DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration
[Docket No. PHMSA–2010–0245; Notice No. 10–6]

Notice: Elimination of Expiration Dates for Classification Approvals

AGENCY: Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In 2005, PHMSA initiated a policy imposing a 5-year expiration date on firework classification approval to ensure that background information supporting the approval is current and applicable; information is up to date and complete, obsolete approvals are eliminated from the PHMSA database, and approvals are amended with new requirements and/or methods as necessary. In 2009, this policy was extended to include all types of classification approvals, including explosives, oxidizing substances, organic peroxydes, and self-reactive substances. PHMSA is changing its policy and eliminating expiration dates for classification approvals because the policy has had unanticipated effects since its implementation. For instance, explosives assigned EX numbers, and self-reactive materials and organic peroxydes, assigned CA numbers, have a shelf life far beyond the 5-year expiration date. Consequently, a product shipped in accordance with a classification approval with a 5-year expiration date becomes ineligible for domestic transport on the date the approval expires despite the product continuing to be safe for transport.

Existing classification approvals with expiration dates will be reissued by PHMSA in accordance with the change of policy. While expiration dates for classification approvals will be eliminated, PHMSA retains the authority to issue approvals with expiration dates on a case-by-case basis.

DATES: Effective Date: The effective date of these amendments is September 7, 2010.


Issued on Washington, DC on August 31, 2010 under authority delegated in 49 CFR part 1.

Magdy El-Sibaie, Associate Administrator for Hazardous Materials Safety, Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration.

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Federal Highway Administration

Environmental Impact Statement: Queens County, NY

AGENCY: Federal Highway Administration (FHWA, United States Department of Transportation (DOT)).

ACTION: Revised notice of intent.

SUMMARY: The FHWA is issuing this revised notice to advise the public that the FHWA will not be preparing an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the proposed Bridge Rehabilitation and Interchange Improvements Project on the Long Island Expressway (LIE) from the Grand Central Parkway (GCP) to the Van Wyck Expressway, Queens County, NY. A Notice of Intent to prepare an EIS was published in the Federal Register on March 12, 2004.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jeffrey W. Kolb, Division Administrator, Federal Highway Administration, New York Division, Leo W. O’Brien Federal Building, 9th Floor, Clinton Avenue and North Pearl Street, Albany, New York 12207, Telephone: (518) 431–4127. or

Phillip Eng, Regional Director, NYSDOT Region 11; Hunters Point Plaza, 47–40 21st Street, Long Island City, NY 11101, Telephone: 718–482–4526.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FHWA, in cooperation with the New York State Department of Transportation previously intended to prepare an EIS for the Bridge Rehabilitation and Interchange Improvements Project on the Long Island Expressway from the Grand Central Parkway to the Van Wyck Expressway, Queens County, New York.

The purpose of the original Project was to address various geometric, operational, and structural deficiencies associated with the interchange. The project proposed direct connector ramps and new structures.

An Expanded Project Proposal (EPP) was issued in 2002 and the project was programmed with a cost estimate of $130 million. During preliminary design, the cost estimate increased to approximately $250 million and the operational improvements for the build alternatives were found not to be as effective in reducing congestion as expected. Also, the Region’s capital program has been reduced and available funding has been re-allocated to more critical needs.

Currently, the bridges within the interchange have a number of severely deteriorated elements, including the concrete decks and superstructures, that require prompt treatment to keep them in service and to address urgent safety concerns. The bridges also do not meet current geometric standards.

Since the proposed operational benefits from the build alternatives were found not to be as expected, it is proposed to terminate the EIS. However, the three bridges carrying the LIE and service roads over the GCP have significant deck and steel superstructure deterioration that require prompt treatment to keep them in service. The infrastructure improvements being considered will not have a significant impact on the environment. To address these bridge conditions, a reduced scope project will be progressed as a NEPA Class II Categorical Exclusion.


Jeffrey W. Kolb,
Division Administrator, Federal Highway Administration, Albany, NY.

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Federal Highway Administration

Environmental Impact Statement: Yellowstone County, MT

AGENCY: Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of intent—revised.

SUMMARY: The FHWA is issuing this notice to advise the public that an environmental impact statement will be prepared for a proposed highway project in Yellowstone County, Montana.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Brian Hasselbach, Right of Way and Environmental Programs Manager, Federal Highway Administration, 585 Shepard Way, Helena, Montana 59601. Telephone: (406) 441–3908; or Fred