include the export of defense articles, to include technical data, and defense services in the amount of $100,000,000 or more.

The transaction contained in the attached certification involves the export of defense articles, to include technical data, and defense services to the United Kingdom and Canada to support the sale of Tactical Support Vehicles and related components and accessories for end use by the United Kingdom Ministry of Defense.

The United States government is prepared to license the export of these items having taken into account political, military, economic, human rights, and arms control considerations.

More detailed information is contained in the formal certification which, though unclassified, contains business information submitted to the Department of State by the applicant, publication of which could cause competitive harm to the United States firm concerned.

Sincerely,

Richard R. Verma
Assistant Secretary, Legislative Affairs.

October 6, 2010 (Transmittal No. DDTC 10–111)

Hon. Nancy Pelosi, Speaker of the House of Representatives.

Dear Madam Speaker: Pursuant to Section 36(c) of the Arms Export Control Act, I am transmitting, herewith, certification of a proposed manufacturing license agreement to include the export of defense articles, to include technical data, and defense services in the amount of $100,000,000 or more.

The transaction contained in the attached certification involves the export of defense articles, including technical data, and defense services to Japan for the Drive System and Center Gear Box for the Water System, Airframe Mounted Accessory and the upgrade of the F–15 High Pressure Environmental Control System components, defense services to Japan for the articles, including technical data, and certification involves the export of defense articles, to include technical data, and defense services to the United Kingdom and Canada to support the sale of Tactical Support Vehicles and related components and accessories for end use by the United Kingdom Ministry of Defense.

The United States government is prepared to license the export of these items having taken into account political, military, economic, human rights, and arms control considerations.

More detailed information is contained in the formal certification which, though unclassified, contains business information submitted to the Department of State by the applicant, publication of which could cause competitive harm to the United States firm concerned.

Sincerely,

Richard R. Verma
Assistant Secretary, Legislative Affairs.


Robert S. Kovac,
Managing Director, Directorate of Defense Trade Controls, Department of State.

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Maritime Administration

[Doct No. MARAD 2010 0098]

Requested Administrative Waiver of the Coastwise Trade Laws

AGENCY: Maritime Administration, Department of Transportation.

ACTION: Invitation for public comments on a requested administrative waiver of the Coastwise Trade Laws for the vessel FOREVER.

SUMMARY: As authorized by 46 U.S.C. 12121, the Secretary of Transportation, as represented by the Maritime Administration (MARAD), is authorized to grant waivers of the U.S.-build requirement of the coastwise laws under certain circumstances. A request for such a waiver has been received by MARAD. The vessel, and a brief description of the proposed service, is listed below. The complete application is given in DOT docket MARAD–2010–0098 at http://www.regulations.gov. Interested parties may comment on the effect this action may have on U.S. vessel builders or businesses in the U.S. that use U.S.-flag vessels. If MARAD determines, in accordance with 46 U.S.C. 12121 and MARAD’s regulations at 46 CFR Part 388 (68 FR 23084; April 30, 2003), that the issuance of the waiver will have an unduly adverse effect on a U.S.-vessel builder or a business that uses U.S.-flag vessels in that business, a waiver will not be granted.

Comments should refer to the vessel name in order for MARAD to properly consider the comments. To grant waivers of the U.S.-build requirement of the coastwise laws, a request must be submitted to MARAD. The vessel, and a brief description of the proposed service, is listed below. The complete application is given in DOT docket MARAD–2010–0098 at http://www.regulations.gov. Interested parties may comment on the effect this action may have on U.S. vessel builders or businesses in the U.S. that use U.S.-flag vessels. If MARAD determines, in accordance with 46 U.S.C. 12121 and MARAD’s regulations at 46 CFR Part 388 (68 FR 23084; April 30, 2003), that the issuance of the waiver will have an unduly adverse effect on a U.S.-vessel builder or a business that uses U.S.-flag vessels in that business, a waiver will not be granted.

Comments should refer to the vessel number of this notice and the vessel name in order for MARAD to properly consider the comments. Comments should state the commenter’s interest in the waiver application, and address the waiver criteria given in §388.4 of MARAD’s regulations at 46 CFR Part 388.

DATES: Submit comments on or before December 17, 2010.

ADDRESSES: Comments should refer to docket number MARAD–2010–0098. Written comments may be submitted by hand or by mail to the Docket Clerk, U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M–30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590. You may also send comments electronically via the Internet at http://www.regulations.gov or http://smses.dot.gov/submit/. All comments will become part of this docket and will be available for inspection and copying at the above address between 10 a.m. and 5 p.m., E.T., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. An electronic version of this document and all documents entered into this docket is available on the World Wide Web at http://www.regulations.gov.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: As described by the applicant the intended service of the vessel FOREVER is:

Intended Commercial Use of Vessel: “Recreation personal charter up to 12 persons in U.S. and foreign waters.”

Geographic Region: “ME, MA, NH, RI, CT, NY, NJ, DE, MD, VA, NC, SC, GA, FL.”

