review in order to determine whether Aperam is the successor-in-interest to AMSB. See 19 CFR 351.216(d).

Therefore, in accordance with the above-referenced statute and regulation, the Department is initiating a changed circumstances review.

We intend to issue the preliminary results of the changed circumstances review within 90 days from the issuance of the instant initiation notice. We intend to issue the final results of the changed circumstances review within 270 days from the date of initiation of this changed circumstances review, or within 45 days if all parties to the proceeding agree to the outcome of the review. See 19 CFR 351.216(e). During the course of this review, we will not change the cash deposit requirements for the subject merchandise. The cash deposit rate will be altered, if warranted, pursuant only to the final results of the changed circumstances review.

This notice of initiation is in accordance with section 751(b)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(1).

Dated: July 22, 2011.

Christian Marsh,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FK Doc. 2011-19035 Filed 7-28-11; 8:45 am]
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Fresh and Chilled Atlantic Salmon From Norway: Preliminary Results of Full Third Sunset Review of Antidumping Duty Order

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On January 3, 2011, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated a sunset review of the antidumping duty (AD) order on fresh and chilled Atlantic salmon from Norway pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. See Notice of Sunset Initiative. On January 13, 2011, the Government of Norway (GON), Norwegian Seafood Federation (NSF), and Aquaculture Division of the Norwegian Seafood Association (ADNSA) (collectively, the respondents), filed letters of appearance in the review.1 On January 18, 2011, Phoenix Salmon U.S., Inc. (Phoenix Salmon), a domestic producer of fresh and chilled Atlantic salmon, filed a notice of intent to participate in the review.2 On January 21, 2011, NSF and ADNSA supplemented their letter of appearance with a list of their members. On February 2, 2011, the Department received a substantive response from Phoenix Salmon and a joint substantive response from the respondents within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). The Department received rebuttal comments from Phoenix Salmon and the GON on February 14, 2011. On February 25, 2011, the GON submitted a surrebuttal to Phoenix Salmon’s rebuttal responding to the company’s claims that NSF and ADNSA are not interested parties.

On March 3, 2011, Department officials met with Phoenix Salmon, who reiterated statements made in its submissions regarding the interested party status of NSF and ADNSA. See Memorandum to the File, through John Johnson, Trade Analyst, AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, regarding “Meeting with Counsel for the Domestic Interested Party” (March 3, 2011). On March 4, 2011, the Department issued a letter to NSF and ADNSA requesting that each association identify their members that are producers or exporters of the subject merchandise. On March 11, 2011, NSF and ADNSA submitted annotated membership lists, which identify the members of each association that are producers or exporters of subject merchandise. On March 16, 2011, Phoenix Salmon submitted comments on the membership lists submitted by NSF and ADNSA.

On April 6, 2011, the Department issued its adequacy determination memorandum. The Department found that the domestic and respondent parties submitted adequate substantive responses and that NSF and ADNSA have standing as interested parties in this review. The Department, therefore, determined to conduct a full sunset review of this AD order. See Memorandum to Gary Taverner, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, from Melissa Skinner, Director, Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, Office 3, regarding “Adequacy Determination: Third Sunset Reviews of the Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders on Fresh and Chilled Atlantic Salmon From Norway” (April 6, 2011). On April 12, 2011, the Department extended the deadline for the preliminary and final results of this sunset review. See Fresh and Chilled Atlantic Salmon From Norway: Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary and Final Results of Full Third Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Sunset Reviews, 76 FR 20312 (April 12, 2011) (Salmon Extension Notice). The Department did not receive comments on the adequacy determination memorandum from any party to this review.

Scope of the Order

The product covered by the order is the species Atlantic salmon (Salmo Salar) marketed as specified herein; the order excludes all other species of salmon: Danube salmon, Chinook (also called “king” or “quinnat”), Coho (“silver”), Sockeye (“redfish” or “blueback”), Humpback (“pink”) and Chum (“dog”). Atlantic salmon is a whole or nearly-whole fish, typically (but not necessarily) marketed gutted, bled, and cleaned, with the head on.

1 These public documents and all other public documents and public versions of proprietary documents with regard to this third full sunset review are available on the public record located in the Department's Central Records Unit at room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building.

2 Phoenix Salmon claimed to be the successor to the two domestic producers who participated in the prior sunset review—Atlantic Salmon of Maine and Heritage Salmon Company, Inc.
The subject merchandise is typically packed in fresh-water ice (“chilled”). Excluded from the subject merchandise are fillets, steaks and other cuts of Atlantic salmon. Also excluded are frozen, canned, smoked or otherwise processed Atlantic salmon. Atlantic salmon is currently provided for under Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheadings 0302.12.0003 and 0302.12.0004.

The HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive as to the scope of the product coverage.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in this review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of Full Third Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Fresh and Chilled Atlantic Salmon from Norway (Decision Memorandum) from Gary Tavernan, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Ronald K. Lorentzen, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated concurrently with this preliminary notice, which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the accompanying Decision Memorandum include the likelihood of the continuation of dumping, the magnitude of the margin likely to prevail, and good cause to examine other factors. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this full sunset review and the corresponding recommendations in this full sunset review, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(i). Any interested party may request a hearing within 30 days of publication of this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.310(c). Rebuttal briefs, which must be limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed not later than the five days after the time limit for filing case briefs in accordance with 19 CFR 351.309(d).

A hearing, if requested, will be held two days after the date the rebuttal briefs are due. The Department will issue a notice of final results of this full sunset review, which will include the results of its analysis of issues raised in any such comments, no later than November 29, 2011. See Salmon Extension Notice.

We are issuing and publishing the results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752, and 777(j)(1) of the Act.

Dated: July 22, 2011.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Interested parties may submit case briefs no later than 50 days after the date of publication of the preliminary results of this full sunset review, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(i). Any interested party may request a hearing within 30 days of publication of this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.310(c). Rebuttal briefs, which must be limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed not later than the five days after the time limit for filing case briefs in accordance with 19 CFR 351.309(d).

A hearing, if requested, will be held two days after the date the rebuttal briefs are due. The Department will issue a notice of final results of this full sunset review, which will include the results of its analysis of issues raised in any such comments, no later than November 29, 2011. See Salmon Extension Notice.

We are issuing and publishing the results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752, and 777(j)(1) of the Act.

Dated: July 22, 2011.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Tammy Adams or Joselyd Garcia-Reyes, (301) 713–2280.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
The subject permit is requested under the authority of the Marine Mammal Protection Act of 1972, as amended (MMPA; 16 U.S.C. 1361 et seq.), and the regulations governing the taking and importing of marine mammals (50 CFR part 216).

The applicant requests a five-year permit to study harbor seals (Phoca vitulina) throughout their range in Alaska, including Southeast Alaska, Gulf of Alaska and Bering Sea. The overall objective is to provide a greater understanding of the proximate and ultimate factors that regulate their abundance, which is required to develop effective management and conservation strategies. Research activities and the maximum number of animals taken per year (n) per activity include: aerial surveys for population census and radio tracking (n = 180,000); incidental disturbance during capture activities (n = 7,000); ground surveys for photo-identification, counts and behavioral observations (n = 10,000); vessel approaches of animals equipped with telemetry equipment (n = 50); vessel surveys for radio tracking and incidental disturbance associated with approaching animals equipped with telemetry equipment (n = 7,000); and capture by entanglement in a net in the water or by hoop net or dip net on land.