present oral statements can be obtained from the Web site cited above or by contacting the identified DFO. Moreover, in view of the possibility that the schedule for ACRS meetings may be adjusted by the Chairman as necessary to facilitate the conduct of the meeting, persons planning to attend should check with these references if such rescheduling would result in a major inconvenience.

Ilka Berrios,
Acting Chief, Reactor Safety Branch B, Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards.

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards (ACRS); Meeting of the ACRS Subcommittee on Power Uprates; Notice of Meeting

The ACRS Subcommittee on Power Uprates will hold a meeting on February 24–25, 2011, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD T–2B1.

The meeting will be open to public attendance with the exception of portions that may be closed to protect proprietary information pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(4).

The agenda for the subject meeting shall be as follows:

Thursday, February 24, 2011—8:30 a.m. Until 5:30 p.m.; Friday, February 25, 2011—8:30 a.m. Until 5:30 p.m.

The Subcommittee will review the staff’s evaluation of the Point Beach Units 1 and 2 Extended Power Uprate application. The Subcommittee will hear presentations by and hold discussions with the NRC staff, NextEra Energy Point Beach LLC, and other interested persons. The Subcommittee will gather information, analyze relevant issues and facts, and formulate proposed positions and actions, as appropriate, for deliberation by the Full Committee.

Members of the public desiring to provide oral statements and/or written comments should notify the Designated Federal Official, Zena Abdullahi (Telephone 301–415–8716 or E-mail: Zena.Abdullahi@nrc.gov) five days prior to the meeting, if possible, so that appropriate arrangements can be made. Thirty five hard copies of each presentation or handout should be provided to the Designated Federal Official forty minutes before the meeting. In addition, one electronic copy of each presentation should be e-mailed to the Designated Federal Official one day before meeting. If an electronic copy cannot be provided within this timeframe, presenters should provide the Designated Federal Official with a CD containing each presentation at least thirty minutes before the meeting. Electronic recordings will be permitted only during those portions of the meeting that are open to the public. Detailed procedures for the conduct of and participation in ACRS meetings were published in the Federal Register on October 21, 2010 (75 FR 65038–65039).

Detailed meeting agendas and meeting transcripts are available on the NRC Web site at http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/acrs. Information regarding topics to be discussed, changes to the agenda, whether the meeting has been canceled or rescheduled, and the time allotted to present oral statements can be obtained from the Web site cited above or by contacting the identified DFO. Moreover, in view of the possibility that the schedule for ACRS meetings may be adjusted by the Chairman as necessary to facilitate the conduct of the meeting, persons planning to attend should check with these references if such rescheduling would result in a major inconvenience.

Cayetano Santos,
Chief, Reactor Safety Branch A, Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards.

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION
[Docket No. R2011–4; Order No. 663]
Postal Service Rate Adjustment
AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.
ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission is noticing a recently-filed Postal Service request concerning a Type 2 rate adjustment. This notice addresses procedural steps associated with this filing.

DATES: Comments are due: February 14, 2011.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically by accessing the “Filing Online” link located in the banner at the top of the Commission’s Web site (http://www.prc.gov) or by directly accessing the Commission’s Filing Online system at https://www.prc.gov/prc-pages/filing-online/login.aspx. Commenters who cannot submit their views electronically should contact the person identified in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section as the source for case-related information for advice on alternatives to electronic filing.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel, at 202–789–6820 (case-related information) or DocketAdmins@prc.gov (electronic filing assistance).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
I. Introduction
II. Notice of Filings
III. Ordering Paragraphs

I. Introduction
On January 31, 2011, the Postal Service filed a notice pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3622(c)(10) and 39 CFR 3010.40 et seq. concerning a Type 2 rate adjustment.1 The Notice concerns the inbound portion of a bilateral agreement with HongKong Post as a functionally equivalent agreement under the Inbound Multi-Service Agreements with Foreign Postal Operators 1 product in Docket Nos. MC2010–35, R2010–5 and R2010–6.

The Postal Service states that the Governors have authorized Type 2 rate adjustments for negotiated service agreements in accordance with 39 CFR 3010.40 et seq. that will result generally in more remunerative rates than the default rates set by the Universal Postal Union (UPU) Acts for inbound Letter Post items. Id. at 1. The agreement is scheduled to become effective April 1, 2011.2

In support of its Notice the Postal Service filed two attachments as follows:

• Attachment 1—an application for non-public treatment of materials to maintain redacted portions of the agreement and supporting documents under seal; and
• Attachment 2—a redacted copy of the agreement.

Related agreements. In Order No. 549, the Commission approved the Inbound

1 Notice of United States Postal Service of Type 2 Rate Adjustment, and Notice of Filing Functionally Equivalent Agreement, January 31, 2011 (Notice). See also PRC Order No. 549, Order Adding Inbound Market Dominant Multi-Service Agreements with Foreign Postal Operators 1 to the Market Dominant Product List and Approving Included Agreements, September 30, 2010.

