Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the publication date of the final results of these reviews. For assessment purposes, we calculated exporter/importer- (or customer) specific assessment rates for merchandise subject to this review.\textsuperscript{91} Where appropriate, we calculated an ad valorem rate for each importer (or customer) by dividing the total dumping margins for reviewed sales to that party by the total entered values associated with those transactions. For duty-assessment rates calculated on this basis, we will direct CBP to assess the resulting ad valorem rate against the entered customs values for the subject merchandise. Where appropriate, we calculated a per-unit rate for each importer (or customer) by dividing the total dumping margins for reviewed sales to that party by the total sales quantity associated with those transactions. For duty-assessment rates calculated on this basis, we will direct CBP to assess the resulting per-unit rate against the entered quantity of the subject merchandise. Where an importer- (or customer) specific assessment rate is de minimis (i.e., less than 0.50 percent), the Department will instruct CBP to assess that importer (or customer's) entries of subject merchandise without regard to antidumping duties. We intend to instruct CBP to liquidate entries containing subject merchandise exported by the PRC-wide entity at the PRC-wide rate we determine in the final results of this review.

For Muzi Carbon, a company receiving a separate rate that was not selected for individual review, we will calculate an assessment rate based on the weighted average of the cash deposit rates calculated for the companies selected for individual review consistent with section 735(c)(5)(B) of the Act. Where the weighted average ad valorem rate is zero or de minimis, we will instruct CBP to liquidate appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties. See 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2).

**Cash-Deposit Requirements**

The following cash-deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of the subject merchandise from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For the Fangda Group, Fushun Jinyi, and Muzi Carbon the cash deposit rate will be their respective rates established in the final results of this review, except if the rate is zero or de minimis no cash deposit will be required; (2) for previously investigated or reviewed PRC and non-PRC exporters not listed above that have separate rates, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the exporter-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) for all PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be the PRC-wide rate of 159.64 percent; and (4) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporters that supplied that non-PRC exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

**Notification of Interested Parties**

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under section 351.402(f) of the Department’s regulations to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This administrative review and this notice are in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act, and sections 351.213 and 351.221(b)(4) of the Department’s regulations.


Paul Piquado,
Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**International Trade Administration**

**Request for Applicants for Appointment to the United States-Brazil CEO Forum**

**AGENCY:** International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In March 2007, the Governments of the United States and Brazil established the U.S.-Brazil CEO Forum. This notice announces membership opportunities for appointment as American representatives to the U.S. Section of the Forum. The current U.S. Section term will expire on June 11, 2011.

**DATES:** Applications should be received no later than April 29, 2011.

**ADDRESSES:** Please send requests for consideration to Ashley Rosen, Office of South America, U.S. Department of Commerce, either by e-mail at ashley.rosen@trade.gov or by mail to U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue, NW., Room 3203, Washington, DC 20230.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ashley Rosen, Office of South America, U.S. Department of Commerce, telephone: (202) 482–6311.
responsibility) of a U.S.-owned or -controlled company that is incorporated in and has its main headquarters in the United States, and that is currently doing business in both Brazil and the United States. Each candidate also must be a U.S. citizen or otherwise legally authorized to work in the United States and able to travel to Brazil and locations in the United States to attend official Forum meetings as well as independent U.S. Section and Committee meetings. In addition, the candidate may not be a registered foreign agent under the Foreign Agents Registration Act of 1938, as amended. Applicants may not be federally-registered lobbyists, and, if appointed, will not be allowed to continue to serve as members of the U.S. Section of the Committee if the member becomes a federally-registered lobbyist.

Evaluation of applications for membership in the U.S. Section by eligible individuals will be based on the following criteria:

—A demonstrated commitment by the individual’s company to the Brazilian market either through exports or investment.

—A demonstrated strong interest in Brazil and its economic development.

—The ability to offer a broad perspective and business experience to the discussions.

—The ability to address cross-cutting issues that affect the entire business community.

—The ability to initiate and be responsible for activities in which the Forum will be active.

