

briefs must conform with the provisions of section 207.65 of the Commission's rules; the deadline for filing is January 20, 2011. Parties may also file written testimony in connection with their presentation at the hearing, as provided in section 207.24 of the Commission's rules, and posthearing briefs, which must conform with the provisions of section 207.67 of the Commission's rules. The deadline for filing posthearing briefs is February 10, 2011; witness testimony must be filed no later than three days before the hearing. In addition, any person who has not entered an appearance as a party to the reviews may submit a written statement of information pertinent to the subject of the reviews on or before February 10, 2011. On March 7, 2011, the Commission will make available to parties all information on which they have not had an opportunity to comment. Parties may submit final comments on this information on or before March 9, 2011, but such final comments must not contain new factual information and must otherwise comply with section 207.68 of the Commission's rules. All written submissions must conform with the provisions of section 201.8 of the Commission's rules; any submissions that contain BPI must also conform with the requirements of sections 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's rules. The Commission's rules do not authorize filing of submissions with the Secretary by facsimile or electronic means, except to the extent permitted by section 201.8 of the Commission's rules, as amended, 67 FR 68036 (November 8, 2002). Even where electronic filing of a document is permitted, certain documents must also be filed in paper form, as specified in II(c) of the Commission's Handbook on Electronic Filing Procedures, 67 FR 68168, 68173 (November 8, 2002).

Additional written submissions to the Commission, including requests pursuant to section 201.12 of the Commission's rules, shall not be accepted unless good cause is shown for accepting such submissions, or unless the submission is pursuant to a specific request by a Commissioner or Commission staff.

In accordance with sections 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the Commission's rules, each document filed by a party to the reviews must be served on all other parties to the reviews (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

Authority: These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of

the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

Issued: September 14, 2010.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2010-23474 Filed 9-20-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Robotics Technology Consortium, Inc.

Correction

In notice document 2010-22215 beginning on page 54914 in the issue of Thursday, July 9, 2010, make the following corrections:

1. On page 54914, in the first column, in the sixteenth line below the document subject, "AEB, Inc." should read "ABB, Inc."

2. On the same page, in the same column, in the 23rd line below the document subject, "BEN Technologies Corp" should read "BBN Technologies Corp".

3. On the same page, in the same column, in the eighth line from the bottom of the page, "Amstin, TX" should read "Austin, TX".

4. On the same page, in the same column, in the last line of the column, "101-Integrated Consultants, Inc." should read "ICI-Integrated Consultants, Inc."

5. On the same page, in the third column, in the first full paragraph, in the second and third lines, "activity of this group research additional written membership" should read "activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and RTC intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership."

6. On the same page, in the same column, in the second full paragraph, in the first through sixth lines, "On October 15, pursuant to Section the group research project. Membership in the project remains open, and RTC intends to file notifications disclosing all changes. In 2009, RTC filed its original notification 6(a) of the Act." should read, "On October 15, 2009, RTC filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act."

[FR Doc. C1-2010-22215 Filed 9-20-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 1505-01-D

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-74,281]

Humana Insurance Company, a Division Of Carenetwork, Inc., Green Bay, WI; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated August 23, 2010, petitioners requested administrative reconsideration of the negative determination regarding workers' eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The determination was issued on August 13, 2010. The Notice of Determination was published in the **Federal Register** on August 30, 2010 (75 FR 52986). Workers are engaged in employment related to the supply of health insurance benefits.

The negative determination applicable to workers and former workers at Humana Insurance Company, a Division of CareNetwork, Inc., Green Bay, Wisconsin was based on the findings that the subject firm did not, during the period under investigation, shift to a foreign country services like or directly competitive with the supply of health insurance benefits provided by the workers or acquire these services from a foreign country; that the workers' separation, or threat of separation, was not related to any increase in imports of like or directly competitive services; and that the workers did not supply a service that was directly used in the production of an article or the supply of service by a firm that employed a worker group that is eligible to apply for TAA based on the aforementioned article or service.

In the request for reconsideration, the petitioners provided additional information pertaining to a shift in services abroad.

The Department has carefully reviewed the request for reconsideration and the existing record and has determined that the Department will conduct further investigation to determine if the workers meet the eligibility requirements of the Trade Act of 1974.

Conclusion

After careful review of the application, I conclude that the claim is of sufficient weight to justify reconsideration of the U.S. Department of Labor's prior decision. The application is, therefore, granted.