Privacy Act Anyone is able to search the electronic form of all comments received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the comment, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review DOT’s complete Privacy Act Statement in the Federal Register published on April 11, 2000 (Volume 65, Number 70; Pages 19477–78).

Dated: November 4, 2010.

By order of the Maritime Administrator.

Murray Bloom.

Acting Secretary, Maritime Administration.

[FR Doc. 2010–28866 Filed 11–16–10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–61–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Commercial Space Transportation Advisory Committee; Renewal

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of Renewal.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to Section 14(a)(2)(A) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463, 5 U.S.C. App. 2), and 5 USC552b (c), and in accordance with §102–3.65, Title 41 of the Code of Federal Regulations, notice is hereby given that the Commercial Space Transportation Advisory Committee (COMSTAC) has been renewed for a 2-year period beginning November 17, 2010. The primary purpose of the Committee is to provide information, advice, and recommendations to the U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT) on matters concerning the U.S. commercial space transportation industry. The
primary goals of the Committee are to evaluate economic, technological, and institutional developments relating to the industry; to provide a forum for the discussion of problems involving the relationship between industry activities and government requirements; and to make recommendations to DOT on issues and approaches for Federal policies and programs regarding the industry. The Committee will operate in accordance with the rules of the Federal Advisory Committee Act and the Department of Transportation, FAA Committee Management Order (1110.30C).

FURTHER INFORMATION, CONTACT:
Susan Lender (AST–100), COMSTAC Executive Director, Office of Commercial Space Transportation, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Room 325, Washington, DC 20591, telephone: (202) 267–8029; e-mail: susan.lender@faa.gov.

Issued in Washington, DC, November 10, 2010.

George C. Nield,
Associate Administrator for Commercial Space Transportation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Kirsten Helton, 2015 East Shields Ave., Suite 100, Fresno, CA 93726. Phone 559–243–8224 or Kirsten_Helton@dot.ca.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
Effective July 1, 2007, the FHWA assigned, and Caltrans assumed, environmental responsibilities for this project pursuant to 23 U.S.C. 327. Caltrans as the assigned National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) agency, has prepared a DEIS that evaluates 6 build alternatives to permanently restore and reopen the section of State Route 140 that was damaged by the Ferguson rockslide. Motorists are currently using a temporary bypass route to travel to this section of State Route 140. Caltrans approved the DEIS on November 5, 2010. Caltrans proposes to restore full highway access between Mariposa and El Portal via State Route 140 in Mariposa County, California by repairing or permanently bypassing the portion of State Route 140 that was blocked and damaged by the Ferguson rockslide. The total length of the project is 0.7 mile. The following build alternatives are being proposed:

Alternative C (Open-cut Realignment)
This alternative would realign the highway to the northeast of its current alignment, spanning the Merced River and bypassing the rockslide. State Route 140 would cut through the mountain across the Merced River from the rockslide and then span back across the river where it would meet the existing alignment. Two bridges would be built across the river.

Alternative T (Tunnel Realignment)
This alternative would realign the highway to the northeast of its current alignment, spanning the Merced River and bypassing the rockslide. State Route 140 would tunnel 700 feet through the mountain across the Merced River from the rockslide and then span back across the river where it would meet the existing alignment. Two bridges would be built across the river.

Alternative T–3 (Tunnel under Slide Realignment)
This alternative would realign the highway by constructing a 2,200-foot-long tunnel under the area of the slide.

Alternative S (Viaduct Realignment)
This alternative would realign the highway to the northeast of its current alignment, spanning the Merced River with two bridges and bypassing the rockslide with a hillside viaduct and retaining wall.

Alternative S–2 (Modified Viaduct Realignment)
This alternative is similar to Alternative S and would realign the highway to the northeast of its current alignment, spanning the Merced River with two bridges and bypassing the rockslide with a hillside viaduct and retaining wall. This alternative differs from Alternative S in that it proposes two bridge type variations along with their own specific roadway alignments. The first (S2–V1) would construct two tied-arch bridges, which use an arch structure with cables above the bridge deck for support. The second (S2–V2) would construct two slant-leg bridges, which use “V”-shaped columns to support the bridge deck.

Alternative R (Rockshed/Tunnel)
This alternative would construct a rockshed (cut-and-cover tunnel) through the talus (foundation layer) of the slide along the existing State Route 140 alignment.

The No-build Alternative would leave State Route 140 damaged and blocked by the Ferguson rockslide. As a result of the No-build Alternative, the temporary detour would continue to function as State Route 140. Either general wear or damage from flooding in a high water year will eventually require the removal of the bridges, supporting structures, and the detour pavement, leading to the permanent closure of State Route 140 at the section damaged by the rockslide.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Number 20.205, Highway Planning and Construction. The regulations implementing Executive Order 12372 regarding intergovernmental consultation on Federal programs and activities apply to this program.)

Issued on: November 10, 2010.

Cindy Vigue,
Director, State Programs, Federal Highway Administration, Sacramento, California.

[FR Doc. 2010–28933 Filed 11–16–10; 8:45 am]