copies of the information collection instrument and instructions should be directed to Kerstin Millius, Senior Program Analyst, Performance and National Programs Division, Room 7009, Economic Development Administration, Washington, DC 20230, or at e-mail millius@eda.doc.gov or telephone (202) 482–3280.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Abstract

The Economic Development Administration’s (EDA) mission is to lead the federal economic development agenda by promoting innovation and competitiveness, preparing American regions for growth and success in the worldwide economy. EDA accomplishes its mission by helping our partners across the nation (states, regions, and communities) create wealth and minimize poverty by promoting a favorable business environment to attract private capital investment and jobs through world-class capacity building, planning, infrastructure, research grants, and strategic initiatives.

EDA’s strategic investments in public infrastructure and local capital markets provide lasting benefits for economically disadvantaged areas. Acting as catalysts to mobilize public and private investments, EDA’s investments address problems of high unemployment, low per capita income, and other forms of severe economic distress in local communities. EDA also provides special economic adjustment assistance to help communities and businesses respond to major layoffs, plant shutdowns, trade impacts, natural disasters, military facility closures, and other severe economic dislocations.

EDA must comply with the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993 which requires Federal agencies to develop performance measures, and report to Congress and stakeholders the results of the agency’s performance. EDA must collect specific measures, and report to Congress and stakeholders the results of the agency’s performance.

EDA must comply with the

II. Method of Collection

Respondents will submit forms to the appropriate EDA regional office for compilation and transmission to EDA headquarters.

III. Data

OMB Control Number: 0610–0098.

Form Number(s): ED–915, ED–916, ED–917, and ED–918.

Type of Review: Regular submission (extension of currently approved information collection).

Affected Public: EDA-funded grantees: State, local and tribal governments; community organizations; not-for-profit organizations.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 1,529.

Estimated Time per Response: 7 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 10,703.

Estimated Total Annual Cost to Public: $113,220.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Public comment is invited from affected public entities, including State, local and tribal governments; community organizations; not-for-profit organizations; Federal, State, and local government agencies; and individuals. The Department solicits comments on the need for and the utility of this information. Comments should be submitted to Kerstin Millius, Senior Program Analyst, Performance and National Programs Division, Economic Development Administration, Room 7009, 1401 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230–0002, and in the "Reading Room" section of the Board’s Web site.

FTZ 59 was approved by the Board on August 27, 1980 (Board Order 163, 45 FR 58637, 09/04/80), and expanded on June 25, 1993 (Board Order 646, 58 FR 36389, 07/07/93). The current zone project includes the following sites: Site 1 (5.7 acres)—Lincoln Airpark West Industrial Park, 4521 NW. 36th Street, Lincoln; and, Site 2 (372 acres)—Lincoln Airpark North Industrial Park, 2400 West Adams Street, Lincoln.

The grantee’s proposed service area under the ASF would be Lancaster, Otoe and Seward Counties, Nebraska. If approved, the grantee would be able to serve sites throughout the service area based on companies’ needs for FTZ designation. The proposed service area is within and adjacent to the Omaha Customs and Border Protection port of entry.

The applicant is requesting authority to reorganize its existing zone project to include the existing sites as “magnet” sites. The ASF allows for the possible exemption of one magnet site from the “sunset” time limits that generally apply to sites under the ASF, and the applicant proposes that Site 2 be so exempted. Because the ASF only pertains to establishing or reorganizing a general-purpose zone, the application would have no impact on FTZ 59’s authorized subzones.

In accordance with the Board’s regulations, Camille Evans of the FTZ Staff is designated examiner to evaluate and analyze the facts and information presented in the application and case record and to report findings and recommendations to the Board.

Public comment is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and 3 copies) shall be addressed to the Board’s Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is July 18, 2011. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period to August 2, 2011.

A copy of the application will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room 2111, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue, Washington, DC 20230–0002, and in the "Reading Room" section of the Board’s Web site.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Docket 33–2011]

Foreign-Trade Zone 59—Lincoln, NE; Application for Reorganization Under Alternative Site Framework

An application has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ) Board (the Board) by the Lincoln Foreign-Trade Zone, Inc., grantee of FTZ 59, requesting authority to reorganize the zone under the alternative site framework (ASF) adopted by the Board (74 FR 1170–1173, 01/12/09 (correction 74 FR 3987, 01/22/09); 75 FR 71069–71070, 11/22/10). The ASF is an option for grantees for the establishment or reorganization of general-purpose zones and can permit significantly greater flexibility in the designation of new “usage-driven” FTZ sites for operators/
which is accessible via http://www.trade.gov/ftz. For further information, contact Camille Evans at Camille.Evans@trade.gov or (202) 482–2350.

Dated: May 12, 2011.

Andrew McGilvray,
Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2011–12094 Filed 5–18–11; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510–05–M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration

[A–570–918]

Steel Wire Garment Hangers From the People’s Republic of China: Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary Results of the Second Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.
DATES: Effective Date: May 19, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Bob Palmer or Jamie Blair-Walker, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–9068 or (202) 482–2615, respectively.

