License Number: 015590N.
Name: Express Global Freight, Inc.
Address: 20311 Valley Blvd., Walnut, CA 91789.
Date Revoked: February 19, 2011.
Reason: Failed to maintain a valid bond.
License Number: 015847N.
Name: Straightline Logistics, Inc.
Address: One Cross Island Plaza, Suite 210, Rosedale, NY 11422.
Date Revoked: February 13, 2011.
Reason: Failed to maintain a valid bond.
License Number: 017270F.
Name: Unicom Trans, Inc.
Address: 15500 S. Western Avenue, Gardena, CA 90249.
Date Revoked: February 23, 2011.
Reason: Failed to maintain a valid bond.
License Number: 017330N.
Name: Geomarine Shipping Inc.
Address: 27 Cambridge Road, East Rockaway, NY 11518.
Date Revoked: February 20, 2011.
Reason: Failed to maintain a valid bond.
License Number: 018125F.
Name: Echo-Translink Systems (ETS) dba Echo Worldwide.
Address: 14205 SE 36th Street, Suite 100, Bellevue, WA 98006.
Date Revoked: February 7, 2011.
Reason: Failed to maintain a valid bond.
License Number: 018977N.
Name: Alas Cargo LLC.
Address: 228–236 Star of India Lane, Main Street, Carson, CA 90746.
Date Revoked: February 7, 2011.
Reason: Failed to maintain a valid bond.
License Number: 019156N.
Name: La Primavera Cargo Express Corp.
Address: 1388–92 Jessup Avenue, Bronx, NY 10452.
Date Revoked: February 26, 2011.
Reason: Failed to maintain a valid bond.
License Number: 019277N.
Name: Trans Freight (USA) Inc.
Address: 317 W. Main Street, Unit 419, Alhambra, CA 91801.
Date Revoked: February 21, 2011.
Reason: Failed to maintain a valid bond.
License Number: 019288F.
Name: Kairos Logistics LLC.
Address: 1447 West 178th Street, Suite 305, Gardena, CA 90248.
Date Revoked: February 15, 2011.
Reason: Failed to maintain a valid bond.
License Number: 019897N.
Name: Pinoy Express Cargo, Inc.
Address: 18800 Amar Road, Suite A–7, Walnut, CA 91789.
Date Revoked: February 10, 2011.
Reason: Failed to maintain a valid bond.
License Number: 019908NF.
Name: International Trade Management Group, LLC dba ITM Logistics dba Patriot Lines.
Address: 611 Live Oak Drive, McLean, VA 22101.
Date Revoked: February 21, 2011.
Reason: Failed to maintain valid bonds.
License Number: 020527NF.
Name: Fast Logistics, Inc.
Address: 3350 SW 3rd Avenue, Suite 207, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33315.
Date Revoked: February 28, 2011.
Reason: Failed to maintain valid bonds.
License Number: 021273NF.
Name: Frontcargo Freight Services Inc.
Address: 4729 NW 72nd Avenue, Miami, FL 33166.
Date Revoked: February 12, 2011.
Reason: Failed to maintain valid bonds.
License Number: 021837F.
Name: Cargo America, Inc.
Address: 332 South Wayside Drive, Houston, TX 77011.
Date Revoked: November 10, 2010.
Reason: Failed to maintain a valid bond.
License Number: 021901F.
Name: Magusa Logistics, Corp.
Address: 11222 NW. 53rd Lane, Doral, FL 33178.
Date Revoked: February 28, 2011.
Reason: Failed to maintain a valid bond.
License Number: 021961N.
Name: Miami Envios Express Inc.
Address: 7468 SW 117th Avenue, Miami, FL 33183.
Date Revoked: February 16, 2011.
Reason: Surrendered license voluntarily.
License Number: 022184F.
Name: Santiago Cargo Express, Corp.
Address: 9–16 37th Avenue, Long Island City, NY 11101.
Date Revoked: February 25, 2011.
Reason: Failed to maintain a valid bond.

