

produced in the United States or are otherwise available in the United States, with respect to the articles potentially subject to the orders; and

(iv) indicate whether Complainant, Complainant's licensees, and/or third party suppliers have the capacity to replace the volume of articles potentially subject to an exclusion order and a cease and desist order within a commercially reasonable time.

Written submissions must be filed no later than by close of business, five business days after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. There will be further opportunities for comment on the public interest after the issuance of any final initial determination in this investigation.

Persons filing written submissions must file the original document and 12 true copies thereof on or before the deadlines stated above with the Office of the Secretary. Submissions should refer to the docket number ("Docket No. 2762") in a prominent place on the cover page and/or the first page. The Commission's rules authorize filing submissions with the Secretary by facsimile or electronic means only to the extent permitted by section 201.8 of the rules (*see Handbook for Electronic Filing Procedures, http://www.usitc.gov/secretary/fed_reg_notices/rules/documents/handbook_on_electronic_filing.pdf*). Persons with questions regarding electronic filing should contact the Secretary (202-205-2000).

Any person desiring to submit a document to the Commission in confidence must request confidential treatment. All such requests should be directed to the Secretary to the Commission and must include a full statement of the reasons why the Commission should grant such treatment. *See* 19 CFR 201.6. Documents for which confidential treatment by the Commission is properly sought will be treated accordingly. All nonconfidential written submissions will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Secretary.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and of sections 201.10 and 210.50(a)(4) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 201.10, 210.50(a)(4)).

Issued: October 19, 2010.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,
Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2010-26788 Filed 10-22-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-728]

In the Matter of Collaborative System Products and Components Thereof (II); Notice of Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Granting Complainant's Motion To Amend the Complaint and Notice of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 13) of the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") granting complainant's motion to amend the complaint and notice of investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jia Chen, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708-4737. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on July 19, 2010, based on a complaint filed by eInstruction Corporation of Denton, Texas on May 12, 2010. 75 FR 41889 (Jul. 19, 2010). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337) in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain collaborative system products and components thereof by reason of infringement of various claims of United States Patent No. 6,930,673. The complaint named the following respondents: Promethean Inc. of Alpharetta, Georgia, and

Promethean Technology Shenzhen Ltd. of Shanghai, China.

On September 14, 2010, eInstruction moved to amend the complaint and notice of investigation to add Promethean Ltd. of Blackburn, Lancashire, United Kingdom as a respondent to this investigation. On September 30, 2010, the ALJ issued the subject ID, Order No. 13, granting the motion to amend.

The Commission has determined not to review the ID.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in section 210.42 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42).

Issued: October 19, 2010.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,
Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2010-26775 Filed 10-22-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

Pursuant to 28 CFR 50.7 and Department of Justice policy, notice is hereby given that on October 14, 2010 a proposed Consent Decree with Georgia-Pacific Consumer Products LP ("Georgia-Pacific") was lodged with the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Wisconsin in a case captioned *United States and the State of Wisconsin v. NCR Corp., et al.*, Case No. 10-C-910 (E.D. Wis.). The Complaint in that case alleges claims against Georgia-Pacific and eleven other defendants concerning polychlorinated biphenyl contamination at the Lower Fox River and Green Bay Superfund Site in northeastern Wisconsin (the "Site").

The proposed Consent Decree would resolve the United States' and the State's claims against Georgia-Pacific on terms and conditions set forth in the Consent Decree. Under the proposed settlement, Georgia-Pacific would stipulate that it is liable, along with other defendants, for performance of all required cleanup work at the Site downstream from a line across the River slightly upstream of the company's paper mill in the City of Green Bay. Georgia-Pacific would in turn receive a covenant not to sue and statutory contribution protection for portions of the River upstream from that line. As