2 The Postal Service’s Notice states inadvertently that the agreement’s rates are intended to become effective April 1, 2010. Id. at 2. The bilateral agreement provides that the agreement becomes effective upon the Postal Service obtaining all regulatory approvals and notifying HongKong Post that all such approvals have been obtained. The date of notification is the effective date unless the parties agree to an alternative date. The agreement will continue in effect through the remainder of this calendar year. For the next calendar year, the parties will evaluate the agreement to determine if it will be modified. Id. Attachment 2.
Market Dominant Multi-Service Agreements with Foreign Postal Operators 1 product and two functionally equivalent agreements, Strategic Bilateral Agreement Between United States Postal Service and Koninklijke TNT Post BV and TNT Post Pakketservice Benelux BV (TNT Agreement), and the China Post Group—United States Postal Service Letter Post Bilateral Agreement (CPG) Agreement. The Postal Service and HongKong Post, the postal operator for Hong Kong, are parties to the instant agreement, which covers inbound Letter Post in the form of letters, flats, small packets, bags, and International Registered Mail service for Letter Post. \(Id.\) at 2–3. As in the current agreement with CPG in Docket No. R2010–6, the instant agreement also establishes an ancillary service for delivery confirmation scanning with Letter Post small packets. \(Id.\) at 3.

The Postal Service states its filings comply with 39 CFR 3010.40 et seq. for the implementation of a negotiated service agreement. The Notice identifies performance attributes associated with the agreement, e.g., sortations for routing to the Postal Service’s International Service Centers based on destination ZIP Codes, and delivery confirmation service for Letter Post small packets that includes separation of the pieces for efficiency in processing, Notice at 3–4.

Under 39 CFR 3010.43, the Postal Service is required to submit a data collection plan. The Postal Service indicates that it intends to report information on this agreement through its Annual Compliance Report. While indicating its willingness to provide information on mail flows within the annual compliance review process, the Postal Service proposes that no special data collection plan be established for this agreement. With respect to performance measurement, it requests that the Commission exempt this agreement from separate reporting requirements under 39 CFR 3055.3 as determined in Order No. 549 for the agreements in Docket Nos. R2010–5 and R2010–6, \(Id.\) at 5–6.

Functional equivalency. The Postal Service advances reasons why the agreement is functionally equivalent to the previously filed TNT and CPG agreements and contains the same attributes and methodology. \(Id.\) at 7–9. It asserts that the instant agreement fits within the Mail Classification Schedule language for Inbound Multi-Service Agreements with the Foreign Postal Operators 1 product. Additionally, it states that it includes similar terms and conditions, e.g., is with a foreign postal operator, conforms to a common description, and relates to rates for Letter Post tendered from the postal operator’s territory with accompanying ancillary services. \(Id.\) at 7–8.

The Postal Service identifies specific terms that distinguish the instant agreement from the two existing agreements. \(Id.\) at 8–9. These include term, settlement charges and explanations, mail restrictions, and details on classifiers, barcoding, and software. The Postal Service contends that the instant agreement is nonetheless functionally equivalent to existing agreements and “[t]he Postal Service does not consider that the specified differences affect either the fundamental service the Postal Service is offering or the fundamental structure of the contracts.” \(Id.\) at 9.

In its Notice, the Postal Service maintains that certain portions of the agreement, prices, and related financial information should remain under seal. \(Id.\) at 1, Attachment 1.

The Postal Service concludes that the inbound portion of the bilateral agreement with HongKong Post should be added as a functionally equivalent agreement under the Inbound Market Dominant Multi-Service Agreements with Foreign Postal Operators 1 product. \(Id.\) at 10.

II. Notice of Filings

Interested persons may submit comments on whether the Postal Service’s filings in the captioned docket are consistent with the policies of 39 U.S.C. 3622 and 39 CFR part 3010.40. Comments are due no later than February 14, 2011.\(^3\) The public portions of these filings can be accessed via the Commission’s Web site (http://www.prc.gov).

The Commission appoints Kenneth Moeller to serve as Public Representative in this docket.

III. Ordering Paragraphs

It is ordered:


2. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, Kenneth Moeller is appointed to serve as officer of the Commission (Public Representative) to represent the interests of the general public in this proceeding.

3. Comments by interested persons in this proceeding are due no later than February 14, 2011.

4. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this order in the Federal Register.

By the Commission.

Ruth Ann Abrams,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2011–3166 Filed 2–10–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710–FW–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION


Self-Regulatory Organizations; International Securities Exchange, LLC; Order Approving Proposed Rule Change Regarding Registration, Qualification, and Continuing Education Requirements for Members and Associated Persons

February 4, 2011.

I. Introduction

On December 1, 2010, the International Securities Exchange, LLC (“Exchange” or “ISE”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Exchange Act”),\(^4\) and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,\(^2\) a proposed rule change to extend registration, qualification, and continuing education requirements to all associated persons of its members. The proposed rule change was published for comment in the Federal Register on December 21, 2010.\(^3\) The Commission received one comment letter on the proposal.\(^4\) This order approves the proposed rule change.

II. Background

The ISE’s rules governing registration, examination, and continuing education requirements for associated persons of ISE members \(^5\) currently apply to

---


\(^4\) See Letter from James McHale, Managing Director and Associate General Counsel, Securities Industry and Financial Markets Association, to Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary, Commission (dated January 19, 2011) (“SIFMA Letter”).

\(^5\) Under ISE Rule 100(a)(3), the term “associated person” or “person associated with a member” means any partner, officer, director or branch manager of a member (or any person occupying a similar status or performing similar functions), any person directly or indirectly controlling, controlled by, or under common control with a member or any employee of a member. ISE noted that an