a. Low estimate—9 hours * 40,000 jurisdictions * $100 per hour = $32,000,000
b. High Estimate—16 hours * 40,000 jurisdictions * $100 per hour = $64,000,000

Adding the potential training costs of $32 million to $64 million to the costs for the three steps indicates a potential total costs ranging from $32.12 million to $71.5 million. The high end of this estimate is less than the $100 million threshold in the Unfunded Mandates Act. Accordingly, no further action is required under the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995.

F. Review Under the Treasury and General Government Appropriations Act of 1999

Section 546 of the Treasury and General Government Appropriations Act of 1999 (Pub. L. 105–277) requires Federal agencies to issue a Family Policymaking Assessment for any rule that may affect family well-being. Today’s action would not have any impact on the autonomy or integrity of the family as an institution. Accordingly, DOE has concluded that it is not necessary to prepare a Family Policymaking Assessment.


Section 515 of the Treasury and General Government Appropriations Act, 2001 (44 U.S.C. 3516, note) provides for agencies to review most disseminations of information to the public under guidelines established by each agency pursuant to general guidelines issued by OMB. OMB’s guidelines were published at 67 FR 8452 (Feb. 22, 2002), and DOE’s guidelines were published at 67 FR 62446 (Oct. 7, 2002). DOE has reviewed today’s action under the OMB and DOE guidelines and has concluded that it is consistent with applicable policies in those guidelines.

H. Review Under Executive Order 13211

Executive Order 13211, “Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use,” 66 FR 28355 (May 22, 2001), requires Federal agencies to prepare and submit to the OMB a Statement of Energy Effects for any proposed significant energy action. A “significant energy action” is defined as any action by an agency that promulgated or is expected to lead to promulgation of a final rule, and that: (1) Is a significant regulatory action under Executive Order 12866, or any successor order; and (2) Is likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy; or (3) Is designated by the Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (OIRA) as a significant energy action. For any proposed significant energy action, the agency must give a detailed statement of any adverse effects on energy supply, distribution, or use, should the proposal be implemented, and of reasonable alternatives to the action and their expected benefits on energy supply, distribution, and use.

Today’s action would not have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy and is therefore not a significant energy action. Accordingly, DOE has not prepared a Statement of Energy Effects.

I. Review Under Executive Order 13175

Executive Order 13175, “Consultation and Coordination with Indian tribal Governments” (65 FR 67249 (Nov. 9, 2000)), requires DOE to develop an accountable process to ensure meaningful and timely input by tribal officials in the development of regulatory policies that have tribal implications. “Policies that have tribal implications” refers to regulations that have “substantial direct effects on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.” Today’s regulatory action is not a policy that has “tribal implications” under Executive Order 13175. DOE has reviewed today’s action under Executive Order 13175 and has determined that it is consistent with applicable policies of that Executive Order.

V. Public Participation

The public is invited to submit comments on the preliminary determinations. Comments must be provided by August 19, 2011 using any of the methods described in the ADDRESSES section of this notice. If you submit information that you believe to be exempt by law from public disclosure, you should submit one complete copy, as well as one copy from which the information claimed to be exempt by law from public disclosure has been deleted. DOE is responsible for the final determination with regard to disclosure or nondisclosure of the information and for treating it accordingly under the DOE Freedom of Information regulations at 10 CFR 1004.11.
Description: Entery Services, Inc. Response to May 13, 2011 Deficiency Letter.