Sandra L. Kusumoto,
Director, Bureau of Certification and Licensing.
[FR Doc. 2011–6865 Filed 3–22–11; 8:45 am]
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Medicare, Medicaid, and Children’s Health Insurance Programs; Provider Enrollment Application Fee Amount for 2011

AGENCY: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), HHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the $505 calendar year (CY) 2011 application fee for institutional providers that are: Initially enrolling in the Medicare, program; revalidating their Medicare enrollment; or adding a new Medicare practice location. These institutional providers and suppliers are required to submit the 2011 fee amount with any enrollment applications submitted on or after March 23, 2011 and on or before December 31, 2011. Similarly, beginning March 25, 2011 prospective or re-enrolling Medicaid or CHIP providers must submit the applicable application fee unless: (1) The provider is an individual physician or nonphysician practitioner; or (2) the provider is enrolled in Title XVIII of the Act or another State’s title XIX or XXI plan and has paid the application fee to a Medicare contractor or another State.

DATES: Effective Date: This notice is effective on March 23, 2011.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

In the February 2, 2011 Federal Register (76 FR 5862) we published a final rule with comment period entitled: “Medicare, Medicaid, and Children’s Health Insurance Programs; Additional Screening Requirements, Application Fees, Temporary Enrollment Moratoria, Payment Suspensions and Compliance Plans for Providers and Suppliers”. This final rule with comment finalized, among other things, provisions related to the submission of application fees as part of the Medicare, Medicaid and Children’s Health Insurance Program (CHIP) provider enrollment processes. Specifically, and as stated in 42 CFR 424.514, institutional providers and suppliers that are: Initially enrolling in
the Medicare program; revalidating their Medicare enrollment; or adding a new Medicare practice location, will be required to submit an application fee beginning March 25, 2011 with any enrollment application. We will adjust the amount of the fee annually for inflation. Institutional providers are defined at § 424.502 as—

Any provider or supplier that submits a paper Medicare enrollment application using the CMS–855A, CMS–855B (not including physician and non-physician practitioner organizations), CMS–855S or associated Internet-based PECOS enrollment application.

Similarly, as stated in 42 CFR 455.460, beginning March 25, 2011 prospective or re-enrolling Medicaid or CHIP providers and suppliers must submit the applicable application fee unless: (1) The provider is an individual physician or nonphysician practitioner; or (2) the provider is enrolled in Title XVIII of the Act or another State’s title XIX or XXI plan and has paid the application fee to a Medicare contractor or another State. The February 2, 2011 final rule with comment period contains additional information about the entities that must submit an application fee, the purpose of the fee, and the process of obtaining a hardship exception or a waiver for Medicaid providers.

II. Provisions of the Notice

The application fee amount for the period on or after March 25, 2011 and on or before December 31, 2011 will be $505. This figure was calculated in accordance with the following:

• Section 1866(j)(2)(C)(i)(I) of the Social Security Act (the Act) established a $500 application fee for providers and suppliers in calendar year (CY) 2010.

• In 42 CFR 424.514(d)(2) of our regulations, and consistent with section 1866(j)(2)(C)(i)(II) of the Act, we stated that for CY 2011 and for subsequent years, the fee will be adjusted by the percentage change in the consumer price index (CPI) for all urban consumers (all items; United States city average) for the 12-month period ending with June of the previous year.

• As stated in the Regulatory Impact Analysis section of the February 2, 2011 final rule with comment period (76 FR 5955) the CPI–U increase for the 12-month period ending with June of the previous year is 1.0 percent, based on data obtained from the Bureau of Labor Statistics. This results in an application fee for the CY 2011 of $505 (or, $500 × 1.01).

We will provide additional information to institutional providers on how the application fee can be submitted. Institutional providers are reminded that they can submit a hardship exception request in the event they believe that it is appropriate; additional information on the hardship exception is available in the February 2, 2011 final rule with comment period.

