consultative visit if major changes in working conditions or work processes occur which may introduce new hazards, OSHA’s Programmed Inspections at that particular site may be deferred while the employer is working to achieve recognition and exemption status.

(B) Employers who meet all the requirements for recognition and exemption will have the names of their establishments removed from OSHA’s Programmed Inspection Schedule for a period of one year. The exemption period will extend from the date of issuance by the Regional Office of the certificate of recognition. OSHA may in its discretion establish inspection programs that provide for an additional deletion period, but such additional deletion period shall not exceed one year.

(ii) Inspections. OSHA will continue to make inspections in the following categories at sites that achieved recognition status and have been granted deletions from OSHA’s Programmed Inspection Schedule; and at sites granted inspection deferrals as provided for under paragraph (b)(4)(i)(A) of this section:

(A) Imminent danger inspections;
(B) Fatality/catastrophe inspections;
(C) Complaint inspections;
(D) Referral inspections as determined necessary by the RA;
(E) Other critical inspections as determined by the Assistant Secretary.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard is withdrawing its notice of proposed rulemaking concerning the operation of the Pennington Avenue Bridge, at mile 0.9, across Curtis Creek at Baltimore, MD. The requested change would have allowed the bridge to operate from a remote location at the City of Baltimore Transportation Management Center. The proposed rule is being withdrawn because of the many concerned comments sent from the primary waterway users that transit the bridge.

DATES: The notice of proposed rulemaking is withdrawn on September 3, 2010.

ADDRESSES: The docket for this withdrawn rulemaking is available for inspection or copying at the Docket Management Facility (M–30), U.S. Department of Transportation, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. You may also find this docket on the Internet by going to http://www.regulations.gov, inserting USCG–2010–0265 in the “Keyword” box and then clicking “Search.”

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions about this notice, call or e-mail Lindsey Middleton, Fifth Coast Guard District; telephone (757) 398–6629, e-mail Lindsey.R.Middleton@uscg.mil. If you have questions on viewing material in the docket, call Renee V. Wright, Program Manager, Docket Operations, telephone 202–366–9826.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On June 2, 2010, we published an NPRM entitled "Drawbridge Operation Regulation Curtis Creek, Baltimore, MD" in the Federal Register (75 FR 30747–30750). The rulemaking concerned eliminating the need for a bridge tender by allowing the bridge to be operated from a remote location at the City of Baltimore Transportation Management Center. This proposed change would have maintained the bridge’s current operating schedule set forth in 33 CFR 117.5 that states: Drawbridges shall open promptly and fully for the passage of vessels when a request to open is given.

Withdrawal

The City of Baltimore, the owner of the Pennington Avenue Bridge, had requested a change in the operating procedures to allow the bridge to be opened from a remote location at the City of Baltimore Transportation Management Center. The Coast Guard received several comments opposing the proposed rule change. We conducted a lengthy and thorough investigation that included a site visit of the bridge and the Baltimore City Transportation Management Center. We also conducted a meeting at the Coast Guard Yard in Baltimore, MD with the primary waterway users that transit the bridge, staff from the City of Baltimore’s Transportation division, and their contracted consulting company.

Our investigation along with the majority of the comments revealed that the rulemaking could impose critical service delays to the various industries that rely on a timely bridge opening. This withdrawal is based on the reason that this change would not improve the bridge usage for roadway and waterway users.

Authority: This action is taken under the authority of 33 U.S.C. 499; 33 CFR 1.05–1; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1.


William D. Lee,
Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Commander, Fifth Coast Guard District.

[FR Doc. 2010–22058 Filed 9–2–10; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 9110–04–P

DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS

38 CFR Part 76
RIN 2900–AN43

U.S. Paralympics Monthly Assistance Allowance

AGENCY: Department of Veterans Affairs.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: This document proposes to establish regulations for the payment of a monthly assistance allowance to memorial veterans training to make the United States Paralympics team, as authorized by section 703 of the Veterans’ Benefits Improvement Act of 2008. The proposed rule would facilitate the payment of a monthly assistance allowance to a veteran with a service-connected or nonservice-connected disability if the veteran is competing for a slot on or selected for the United States Paralympics team or is residing at a United States Paralympics training center. The proposed rule would require submission of an application to establish eligibility for the allowance and certification by the United States Paralympics.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before October 4, 2010.

ADDRESSES: Written comments may be submitted through http://www.Regulations.gov; by mail or hand-delivery to Director, Regulations Management (02REG), Department of Veterans Affairs, 810 Vermont Ave., NW., Room 1068, Washington, DC 20420; or by fax to (202) 273–9206. Comments should indicate that they are submitted in response to "RIN 2900–