2010. No public comments were received from this Notice.

Description: The Corporation seeks to renew the current information collection. Minor revisions are proposed to clarify eGrants instructions and reflect adjustments to the Corporation for National and Community Service eGrants system.

The information collection will otherwise be used in the same manner as the existing application. The Corporation also seeks to continue using the current application until the revised application is approved by OMB. The current application is due to expire on October 31, 2010.

Type of Review: Renewal.

Agency: Corporation for National and Community Service.

Title: Peer Reviewer Application Instructions.

OMB Number: 3045–0090.

Agency Number: None.

Affected Public: Individuals who are interested in serving as peer reviewers and peer review panel facilitators for the Corporation.

Total Respondents: 2,500 responses annually.

Frequency: One time to complete.

Average Time per Response: Averages 40 minutes.

Estimated Total Burden Hours: 1,666 hours.

Total Burden Cost (capital/startup): None.

Total Burden Cost (operating/maintenance): None.

Dated: June 30, 2010.

Vielka Garibaldi,
Director, Office of Grants Policy and Operations.

[FR Doc. 2010–16575 Filed 7–7–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6050–00–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

Establishment of Department of Defense Federal Advisory Committee; Independent Panel Review of Judge Advocate Requirements of the Department of the Navy

AGENCY: Department of Defense (DoD).

ACTION: Establishment of Federal advisory committee.

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of section 506 of Public Law 111–84, the Federal Advisory Committee Act of 1972, (5 U.S.C. Appendix, as amended), the Government in the Sunshine Act of 1976 (5 U.S.C. 552b, as amended), and 41 CFR 102–3.50, the Department of Defense gives notice that it is establishing the charter for the Independent Panel Review of Judge Advocate Requirements of the Department of the Navy (hereafter referred to as the Panel).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jim Freeman, Deputy Committee Management Officer for the Department of Defense, 703–601–6128.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Panel is a non-discretionary Federal advisory committee established to review the judge advocate requirement of the Department of the Navy. The Panel shall:

a. Carry out a study of the policies and management and organizational practices of the U.S. Navy and the U.S. Marine Corps with respect to the responsibilities, assignment, and career development of judge advocates for purposes of determining the number of judge advocates required to fulfill the legal mission of the Department of the Navy.

b. In carrying out the study, the Panel shall review the following:

i. The emergent operational law requirements of the U.S. Navy and the U.S. Marine Corps, including requirements for judge advocates on joint task forces, in support of rule of law objectives in Iraq and Afghanistan, and in operational units;

ii. New requirements to support the Office of Military Commissions and to support the disability evaluation system for members of the U.S. Armed Forces;

iii. The judge advocate requirement of the Department of the Navy for the military justice mission, including assignment policies, training and education, increasing complexity of court-martial litigation, and the performance of the U.S. Navy and U.S. Marine Corps in providing legally sufficient post-trial processing of cases in general courts-martial and special courts-martial;

iv. The role of the Judge Advocate General of the Navy, as the senior uniformed legal officer of the Department of the Navy, to determine whether additional authority for the Judge Advocate General over manpower policies and assignments of judge advocates in the U.S. Navy and U.S. Marine Corps is warranted;

v. Directives issued by the U.S. Navy and the U.S. Marine Corps pertaining to jointly-shared missions requiring legal support;

vi. Career patterns for U.S. Marine Corps judge advocates in order to identify and validate assignments to non-legal billets required for professional development and promotion; and

In addition, the Panel will review, evaluate and assess such other matters and materials as the Panel considers appropriate for purposes of the study.

In carrying out its study the Panel may review, and incorporate as appropriate, the findings of applicable on-going and completed studies in future manpower requirements, including the two-part study by CNA Analysis and Solutions® entitled, “An Analysis of Navy JAG Corps Future Manpower Requirements”.

The Panel, no later than 120 days after its first meeting, shall submit a report of its study. The report, as a minimum, shall include the following:

1. The Panel's findings, recommendations, and conclusions.

2. The Panel's methodology and assumptions used.

3. The Panel's plan for the proper performance of the collection of information is necessary.
a. The findings and conclusions of the Panel as a result of the study; and
b. Any recommendations for legislative or administrative action that
the Panel considers appropriate in light of the study.

The Panel, pursuant to section 506(a) of Public Law 111–84, shall be comprised of five members appointed by the Secretary of Defense from among private U.S. citizens who have expertise in law, military manpower policies, the missions of the Armed Forces of the United States, or the current responsibilities of judge advocates in ensuring competent legal representation and advice to commanders. The Panel chairperson shall be appointed by the Secretary of Defense from among the total membership. All Panel members shall be appointed for the life of the Panel, and any Panel vacancy shall be filled in the same manner as the original appointment.