Background

On November 29, 2010, the Department of Commerce (“the Department”) published in the Federal Register a notice of initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on steel wire garment hangers from the People’s Republic of China (“PRC”) covering the period, October 1, 2009, through September 30, 2010. On January 21, 2011, the Department selected Shanghai Wells Hanger Co., Ltd. (“Shanghai Wells”) and Jiaxing Boyi Medical Device Co. (“Jiaxing Boyi”) as mandatory respondents in the above referenced review. On January 24, 2011, we issued our non-market economy antidumping questionnaire to Shanghai Wells and Jiaxing Boyi. As stated in the cover letter of our questionnaire, the deadlines for Section A was February 10, 2011, and for Sections C & D were February 26, 2011. Jiaxing Boyi did not respond to the Department’s Section A questionnaire by the stated deadline and did not request an extension. On February 24, 2011, we selected an additional mandatory respondent, Shaoxing Liangbao Metal Manufactured Co., Ltd. (“Shaoxing Liangbao”) as a replacement for Jiaxing Boyi. Shaoxing Liangbao’s response to Section A was due on March 26, 2011. However, Shaoxing Liangbao did not submit a response before the stated deadline or request an extension.

On March 28, 2011, as a replacement for Shaoxing Liangbo, we selected another additional mandatory respondent, Pu Jiang County Command Metal Products Co., Ltd. (“Command Metal Products”). However, Command Metal Products did not submit a response, or request an extension, to the Department’s Section A questionnaire by the deadline, April 18, 2011.

On April 29, 2011, we selected an additional two mandatory respondents, Shaoxing Guochao Metal Products Co., Ltd. (“Guochao Metal Products”) and Yiwu Ao-Si Metal Products Co., Ltd. (“Yiwu”). The current deadlines for Guochao Metal Products’ and Yiwu to submit their Section A responses are May 23, 2011, and June 8, 2011, for their Section C and D questionnaire responses. The preliminary results of this administrative review are currently due on July 3, 2011.

Statutory Time Limits

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”), requires the Department to issue the preliminary results of an administrative review within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order for which a review is requested. Consistent with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department may extend the 245-day period to 365 days if it is not practicable to complete the review within a 245-day period.

Extension of Time Limit of Preliminary Results

The preliminary results are currently due on July 3, 2011. This administrative review now covers three mandatory respondents and requires that the Department gather and analyze a significant amount of information pertaining to each of these companies. Moreover, because several previously selected mandatory respondents were unresponsive, the Department went through numerous rounds of selecting additional replacement mandatory respondents. Thus, the Department requires additional time to fully analyze the initial questionnaire responses and issue supplemental questionnaires prior to the preliminary results. This extension is also necessary to give all parties to the proceeding adequate time to supply the Department with information related to the mandatory respondents’ factors of production. The current date of the preliminary results does not afford the Department adequate time to gather, analyze, request supplementary information, and allow parties to fully participate in the proceeding.

Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department finds that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results within the original time period and thus the Department is extending the time limit for issuing the preliminary results by

1 See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, 75 FR 73036 (November 29, 2010).
2 See Memorandum to Jim Doyle, Director, Office 9, Import Administration, from Irene Gorelik, Senior International Trade Compliance Analyst, Office 9, regarding the Second Administrative Review of Steel Wire Garment Hangers from the People’s Republic of China: Selection of Respondents for Individual Review (January 21, 2011).
3 See Letter to Shanghai Wells and Jiaxing Boyi from Catherine Bertrand, Program Manager, Office 9, Import Administration; regarding the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Steel Garment Wire Hangers from the People’s Republic of China: Non-Market Economy Questionnaire (January 21, 2011).
4 See Memorandum to Jim Doyle, Director, Office 9, Import Administration, from Jamie Blair-Walker, International Trade Compliance Analyst, Office 9, regarding the Second Administrative Review of Steel Wire Garment Hangers from the People’s Republic of China: Selection of Additional Mandatory Respondent (February 24, 2011).
5 See Letter to Shaoxing Liangbao from Catherine Bertrand, Program Manager, Office 9, Import Administration; regarding the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Steel Garment Wire Hangers from the People’s Republic of China: Non-Market Economy Questionnaire (February 24, 2011).
6 See Memorandum to Jim Doyle, Director, Office 9, Import Administration, from Jamie Blair-Walker, International Trade Compliance Analyst, Office 9, regarding the Second Administrative Review of Steel Wire Garment Hangers from the People’s Republic of China: Selection of Additional Mandatory Respondent (March 28, 2011).
7 See Letter to Guochao Metal Products from Catherine Bertrand, Program Manager, Office 9, Import Administration; regarding the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Steel Garment Wire Hangers from the People’s Republic of China: Non-Market Economy Questionnaire (March 28, 2011).
8 See Letter to Yiwu from Catherine Bertrand, Program Manager, Office 9, Import Administration; regarding the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Steel Garment Wire Hangers from the People’s Republic of China: Non-Market Economy Questionnaire (May 2, 2011).