Tuesday, November 9, 2010, 3800 Centerpoint Drive, Anchorage, Alaska. All meetings will start at 7 p.m.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Dated: October 8, 2010.
Robert P. LaBelle,
Acting Associate Director for Offshore Energy and Minerals Management.

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Fish and Wildlife Service


Crane Meadows National Wildlife Refuge, Morrison County, MN

AGENCY: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Department of the Interior.


SUMMARY: We, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), announce the availability of the Final Comprehensive Conservation Plan (CCP) and Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) for the Environmental Assessment (EA) for Crane Meadows National Wildlife Refuge (NWR). Goals and objectives in the CCP describe how the agency intends to manage the refuge over the next 15 years.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the Final CCP and FONSI/EA may be viewed at the Crane Meadows National Wildlife Refuge Office or at public libraries near the refuge. You may also request a copy by any of the following methods.


2. E-mail: r3planning@fws.gov. Include “Crane Meadows Final CCP/EA” in the subject line of the message.

3. Mail: Crane Meadows National Wildlife Refuge, 19502 Iris Road, Little Falls, Minnesota 56345.

A limited number of hardcopies will be available for distribution at the Refuge Headquarters.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Anne Sittauer (763–389–3323).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Introduction

With this notice, we complete the CCP process for Crane Meadows NWR, which we began by publishing a notice of intent on (73 FR 76677–76678, December 17, 2008). For more information about the initial process, see that notice. We released the draft CCP and EA to the public, announcing and requesting comments in a notice of availability on (75 FR 39037–39038, July 7, 2010).

Crane Meadows NWR, located in central Minnesota, was established in 1992 to protect one of the largest, most intact wetland complexes remaining in the State. The Refuge owns approximately 1,800 acres of 13,540 acres identified for acquisition, and an additional 900 acres are owned and managed by the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources. In addition to hosting relatively rare habitat types including oak savanna, sand prairie, and sedge meadow, it also provides key habitat for local and migratory wildlife, maintains essential ecological services, provides an element of water control and flood relief, protects important archaeological resources, and offers unique recreation, education, and research opportunities.

The Draft CCP and EA were officially released for public review on July 7, 2010; the 31-day comment period ended on August 6, 2010. Planning information was sent to approximately 265 individuals and organizations for review and announced through local media outlets, resulting in three comment submissions. During the comment period the Refuge also hosted an open house to receive public comments and feedback on the CCP and EA documents. Three individuals attended this event—all current or former state Department of Natural Resources employees. Because no changes to the preferred alternative were recommended by Refuge audiences during the public review period, only minor changes were made to the drafts preparing the final CCP/EA documents.

Selected Alternative

Based on input and feedback during the planning process, alternative B was selected as the preferred alternative. This alternative portrays a long-term vision for habitat restoration to near-historic benchmark conditions and increases recreation opportunities for visitors over the 15-year planning horizon. A diversity of wetland and savanna habitats are favored reinforcing historic conditions, while prairie and woodland are reduced over the long-term. This alternative includes active participation in monitoring and improving upstream water resources, calls for adherence to a well-developed prescribed fire plan, increases land acquisition and work on private lands in high priority areas, augments the existing biological inventory and monitoring program, and offers visitor services in a greater number of locations. Specific, managed hunts are offered, and opportunities for quality fishing experiences will be evaluated as new lands are acquired.

Background

The National Wildlife Refuge System Administration Act of 1966, as amended by the National Wildlife Refuge System Improvement Act of 1997 (16 U.S.C. 668dd–668ee et seq.), requires the Service to develop a CCP for each National Wildlife Refuge. The purpose in developing a CCP is to provide refuge managers with a 15-year strategy for achieving refuge purposes and contributing toward the mission of the National Wildlife Refuge System, consistent with sound principles of fish and wildlife management, conservation, legal mandates, and Service policies. In addition to outlining broad management direction for conserving wildlife and their habitats, the CCP identifies wildlife-dependent recreational opportunities available to the public, including opportunities for hunting, fishing, wildlife observation and photography, and environmental education and interpretation.

We will review and update the CCP at least every 15 years in accordance with the National Wildlife Refuge System Administration Act of 1966, as amended by the National Wildlife Refuge System Improvement Act of 1997, and the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321–4370d).

Dated: August 17, 2010.

Charles M. Wooley,
Acting Regional Director, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Ft. Snelling, Minnesota.

JOINT BOARD FOR THE ENROLLMENT OF ACTUARIES

Renewal of Advisory Committee on Actuarial Examinations

AGENCY: Joint Board for the Enrollment of Actuaries.