The application fee for calendar year 2012 will be published in the Federal Register later this year.

III. Collection of Information Requirements

This document does not impose information collection and recordkeeping requirements. Consequently, it need not be reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget under the authority of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. chapter 35). However, it does reference previously approved information collections. As stated in Section I of this notice, the forms CMS–855(A and B) and the CMS–855(S) are approved under OMB control numbers 0938–0685 and 0938–1057.

IV. Regulatory Impact Statement

We have examined the impact of this notice as required by Executive Order 12866 on Regulatory Planning and Review (September 30, 1993), Executive Order 13563 on Improving Regulation and Regulatory Review (February 2, 2011), the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) (September 19, 1980, Pub. L. 96–354), section 1102(b) of the Social Security Act, section 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (March 22, 1995; Pub. L. 104–4), Executive Order 13132 on Federalism (August 4, 1999) and the Congressional Review Act (5 U.S.C. 804(2)). Executive Orders 12866 and 13563 direct agencies to assess all costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, if regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits (including potential economic, environmental, public health and safety effects, distributive impacts, and equity). A regulatory impact analysis (RIA) must be prepared for major rules with economically significant effects ($100 million or more in any 1 year).

In the regulatory impact analysis section of the February 2, 2011 published final rule with comment (76 FR 5862), we estimated the total costs for institutional providers and suppliers in application fees for each CY’s from 2011 through 2015. For 2011—and based on an application fee of $505—we projected in Tables 11 and 12 (76 FR 5955 through 5956) a total cost of $4,145,300 for Medicare providers and suppliers (or 91,406 providers and suppliers × $505). For Medicaid providers, the estimated total cost for 2011—as indicated in Tables 13 and 14 (76 FR 5957)—was $9,519,755 (or 18,851 providers × $505).

We are retaining these estimates for purposes of this notice. Thus, we project the total cost in application fees for Medicare and Medicaid providers and suppliers in CY 2011 to be $55,679,785.

This notice does not reach the economic threshold and thus is not considered a major rule.

The RFA requires agencies to analyze options for regulatory relief of small entities. For purposes of the RFA, small entities include small businesses, nonprofit organizations, and small governmental jurisdictions. Most hospitals and most other providers and suppliers are small entities, either by nonprofit status or by having revenues of $7.0 million to $34.5 million in any 1 year. Individuals and States are not included in the definition of a small entity. We are not preparing an analysis for the RFA because we have determined, and the Secretary certifies, that this notice will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

In addition, section 1102(b) of the Act requires us to prepare a regulatory impact analysis if a rule may have a significant impact on the operations of a substantial number of small rural hospitals. This analysis must conform to the provisions of section 604 of the RFA. For purposes of section 1102(b) of the Act, we define a small rural hospital as a hospital that is located outside of a Metropolitan Statistical Area for Medicare payment regulations and has fewer than 100 beds. We are not preparing an analysis for section 1102(b) of the Act because we have determined, and the Secretary certifies, that this notice will not have a significant impact on the operations of a substantial number of small rural hospitals.

Section 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 also requires that agencies assess anticipated costs and benefits before issuing any rule whose mandates require spending in any 1 year of $100 million in 1995 dollars, updated annually for inflation. In 2011, that threshold is approximately $136 million. This notice will have no consequential effect on State, local, or Tribal governments or on the private sector.

Executive Order 13132 establishes certain requirements that an agency must meet when it promulgates a proposed rule (and subsequent final rule) that imposes substantial direct regulatory costs. These include governmental jurisdictions. Most hospitals and most other providers and suppliers are small entities, either by nonprofit status or by having revenues of $7.0 million to $34.5 million in any 1 year. Individuals and States are not included in the definition of a small entity. We are not preparing an analysis for the RFA because we have determined, and the Secretary certifies, that this notice will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

In addition, section 1102(b) of the Act requires us to prepare a regulatory impact analysis if a rule may have a significant impact on the operations of a substantial number of small rural hospitals. This analysis must conform to the provisions of section 604 of the RFA. For purposes of section 1102(b) of the Act, we define a small rural hospital as a hospital that is located outside of a Metropolitan Statistical Area for Medicare payment regulations and has fewer than 100 beds. We are not preparing an analysis for section 1102(b) of the Act because we have determined, and the Secretary certifies, that this notice will not have a significant impact on the operations of a substantial number of small rural hospitals.

