DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Federal Emergency Management Agency

44 CFR Part 65


Changes in Flood Elevation Determinations

AGENCY: Federal Emergency Management Agency, DHS.

ACTION: Interim rule.

SUMMARY: This interim rule lists communities where modification of the Base (1% annual-chance) Flood Elevations (BFEs) is appropriate because of new scientific or technical data. New flood insurance premium rates will be calculated from the modified BFEs for new buildings and their contents.

DATES: These modified BFEs are currently in effect on the dates listed in the table below and revise the Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs) in effect prior to this determination for the listed communities.

From the date of the second publication of these changes in a newspaper of local circulation, any person has ninety (90) days in which to request through the community that the Deputy Federal Insurance and Mitigation Administrator reconsider the changes. The modified BFEs may be changed during the 90-day period.

ADDRESS: The modified BFEs for each community are available for inspection at the office of the Chief Executive Officer of each community. The respective addresses are listed in the table below.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Luis Rodriguez, Chief, Engineering Management Branch, Federal Insurance and Mitigation Administration, Federal Emergency Management Agency, 500 C Street, SW., Washington, DC 20472, (202) 646–4064, or (e-mail) luis.rodriguez1@dhs.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The modified BFEs are not listed for each community in this interim rule. However, the address of the Chief Executive Officer of the community where the modified BFE determinations are available for inspection is provided. Any request for reconsideration must be based on knowledge of changed conditions or new scientific or technical data.

The modifications are made pursuant to section 201 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973, 42 U.S.C. 4105, and are in accordance with the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968, 42 U.S.C. 4001 et seq., and with 44 CFR part 65.

For rating purposes, the currently effective community number is shown and must be used for all new policies and renewals.

The modified BFEs are the basis for the floodplain management measures that the community is required either to adopt or to show evidence of being already in effect in order to qualify or to remain qualified for participation in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP).

These modified BFEs, together with the floodplain management criteria required by 44 CFR 60.3, are the minimum that are required. They should not be construed to mean that the community must change any existing ordinances that are more stringent in their floodplain management requirements. The community may at any time enact stricter requirements of its own or pursuant to policies established by other Federal, State, or regional entities. The changes in BFEs are in accordance with 44 CFR 65.4.

National Environmental Policy Act. This interim rule is categorically excluded from the requirements of 44 CFR part 10, Environmental Consideration. An environmental impact assessment has not been prepared.

Regulatory Flexibility Act. As flood elevation determinations are not within the scope of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601–612, a regulatory flexibility analysis is not required.

Regulatory Classification. This interim rule is not a significant regulatory action under the criteria of section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866 of September 30, 1993, Regulatory Planning and Review, 58 FR 51735.

Executive Order 13132, Federalism. This interim rule involves no policies that have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132, Federalism.

Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform. This interim rule meets the applicable standards of Executive Order 12988.

List of Subjects in 44 CFR Part 65

Flood insurance, Floodplains, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Accordingly, 44 CFR part 65 is amended to read as follows:

PART 65—[AMENDED]

■ 1. The authority citation for part 65 continues to read as follows:


§ 65.4 [Amended]