Filed Date: 07/12/2011.
Accession Number: 20110712–5164.
Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Tuesday, August 02, 2011.
Applicants: Alta Wind V, LLC.
Description: Alta Wind V, LLC, Notice of Non-Material Change in Status.
Filed Date: 07/13/2011.
Accession Number: 20110713–5091.
Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Wednesday, August 03, 2011.
Applicants: El Segundo Energy Center LLC.
Description: El Segundo Energy Center LLC submits tariff filing per 35.17(b): Supplement to Application for Market-Based Rate Authority to be effective 7/14/2011.
Filed Date: 07/12/2011.
Accession Number: 20110712–5153.
Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Tuesday, August 02, 2011.
Applicants: People’s Power & Gas, LLC.
Description: People’s Power & Gas, LLC submits tariff filing per 35: Compliance Filing to be effective 7/13/2011.
Filed Date: 07/13/2011.
Accession Number: 20110713–5003.
Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Wednesday, August 03, 2011.
Docket Numbers: ER11–4037–000.
Applicants: Interstate Gas Supply, Inc.
Description: Interstate Gas Supply, Inc. submits tariff filing per 35.12: Market Based Rate to be effective 7/13/2011.
Filed Date: 07/12/2011.
Accession Number: 20110712–5126.
Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Tuesday, August 02, 2011.
Docket Numbers: ER11–4038–000.
Applicants: Southern California Edison Company.
Description: Southern California Edison Company submits tariff filing per 35.13(a)(2)(ii): SAGA WDT SERV AG SCE–GBU 2782 W. Edison Porterville, CA Roof Top Solar Project to be effective 7/14/2011.
Filed Date: 07/13/2011.
Accession Number: 20110713–5000.
Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Wednesday, August 03, 2011.
Docket Numbers: ER11–4039–000.
Applicants: PJM Interconnection, LLC.
Filed Date: 07/13/2011.
Accession Number: 20110713–5057.
Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Wednesday, August 03, 2011.
Docket Numbers: ER11–4040–000.
Applicants: PJM Interconnection, LLC.
Description: PJM Interconnection, LLC submits tariff filing per 35.13(a)(2)(ii): Revisions to the PJM OATT, OA & RAA to correct technical and ministerial errors to be effective 7/14/2011.
Filed Date: 07/13/2011.
Accession Number: 20110713–5067.
Comment Date: 5 p.m. Eastern Time on Wednesday, August 03, 2011.
Any person desiring to intervene or to protest in any of the above proceedings must file in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214) on or before 5 p.m. Eastern time on the specified comment date. It is not necessary to separately intervene again in a subdocket related to a compliance filing if you have previously intervened in the same docket. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Anyone filing a motion to intervene or protest must serve a copy of that document on the Applicant. In reference to filings initiating a new proceeding, interventions or protests submitted on or before the comment deadline need not be served on persons other than the Applicant.
As it relates to any qualifying facility filings, the notices of self-certification [or self-recertification] listed above, do not institute a proceeding regarding qualifying facility status. A notice of self-certification [or self-recertification] simply provides notification that the entity making the filing has determined the facility named in the notice meets the applicable criteria to be a qualifying facility. Intervention and/or protest do not lie in dockets that are qualifying facility self-certifications or self-recertifications. Any person seeking to challenge such qualifying facility status may do so by filing a motion pursuant to 18 CFR 292.207(d)(iii). Intervention and protests may be filed in response to notices of qualifying facility dockets other than self-certifications and self-recertifications.
The Commission encourages electronic submission of protests and interventions in lieu of paper, using the FERC Online links at http://www.ferc.gov. To facilitate electronic service, persons with Internet access who will eFile a document and/or be listed as a contact for an intervenor must create and validate an eRegistration account using the eRegistration link. Select the eFiling link to log on and submit the intervention or protests.
Persons unable to file electronically should submit an original and 14 copies of the intervention or protest to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First St., NE., Washington, DC 20426.
The filings in the above proceedings are accessible in the Commission’s eLibrary system by clicking on the appropriate link in the above list. They are also available for review in the Commission’s Public Reference Room in Washington, DC. There is an eSubscription link on the Web site that enables subscribers to receive e-mail notification when a document is added to a subscribed docket(s). For assistance with any FERC Online service, please e-mail FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov or call (866) 208–3676 (toll free). For TTY, call (202) 502–8659.
Dated: July 13, 2011.
Nathaniel J. Davis, Sr., Deputy Secretary.
[FR Doc. 2011–18217 Filed 7–19–11; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

National Nuclear Security Administration

Record of Decision for the Continued Operation of the Y–12 National Security Complex

AGENCY: Department of Energy, National Nuclear Security Administration.

ACTION: Record of decision.

SUMMARY: The National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) of the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) is issuing this Record of Decision (ROD) for the Y–12 National Security Complex (Y–12) in Oak Ridge, Tennessee based on information and analyses contained in the Final Site-wide Environmental Impact Statement for the Y–12 National Security Complex, DOE/EIS–0387 (Y–12 Final SWEIS, Y–12 SWEIS or 2011 Y–12 SWEIS) issued on March 4, 2011; comments on the Draft and Final Y–12 SWEIS; and other factors, including costs, security considerations and the missions of NNSA. The 2011 Y–12 SWEIS analyzes the potential environmental impacts for ongoing and reasonably foreseeable future operations.