files a request for review is unable to locate the producer or exporter for which it requested the review, the interested party must provide an explanation of the attempts it made to locate the producer or exporter at the same time it files its request for review, in order for the Secretary to determine if the interested party’s attempts were reasonable, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.303(f)(3)(ii).

As explained in Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties, 68 FR 23934 (May 6, 2003), the Department has clarified its practice with respect to the collection of final antidumping duties on imports of merchandise where intermediate firms are involved. The public should be aware of this clarification in determining whether to request an administrative review of merchandise subject to antidumping findings and orders. See also the Import Administration Web site at http:// ia.ita.doc.gov.

Six copies of the request should be submitted to the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Room 1870, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230. The Department also asks parties to serve a copy of their requests to the Office of Antidumping/Countervailing Operations, Attention: Sheila Forbes, in room 3508 of the main Commerce Building. Further, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.303(f)(3)(ii), a copy of each request must be served on the petitioner and each exporter or producer specified in the request.

The Department will publish in the Federal Register a notice of “Initiation of Administrative Review of Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation” for requests received by the last day of January 2011. If the Department does not receive, by the last day of January 2011, a request for review of entries covered by an order, finding, or suspended investigation listed in this notice and for the period identified above, the Department will instruct the CBP to assess antidumping or countervailing duties on those entries at a rate equal to the cash deposit of (or bond for) estimated antidumping or countervailing duties required on those entries at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption and to continue to collect the cash deposit previously ordered. For the first administrative review of any order, there can be no assessment of antidumping or countervailing duties on entries of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption during the relevant provisional-measures “gap” period, of the order, if such a gap period is applicable to the POR.

This notice is not required by statute but is published as a service to the international trading community.


Christian Marsh,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.
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DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration
[C–570–971]
Multilayered Wood Flooring From the People’s Republic of China: Postponement of Preliminary Determination in the Countervailing Duty Investigation

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: Effective Date: January 3, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Seth Isenberg and Joshua Morris, AD/CVD Operations, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0588 and (202) 482–1779, respectively.

Background


Postponement of Due Date for Preliminary Determination

Under section 703(c)(1)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the “Act”), the Department may extend the period for reaching a preliminary determination in a countervailing duty investigation until no later than the 130th day after the date on which the administering authority initiates an investigation, if the Department determines that the parties are cooperating and additional time is necessary to make the preliminary determination because the case is extraordinarily complicated. The Department solicited and received comments from parties, and concludes that concerned parties are cooperating. The Department further finds that additional time is required because the number of alleged manufacturers, producers, and exporters listed in the Petition 1 has complicated the Department’s selection of the firms to individually investigate. See section 703(c)(1)(B)(i)(IV) of the Act.

Moreover, since the Initiation Notice, the Department has concluded it cannot use U.S. Customs and Border Protection data for respondent selection. Rather, it is appropriate to solicit information about the quantity and value of subject merchandise sales from the firms named in the Petition. Therefore, respondent selection is extraordinarily complicated due to the large number of companies from which the Department is gathering quantity and value information. Thus, the Department is extending the due date for the preliminary determination to no later than 130 days after the day on which the investigation was initiated (i.e., March 20, 2011). However, March 20, 2011, falls on a Sunday, and it is the Department’s long-standing practice to issue a determination the next business day when the statutory deadline falls on a weekend, Federal holiday, or any other day when the Department is closed. See Notice of Clarification: Application of “Next Business Day” Rule for Administrative Determination Deadlines Pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930, As Amended, 70 FR 24533 (May 10, 2005). Accordingly, the deadline for completion of the preliminary determination is now no later than Monday, March 21, 2011.

This notice is issued and published pursuant to section 703(c)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(f).

Dated: December 27, 2010.

Christian Marsh,
Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 2010–33133 Filed 12–30–10; 8:45 am]
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1 See Petition for the Imposition of Antidumping and Countervailing Duties: Multilayered Wood Flooring from the People’s Republic of China, dated October 21, 2010 ("Petition").