Members will be selected on the basis of who will best carry out the objectives of the Forum as stated in the Terms of Reference establishing the U.S.-Brazil CEO Forum. The U.S. Section of the Forum should also include members that represent a diversity of business sectors and geographic locations. To the extent possible, U.S. Section members also should represent a cross-section of small, medium, and large firms.

U.S. members will receive no compensation for their participation in Forum-related activities. Individual members will be responsible for all travel and related expenses associated with their participation in the Forum, including attendance at Committee and Section meetings. Only appointed members may participate in official Forum meetings; substitutes and alternates will not be designated. U.S. members will normally serve for two-year terms, but may be reappointed. To be considered for membership, please submit the following information as instructed in the ADDRESSES and DATES captions above: Name(s) and title(s) of the individual(s) requesting consideration; name and address of company’s headquarters; location for incorporation; size of the company; size of company’s export trade, investment, and nature of operations or interest in Brazil; an affirmative statement that the applicant is neither registered nor required to register as a foreign agent under the Foreign Agents Registration Act of 1938, as amended; an affirmative statement that the applicant is not a federally-registered lobbyist, and that the applicant understands that if appointed, the applicant will not be allowed to continue to serve as a member of the U.S. Section of the Forum if the applicant becomes a federally-registered lobbyist; and a brief statement of why the candidate should be considered, including information about the candidate’s ability to initiate and be responsible for activities in which the Forum will be active.

Applications will be considered as they are received. All candidates will be notified of whether they have been selected.


Anne Driscoll,
Director for the Office of South America.

[FR Doc. 2011–5073 Filed 3–4–11; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510–DA–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–806]

Silicon Metal From the People’s Republic of China: Recission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: Effective Date: March 7, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Magd Zalok or Howard Smith, AD/CVD Operations, Office 4, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482–4162 or (202) 482–5193, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION:

Background

On June 1, 2010, the Department of Commerce (the “Department”) published a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review for the period of review covering June 1, 2009, through May 31, 2010 (“POR”). See Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity To Request Administrative Review, 75 FR 30383 (June 1, 2010). In accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b)(1), Globe Metallurgical Inc. (“Globe”), a domestic producer of silicon metal, requested an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on silicon metal from the PRC with respect to the following companies: Jiangxi Gangyuan Silicon Industry Company Ltd. (“Gangyuan”); Shanghai Jinneng International Trade Co., Ltd. (“Shanghai Jinneng”); and Zhejiang Kaixuan Yuantong Silicon Industry Co., Ltd. (“Zhejiang”).1 No other party requested a review. The Department published the initiation of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on silicon metal from the PRC on July 28, 2010, in which the Department initiated an administrative review of the aforementioned three companies covering the period June 1, 2009, through May 31, 2010. See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocations in Part, 75 FR 44224 (July 28, 2010).

On August 18, 2010, Gangyuan and Shanghai Jinneng notified the Department that they had no entries, exports, or sales of the subject merchandise to the United States during the POR. On September 20, 2010, the Department issued a no shipments e-mail to U.S. Customs and Border Protection (“CBP”) requesting notification within 10 days of receipt of the e-mail if CBP had information contrary to the no shipments claims of Gangyuan and Shanghai Jinneng. Also, the Department conducted a CBP data query to ascertain whether there were entries of subject merchandise from Gangyuan or Shanghai Jinneng. See August 11, 2010, Memorandum from Analyst to File entitled “2009–2010 Administrative Review of Silicon Metal from the People’s Republic of China, Placing CBP Data on the Record.” See also September 22, 2010, Memorandum from Abdelali Elouaradia, Office Director, Office 4, Import Administration to Michael Walsh, Director, AD/CVD/Revenue Policy & Programs, U.S. Customs and Border Protection entitled “Request for U.S. Entry Documents—Silicon Metal from the People’s Republic of China A–570–806.”

On January 11, 2011, Globe withdrew its request for review of Zhejiang. On February 15, 2011, the Department