Panel members appointed by the Secretary of Defense, who are not full-time or permanent part-time federal government employees, shall be appointed as experts and consultants under the authority of 5 U.S.C. 3109, and serve as special government employees. Panel members, with the exception of travel and per diem for official travel, shall serve without compensation.

With DoD approval, the Panel is authorized to establish subcommittees, as necessary and consistent with its mission. These subcommittees or working groups shall operate under the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act of 1972, the Government in the Sunshine Act of 1976 (5 U.S.C. 552b), and other governing Federal regulations.

Such subcommittees or workgroups shall not work independently of the chartered Panel, and shall report all their recommendations and advice to the Panel for full deliberation and discussion. Subcommittees or workgroups have no authority to make decisions on behalf of the chartered Panel, nor can they report directly to the Department of Defense or any Federal officers or employees who are not Panel members.

Subcommittee members, who are not Panel members, shall be appointed in the same manner as the Panel members.

The Panel may hold such meetings or hearings, sit and act as such times and places, take such testimony, and receive such evidence as the Panel considers appropriate to carry out its duties. The Panel, pursuant to section 506(a)(6) of Public Law 111–84, shall meet at the call of the Chairperson. The estimated number of Panel meetings is five (5) per year. The Chairperson shall call the first meeting of the Panel not later than 60 days after the date of the appointment of all the members of the Panel.

The Designated Federal Officer, pursuant to DoD policy, shall be a full-time or permanent part-time DoD employee, and shall be appointed in accordance with established DoD policies and procedures. In addition, the Designated Federal Officer is required to be in attendance at all meetings, however, in the absence of the Designated Federal Officer, the Alternate Designated Federal Officer shall attend the meeting.

Pursuant to 41 CFR 102–3.105(j) and 102–3.140, the public or interested organizations may submit written statements to the Independent Panel Review of Judge Advocate Requirements of the Department of the Navy membership about the Panel’s mission and functions. Written statements may be submitted at any time or in response to the stated agenda of planned meeting of the Independent Panel Review of Judge Advocate Requirements of the Department of the Navy.

All written statements shall be submitted to the Designated Federal Officer for the Independent Panel Review of Judge Advocate Requirements of the Department of the Navy, and this individual will ensure that the written statements are provided to the membership for their consideration. Contact information for the Independent Panel Review of Judge Advocate Requirements of the Department of the Navy Designated Federal Officer can be obtained from the GSA’s FACA Database—https://www.fido.gov/facadatabase/public.asp.

The Designated Federal Officer, pursuant to 41 CFR 102–3.150, will announce planned meetings of the Independent Panel Review of Judge Advocate Requirements of the Department of the Navy. The Designated Federal Officer, at that time, may provide additional guidance on the submission of written statements that are in response to the stated agenda for the planned meeting in question.

Dated: July 2, 2010.

Mitchell S. Bryman,
Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

BILLING CODE 5001–06–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE
Office of the Secretary

Extension of Provider Reimbursement Demonstration Project for the State of Alaska

AGENCY: Department of Defense (DoD).

ACTION: Notice of demonstration extension.

SUMMARY: This notice provides an extension of the demonstration project in the State of Alaska for individual provider payment rates. Under the demonstration, payment rates for physicians and other non-institutional individual professional providers in the State of Alaska have been set at a rate higher than the Medicare rate.

DATES: The demonstration regarding payment rates for physicians and other non-institutional providers is extended through December 31, 2012.

ADDRESSES: TRICARE Management Activity (TMA), Medical Benefits and Reimbursement Branch, 16401 East Centretech Parkway, Aurora, CO 80011–9066.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Glenn J. Corn, TRICARE Management Activity, Medical Benefits and Reimbursement Branch, telephone (303) 676–3566.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On November 20, 2006, DoD published a notice of a TRICARE demonstration project for the State of Alaska, with an effective date of January 1, 2007 (71 FR 67113), to set payment rates for physicians and other non-institutional individual professional providers in the State of Alaska at a rate higher than the Medicare rate. The demonstration was effective January 1, 2007 for a period of three years, ending on December 31, 2009. On December 18, 2009, DoD published a Notice of demonstration extension (74 FR 67179) that extended the demonstration through December 31, 2010. The DoD has determined that increasing provider payment rates (factor rate increase) in Alaska, across all services, has shown mixed results on provider participation, beneficiary access to care, cost of health care services, military readiness, and morale and welfare. Due to recent Health Care Reform legislation (section 5104, Pub. L. 111–148), creating an interagency task force to assess and improve access to health care in the State of Alaska, the Agency has determined further extension of the Demonstration is needed pending receipt of the Task Force’s report. The report is due to Congress no later than 180 days after the date of enactment of the Act that details