trafficking cases? What sentences have courts imposed upon traffickers? How common are suspended sentences and prison time of less than one year for convicted traffickers?

8. Please provide observations regarding the efforts of police and prosecutors to pursue trafficking cases.

9. Are government officials (including law enforcement) complicit in human trafficking by, for example, profiting from, taking bribes or receiving sexual services for allowing it to continue? Are government officials operating trafficking rings or activities? If so, have these government officials been subject to an investigation and/or prosecution? What punishments have been imposed?

10. Has the government vigorously investigated, prosecuted, convicted and sentenced nationals of the country deployed abroad as part of a peacekeeping or other similar mission who engage in or facilitate trafficking?

11. Has the government investigated, prosecuted, convicted and sentenced organized crime groups that are involved in trafficking?

12. Is the country a source of sex tourists and, if so, what are their destination countries? Is the country a destination for sex tourists and, if so, what are their source countries?

13. Please provide observations regarding government efforts to address the issue of unlawful child soldiering.

14. Does the government make a coordinated, proactive effort to identify victims? Is there any screening conducted before deportation to determine whether individuals were trafficked?

15. What victim services are provided (legal, medical, food, shelter, interpretation, mental health care, health care, repatriation)? Who provides these services? If nongovernment organizations provide the services, does the government support their work either financially or otherwise?

16. How could victim services be improved?

17. Are services provided equally and adequately to victims of labor and sex trafficking? Men, women and children? Citizen and noncitizen?

18. Do service organizations and law enforcement work together cooperatively, for instance, to share information about trafficking trends or to plan for services after a raid? What is the level of cooperation, communication and trust between service organizations and law enforcement?

19. May victims file civil suits or seek legal action against their trafficker? Do victims avail themselves of those remedies?

20. Does the government repatriate victims? Does the government assist with third country resettlement? Does the government engage in any analysis of whether victims may face retribution or hardship upon repatriation to their country of origin? Are victims awaiting repatriation or third country resettlement offered services? Are victims indeed repatriated or are they deported?

21. Does the government inappropriately detain or imprison identified trafficking victims?

22. Does the government punish trafficking victims for forgery of documents, illegal immigration, unauthorized employment, or participation in illegal activities directed by the trafficker?

23. What efforts has the government made to prevent human trafficking?

24. Are there efforts to address root causes of trafficking such as poverty; lack of access to education and economic opportunity; and discrimination against women, children and minorities?

25. Does the government undertake activities that could prevent or reduce vulnerability to trafficking, such as registering births of indigenous populations?

26. Does the government provide financial support to NGOs working to promote public awareness or does the government implement such campaigns itself? Have public awareness campaigns proven to be effective?

27. Please provide additional recommendations to improve the government’s anti-trafficking efforts.

28. Please highlight effective strategies and practices that other governments could consider adopting.

Dated: January 6, 2011.

Luis CdeBaca,
Ambassador-at-Large, Office to Monitor and Combat Trafficking in Persons, U.S. Department of State.

[FR Doc. 2011–354 Filed 1–10–11; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4710–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

[Public Notice 7236]

Announcement of a Meeting of the International Telecommunication Advisory Committee

SUMMARY: This notice announces a meeting of the International Telecommunication Advisory Committee (ITAC) to prepare for the International Telecommunication Union (ITU) World Conference on International Telecommunications, as well as for the Organization of American States’ Inter-American Telecommunication Commission (CITEL) Permanent Consultative Committee I.

The ITAC will meet to begin preparation of advice for the U.S. government for the ITU World Conference on International Telecommunications, as well as the CITEL Permanent Consultative Committee I meeting. There will also be reports on the upcoming World Radiocommunication Conference Preparatory Meeting, the ITU Telecommunication Standardization Advisory Group meeting, and on other recent meetings of the sectors of the ITU, the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development, and the Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation’s telecommunications meetings.

The ITAC will meet from 2 to 4 p.m. on February 3, 2011 at 1120 20th Street, NW., 10th floor, Washington, DC 20036. This meeting is open to the public as seating capacity allows. The public will have an opportunity to provide comments at this meeting. Any requests for reasonable accommodation should be made at least 7 days before the meeting. All such requests will be considered, however, requests made after that date might not be possible to fulfill. Those desiring further information on this meeting may contact the Secretariat at jillsnordstate.gov mailto: jillsnordstate.gov or at (202) 647–2592. Anyone interested in the work of this advisory committee may subscribe to an e-mail service that provides time-sensitive information about preparations for upcoming international meetings. This service is free. To sign up, contact Ms. Anne Jillson at the e-mail above.

Dated: January 4, 2011.

Richard C. Beaird,
International Communications & Information Policy, U.S. Department of State.

[FR Doc. 2011–360 Filed 1–10–11; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4710–07–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Highway Administration

Notice of Final Federal Agency Actions on Proposed Highway in North Carolina

AGENCY: Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of Limitation on Claims for Judicial Review of Actions by FHWA and Other Federal Agencies.