ACTION: Renewal of Advisory Committee.
SUMMARY: The Joint Board for the Enrollment of Actuaries announces the renewal of the Advisory Committee on Actuarial Examinations.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Patrick W. McDonough, 202–622–8225.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The purpose of the Committee is to advise the Joint Board on examinations in actuarial mathematics and methodology. The Joint Board administers such examinations in discharging its statutory mandate to enroll individuals who wish to perform actuarial services with respect to pension plans subject to the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974. The Committee’s advisory functions will include, but will not necessarily be limited to: (1) Considering areas of actuarial knowledge that should be treated on the examinations; (2) developing examination questions; (3) recommending proposed examinations and pass marks; and (4), as requested by the Joint Board, making recommendations relative to the examination program.


Patrick W. McDonough,
Executive Director, Joint Board for the Enrollment of Actuaries.

[FR Doc. 2010–25951 Filed 10–14–10; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4830–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under the Clean Air Act; the Clean Water Act; the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act; the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act; and the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

Notice is hereby given that on October 8, 2010, a proposed Consent Decree in United States and State of Missouri v. The Doe Run Resources Corporation, et al., Civil Action 4:10-cv-1895 was lodged with the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri.

In this action the United States and the State of Missouri sought civil penalties and injunctive relief for environmental violations of the Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. 7401–7671q; the Missouri Air Conservation Law, Chapter 643, RSMo; the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, 42 U.S.C. 6901–6992k; the Missouri Hazardous Waste Management Law, §§ 260.350–260.434, RSMo; the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. 1251–1387; the Missouri Clean Water Law, Chapter 644, RSMo; the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act, 42 U.S.C. 11001–11050; and the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, 42 U.S.C. 9601–9603, for several of the mining, milling, and smelting facilities located in Missouri and operated by The Doe Run Resources Corporation, The Doe Run Resources Corporation db/a “The Doe Run Company”, and The Buck Resource Recycling Facility, LLC (“Defendants”). To resolve the United States’ and State’s claims the Defendants will pay a civil penalty of $7 million. The penalty will be paid in a $3.5 million payment to the United States and a $1.5 million payment to the state of Missouri, with an additional $1 million plus interest to be paid to the state each year for the next two years.

The settlement also requires Doe Run to establish financial assurance trust funds for the cleanup of the following facilities: Brush Creek, Buick, Fletcher, Sweetwater, Viburnum, and West Fork. Doe Run will also take steps to address RCRA violations at certain facilities: finalize and come into compliance with more stringent Clean Water Act permits at 10 of its facilities, including Herculaneum, Glover, Brush Creek, Buick Mill, Fletcher, Sweetwater, Viburnum, West Fork, Mine #35 (Casteel), and Buck Resource Recycling; and will spend an estimated $5.8 million on stream mitigation activities along 8.5 miles of Bee Fork Creek, an impaired waterway near Doe Run’s Fletcher mine and mill facility. At four facilities, Buick, Brush Creek, Fletcher, and Sweetwater, Doe Run will also enclose the lead concentrate handling, loading, and storage areas under negative pressure with emissions routed to a baghouse. The company will also spend $2 million on community mitigation projects over the next four years.

In addition, instead of installing pollution control technologies needed to reduce sulfur dioxide and lead emissions as required by the Clean Air Act, Doe Run has made a business decision to shut down its lead smelter in Herculaneum, Mo., by Dec. 31, 2013. The company will also provide an initial $8.14 million in financial assurance to guarantee cleanup work at the Herculaneum facility.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of 30 days from the date of this publication comments relating to the Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and either e-mailed to pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov or mailed to P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044–7611, and should refer to United States, et al. v. The Doe Run Resources Corporation, et al., D.J. Ref. 90–5–2–1–07390/1. Commenters may request an opportunity for a public meeting in the affected area, in accordance with Section 7003(d) of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, 42 U.S.C. 6973.

The Consent Decree may be examined at the Office of the United States Attorney, Eastern District of Missouri, Thomas F. Eagleton U.S. Courthouse, 111 South 10th Street, Room 20.333, St. Louis, MO 63102 Tel.: (314) 539–2200 and at EPA Region 7, 901 N. 5th Street, Kansas City, KS 66101, Tel: 1–800–223–0425.

During the public comment period, the Consent Decree, may also be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site, http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent_Decrees.html. A copy of the Consent Decree may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044–7611 or by faxing or e-mailing a request to Tonia Fleetwood ((tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov)), fax no. (202) 514–0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514–1547. In requesting a copy from the Consent Decree Library, please enclose a check to cover the 25 cents per page reproduction costs in the amount of $43.50 (for Decree without appendices) or $113.25 (for Decree with appendices) payable to the U.S. Treasury or, if by e-mail or fax, forward a check in that amount to the Consent Decree Library at the stated address.

Maureen Katz,
Assistant Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2010–25930 Filed 10–14–10; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4410–15–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Occupational Safety and Health Administration

[Docket No. OSHA–2010–0041]

Logging Operations; Extension of the Office of Management and Budget’s (OMB) Approval of Information Collection (Paperwork) Requirements

AGENCY: Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), Labor.

ACTION: Request for public comments.