■ 2. The tables published under the authority of §65.4 are amended as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State and county</th>
<th>Location and case No.</th>
<th>Date and name of newspaper where notice was published</th>
<th>Chief executive officer of community</th>
<th>Effective date of modification</th>
<th>Community No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alabama:</td>
<td>Baldwin ..............</td>
<td>City of Orange Beach, (11–04–0533P).</td>
<td>May 6, 2011; May 13, 2011; The Islander.</td>
<td>The Honorable Tony Kennon, Mayor, City of Orange Beach, P.O. Box 458, Orange Beach, AL 36561.</td>
<td>April 29, 2011 ............. 015011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shelby ..............</td>
<td>City of Montevallo, (10–04–6506P).</td>
<td>May 25, 2011; June 1, 2011; The Shelby County Reporter.</td>
<td>The Honorable Ben McCrory, Mayor, City of Montevallo, 545 South Main Street, Montevallo, AL 35115.</td>
<td>September 29, 2011 ...... 010349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State and county</td>
<td>Location and case No.</td>
<td>Date and name of newspaper where notice was published</td>
<td>Chief executive officer of community</td>
<td>Effective date of modification</td>
<td>Community No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maricopa .........</td>
<td>Unincorporated areas of Maricopa County, (11–09–0216P).</td>
<td>May 12, 2011; May 19, 2011; The Arizona Business Gazette.</td>
<td>The Honorable Andrew Kunasek, Chairman, Maricopa County Board of Supervisors, 301 West Jefferson Street, 10th Floor, Phoenix, AZ 85003.</td>
<td>September 16, 2011 ......</td>
<td>040037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maricopa .........</td>
<td>Unincorporated areas of Maricopa County, (11–09–0647P).</td>
<td>June 2, 2011; June 9, 2011; The Arizona Business Gazette.</td>
<td>The Honorable Andrew Kunasek, Chairman, Maricopa County Board of Supervisors, 301 West Jefferson Street, 10th Floor, Phoenix, AZ 85003.</td>
<td>October 7, 2011 ......</td>
<td>040037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pima ...............</td>
<td>Unincorporated areas of Pima County, (11–09–0685P).</td>
<td>May 31, 2011; June 7, 2011; The Daily Territorial.</td>
<td>The Honorable Ramón Valadez, Chairman, Pima County Board of Supervisors, 130 West Congress Street, 11th Floor, Tucson, AZ 85701.</td>
<td>October 5, 2011 ......</td>
<td>040073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California:</td>
<td>City of San Diego, (11–09–0120P).</td>
<td>May 6, 2011; May 13, 2011; The San Diego Union-Tribune.</td>
<td>The Honorable Jerry Sanders, Mayor, City of San Diego, 202 C Street, 11th Floor, San Diego, CA 92101.</td>
<td>September 12, 2011 ......</td>
<td>060295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unincorporated areas of Shasta County, (10–09–3227P).</td>
<td>June 1, 2011; June 8, 2011; The Red Bluff Daily News.</td>
<td>The Honorable Les Baugh, Chairman, Shasta County Board of Supervisors, 1450 Court Street, Suite 308B, Redding, CA 96001.</td>
<td>October 6, 2011 ......</td>
<td>060358</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unincorporated areas of Tehama County, (10–09–3227P).</td>
<td>June 1, 2011; June 8, 2011; The Anderson Valley Post.</td>
<td>The Honorable Greg Avilla, Chairman, Tehama County Board of Supervisors, P.O. Box 250, Red Bluff, CA 96060.</td>
<td>October 6, 2011 ......</td>
<td>060504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>City of Simi Valley, (11–09–2030P).</td>
<td>May 6, 2011; May 13, 2011; The Ventura County Star.</td>
<td>The Honorable Bob Huber, Mayor, City of Simi Valley, 29229 Tapo Canyon Road, Simi Valley, CA 93063.</td>
<td>September 12, 2011 ......</td>
<td>060421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unincorporated areas of Boulder County, (10–08–0754P).</td>
<td>May 3, 2011; May 10, 2011; The Camera.</td>
<td>The Honorable Ben Pearlman, Chairman, Boulder County Board of Commissioners, 1325 Pearl Street, 3rd Floor, Boulder, CO 80302.</td>
<td>September 7, 2011 ......</td>
<td>080023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unincorporated areas of Rio Blanco County, (11–08–0007P).</td>
<td>April 28, 2011; May 5, 2011; The Rio Blanco Herald Times.</td>
<td>The Honorable Kai Turner, Chairman, Rio Blanco County Board of Commissioners, P.O. Box I, Meeker, CO 81641.</td>
<td>September 2, 2011 ......</td>
<td>080288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unincorporated areas of Rio Blanco County, (11–08–0049P).</td>
<td>May 5, 2011; May 12, 2011; The Rio Blanco Herald Times.</td>
<td>The Honorable Kai Turner, Chairman, Rio Blanco County Board of Commissioners, P.O. Box I, Meeker, CO 81641.</td>
<td>September 9, 2011 ......</td>
<td>080288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>City of Sweetwater, (11–04–3782P).</td>
<td>June 1, 2011; June 8, 2011; The Miami Daily Business Review.</td>
<td>The Honorable Manuel M. Maroño, Mayor, City of Sweetwater, 500 Southwest 109th Avenue, Sweetwater, FL 33174.</td>
<td>May 25, 2011 ......</td>
<td>120660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monroe ............</td>
<td>Unincorporated areas of Monroe County, (11–04–3523P).</td>
<td>May 31, 2011; June 7, 2011; The Key West Citizen.</td>
<td>The Honorable Heather Carruthers, Mayor, Monroe County, 530 Whitehead Street, Key West, FL 33040.</td>
<td>May 25, 2011 ......</td>
<td>125129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasco .............</td>
<td>Unincorporated areas of Pasco County, (10–04–3088P).</td>
<td>May 6, 2011; May 13, 2011; The Tampa Tribune.</td>
<td>The Honorable Ann Hildebrand, Chair, Pasco County Board of Commissioners, 7530 Little Road, New Port Richey, FL 34654.</td>
<td>April 27, 2011 ......</td>
<td>120285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Lucie ..........</td>
<td>Unincorporated areas of St. Lucie County, (11–04–1456P).</td>
<td>May 6, 2011; May 13, 2011; The St. Lucie News-Tribune.</td>
<td>The Honorable Chris Craft, Chairman, St. Lucie County Board of Commissioners, 2300 Virginia Avenue, Ft. Pierce, FL 34982.</td>
<td>April 27, 2011 ......</td>
<td>120285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York: Oneida</td>
<td>City of Sherrill, (10–02–0242P).</td>
<td>June 11, 2010; June 17, 2010; The Oneida Daily Dispatch.</td>
<td>Mr. Robert A. Comis, Sherrill City Manager, 377 Sherrill Road, Sherrill, NY 13461.</td>
<td>December 3, 2010 ......</td>
<td>360544</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS 

COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 25

[IB Docket No. 06–123; FCC 11–93]

Service Rules and Policies for the Broadcasting Satellite Service (BSS)

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: In this document, the Federal Communications Commission adopts rules to mitigate space path interference between the 17/24 GHz Broadcasting-Satellite Service (BSS) space-to-Earth transmissions and the feeder link receiving antennas of Direct Broadcast Satellite Service (DBS) space stations that operate in the same frequency band. We adopt an off-axis power flux density (pfd) coordination trigger for 17/24 GHz BSS space stations, and also require a minimum orbital separation of 0.2 degrees between 17/24 GHz BSS space stations and DBS space stations. We place bounds on orbital eccentricity and inclination of 17/24 GHz BSS space stations and condition the protection of DBS networks to certain assumed limits on eccentricity and inclination. By these actions, we facilitate the introduction of the 17/24 GHz BSS and anticipate that it will provide new and innovative services, including video, audio, data, and video-on-demand, to consumers in the United States and promote increased competition among satellite and terrestrial services.

We revised our informational requirements to require 17/24 GHz BSS station-to-Earth applications to file pfd calculations and measured transmitting antenna off-axis gain information. We also require both 17/24 GHz BSS applicants and DBS applicants to file maximum orbital eccentricity information with their application. Finally, we adopt procedures to enable pending applicants and existing authorization holders to file relevant information related to these rules.

DATES: Effective September 14, 2011, except §§ 25.114(d)(15)(iv), 25.114(d)(18), 25.264(a), (b), (c), (d) and (f), of the Commission’s rules. These requirements contained herein are subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) and have not been approved by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). The Commission will publish a document in the Federal Register announcing the effective date of these requirements.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lynne Montgomery, Satellite Division, International Bureau, at 202–418–2229 or via e-mail at Lynne.Montgomery@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Second Order on Reconsideration in IB Docket No. 06–123, FCC 11–93, adopted June 8, 2011 and released June 14, 2011. The full text of the Second Order on Reconsideration is available for public inspection and copying during regular business hours at the FCC Reference Information Center, Portals II, 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY–A257, Washington, DC 20554. This document may also be purchased from the Commission’s duplicating contractor, Best Copy and Printing, Inc., Portals II, 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY–B402, Washington, DC 20554, telephone 202–488–5300, facsimile 202–488–5563, or via e-mail FCC@BCPIWEB.com. When ordering documents from BCPI please provide the appropriate FCC document number (for example, FCC 07–174, Order on Reconsideration). The full text may also be downloaded at: http://www.fcc.gov. Alternative formats are available to persons with disabilities by sending an e-mail to fcc504@fcc.gov or call the Consider & Governmental Affairs Bureau at 202–418–6530 (voice), or 202–418–0432 (tty).

Synopsis

1. We adopt an off-axis power flux density (pfd) coordination trigger for 17/24 GHz BSS space stations, require a minimum orbital separation of 0.2° between 17/24 GHz BSS space stations and DBS space stations, and place bounds on orbital inclination and eccentricity of 17/24 GHz BSS space stations. We also revise our informational requirements to require 17/24 GHz BSS space station applicants to file predicted and measured transmitting antenna off-axis gain information. Finally, we adopt procedures to enable pending applicants and existing authorization holders to...