Section 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 also requires that agencies assess anticipated costs and benefits before issuing any rule whose mandates require spending in any 1 year of $100 million in 1995 dollars, updated annually for inflation. In 2011, that threshold is approximately $136 million. This notice will have no consequential effect on State, local, or Tribal governments or on the private sector.
Since this regulation does not impose any costs on State or local governments, the requirements of Executive Order 13132 are not applicable.

In accordance with the provisions of Executive Order 12866, this notice was not reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 93.778, Medical Assistance Program and Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 93.773, Medicare—Hospital Insurance; and Program No. 93.774, Medicare—Supplementary Medical Insurance Program)

Dated: March 16, 2011.

Donald M. Berwick,
Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services.

[FR Doc. 2011–6813 Filed 3–22–11; 8:45 am]
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Draft Guidance for Industry: Compliance With Regulations Restricting the Sale and Distribution of Cigarettes and Smokeless Tobacco To Protect Children and Adolescents; Availability

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is announcing the availability of a revised draft guidance for industry entitled “Draft Guidance for Industry: Compliance With Regulations Restricting the Sale and Distribution of Cigarettes and Smokeless Tobacco to Protect Children and Adolescents.”

The draft guidance is being distributed for comment purposes only and is not intended for implementation at this time. Interested persons may submit to the Division of Dockets Management (see ADDRESSES) either electronic or written comments regarding this document. It is only necessary to send two copies of mailed comments. Identify comments with the docket number found in brackets in the heading of this document.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Office of Compliance and Enforcement, Center for Tobacco Products, Food and Drug Administration, 9200 Corporate Blvd., Rockville, MD 20850–3229, 877–287–1373, ctpcompliance@fda.hhs.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

The Family Smoking Prevention and Tobacco Control Act (Tobacco Control Act) (Pub. L. 111–31; 123 Stat. 1776) was enacted on June 22, 2009, amending the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and providing FDA with the authority to regulate tobacco products. Section 102 of the Tobacco Control Act requires FDA to publish final regulations regarding cigarettes and smokeless tobacco, which are identical in their provisions to the regulations issued by FDA on August 28, 1996 (61 FR 44396), with certain specified exceptions. In the Federal Register of March 19, 2010 (75 FR 13225), FDA published a final rule entitled “Regulations Restricting the Sale and Distribution of Cigarettes and Smokeless Tobacco to Protect Children and Adolescents.” The final regulations apply to manufacturers, distributors, and retailers who make, distribute, or sell cigarettes or smokeless tobacco products.

As of June 22, 2010, these Federal regulations, among other things, prohibit retailers from selling cigarettes, cigarette tobacco, or smokeless tobacco to persons under the age of 18, and require retailers to verify the age of all customers under the age of 27 by checking a photographic identification that includes the bearer’s date of birth.

FDA announced the publication of the original draft guidance document on June 9, 2010 (75 FR 32791). This revised draft guidance replaces the original draft guidance. The original draft guidance was revised to remove potential ambiguities and to address several issues not included in the original draft guidance. This revised draft guidance is intended to help small entities comply with the final rule entitled “Regulations Restricting the Sale and Distribution of Cigarettes and Smokeless Tobacco to Protect Children and Adolescents.”

III. Comments

Persons with access to the Internet may obtain the document at either http://www.fda.gov/TobaccoProducts/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/default.htm or http://www.regulations.gov.

Dated: March 17, 2011.

Leslie Kux,
Acting Assistant Commissioner for Policy.

[FR Doc. 2011–6794 Filed 3–22–11; 8:45 am]