For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

James R. Hall,
Senior Project Manager, Plant Licensing
Branch IV, Division of Operating Reactor Licensing, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 2011–20793 Filed 8–15–11; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NRC–2010–0355; Order EA–11–180; Docket Nos. 70–7003, 70–7004; License Nos. SNM–7003, SNM–2011]

In the Matter of USEC Inc., American Centrifuge Lead Cascade Facility, and American Centrifuge Plant; Order Extending the Date by Which the Direct Transfer of Licenses Is To Be Completed

I

USEC Inc. (USEC) is the holder of materials licenses SNM–7003 and SNM–2011 for the American Centrifuge Lead Cascade Facility (Lead Cascade) and American Centrifuge Plant (ACP), respectively, which authorize the licensee to: (1) Possess and use source and special nuclear material at the Lead Cascade at the Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant site in Piketon, Ohio, in accordance with materials license number SNM–7003; and (2) construct and operate a gas centrifuge uranium enrichment facility (the ACP) at the Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant site in Piketon, Ohio, in accordance with materials license number SNM–2011.

II

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission’s (NRC) Order, dated February 10, 2011, approved the direct transfer of the licenses of the above facilities from USEC to the limited liability company American Centrifuge Operating, LLC (ACO), pursuant to Sections 161(b), 161(i), 161(o) and 184 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended; 42 U.S.C. 2201(b), 2201(i), and 2234; and 10 CFR 30.34(b), 40.46, “Inalienability of Licenses,” and 70.36, “Inalienability of Licenses.” The NRC Order concluded that the basis for approval has not changed since the issuance of the February 10 Order. The NRC staff has determined that good cause has been shown to extend, until February 9, 2012, the date by which the license transfers must be completed.

III

By letter dated July 22, 2011, as supplemented by electronic communication dated August 1, 2011, USEC submitted a request to extend the date by which the license transfers must be completed from August 9, 2011, to February 9, 2012. USEC stated that it has been working diligently with the Department of Energy over the past several months to conclude the review process for USEC’s loan guarantee application, but would not be able to complete this process by August 9, 2011.

USEC states that there have been no changes in the information and technical and financial qualifications presented in its September 10, 2010, request to transfer the licenses. USEC states that the basis for granting that request has, thus, not changed and remains valid. The NRC staff notes that its basis for approving the transfers of USEC’s licenses for the Lead Cascade and the ACP from USEC to ACO is documented in its Safety Evaluation Report (SER) supporting the February 10 Order. The NRC staff concluded that the basis for approval has not changed since the issuance of the February 10 Order. The NRC staff has considered the submittal of July 22, 2011, as supplemented by electronic communication dated August 1, 2011, and has determined that good cause has been shown to extend, until February 9, 2012, the date by which the license transfers must be completed.

IV

Accordingly, pursuant to Sections 161(b), 161(i), 161(o), and 184 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 2201(b), 2201(i), and 2234; and 10 CFR 30.34(b), 40.46, “Inalienability of Licenses,” and 70.36, “Inalienability of Licenses,” the Order extends the date by which the license transfers described above must be completed is extended to February 9, 2012. If the proposed direct transfer of licenses is not completed by February 9, 2012, this Order and the February 10 Order shall become null and void. However, upon written application and for good cause shown, the February 9, 2012, date may be extended by further Order.

This Order is effective upon issuance.

For the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Catherine Haney,
Director, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.
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NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NRC–2008–0441; Docket Nos. 52–025–COL and 52–026–COL]

Southern Nuclear Operating Co., et al.; Combined Licenses for Vogtle Electric Generating Plant, Units 3 and 4, and Limited Work Authorizations

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of hearing.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or the Commission) will convene an evidentiary session to receive testimony and exhibits in the uncontested portion of this proceeding regarding the application of Southern Nuclear Operating Company for two combined licenses (COLs) seeking approval to construct and operate new nuclear power generation facilities at the Vogtle Electric Generating Plant, Units 3 & 4 (VEGP), as well as for two limited work authorizations (LWAs) to engage in selected construction activities. This mandatory hearing will concern safety and environmental matters relating to the proposed issuance of the requested COLs and LWAs.

DATES: The hearing will be held on September 27, 2011, from 9 a.m. (Eastern Daylight Time). For a schedule for submitting prefiled documents and deadlines affecting Interested Government Participants, see the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section of this document.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rochelle C. Bavol, Office of the Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
Commision, Washington, DC 20555–0001, telephone: 301–415–1051; e-mail: Rochelle.Bavo@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Commission hereby gives notice that, pursuant to Section 199a of the Atomic Energy Act, it will convene an evidentiary session to receive testimony and exhibits in the uncontested portion of this proceeding regarding the March 28, 2008, application of Southern Nuclear Operating Company, acting for itself and Georgia Power Company, Oglethorpe Power Corporation, Municipal Electric Authority of Georgia, and the City of Dalton, Georgia, for two Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) part 52 combined licenses (COLs), seeking approval to construct and operate new nuclear power generation facilities at the existing Vogtle Electric Generating Plant (VEGP) site near Waynesboro, Georgia. This mandatory hearing will also encompass the applicant’s October 2, 2009, request for two limited work authorizations (LWAs) to engage in selected construction activities as defined in 10 CFR 50.10. This mandatory hearing will concern safety and environmental matters relating to the proposed issuance of the requested COLs and LWAs, as more fully described below. Participants in the hearing are not to address any contested issues in their written filings or oral presentations.

Matters To Be Considered

The matter at issue in this proceeding is whether the review of the application by the Commission’s staff has been adequate to support the findings found in 10 CFR 52.97 and 10 CFR 51.107(a), for each of the COLs to be issued, and in 10 CFR 50.10 and 10 CFR 51.107(d), with respect to the LWAs. Those findings are as follows:

Issues Pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as Amended

With respect to each COL: (1) Whether the applicable standards and requirements of the Act and the Commission’s regulations have been met; (2) whether any required notifications to other agencies or bodies have been duly made; (3) whether there is reasonable assurance that the facility will be constructed and will operate in conformity with the license, the provisions of the Act, and the Commission’s regulations; (4) whether the applicant is technically and financially qualified to engage in the activities authorized; and (5) whether issuance of the license will not be

inimical to the common defense and security or the health and safety of the public.

With respect to the LWAs: (1) Whether the applicable standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and the Commission’s regulations applicable to the activities to be conducted under the LWAs, have been met; (2) whether the applicant is technically qualified to engage in the activities authorized; (3) whether issuance of the LWAs will provide reasonable assurance of adequate protection to public health and safety and will not be inimical to the common defense and security; and (4) whether there are no unresolved safety issues relating to the activities to be conducted under the LWAs that would constitute good cause for withholding the authorization.

Issues Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969, as Amended

With respect to each COL: (1) Determine whether the requirements of Sections 102(2)(A), (C), and (E) of NEPA and the applicable regulations in 10 CFR part 51 have been met; (2) independently consider the final balance among conflicting factors contained in the record of the proceeding with a view to determining the appropriate action to be taken; (3) determine, after weighing the environmental, economic, technical, and other benefits against environmental and other costs, and considering reasonable alternatives, whether the combined license should be issued, denied, or appropriately conditioned to protect environmental values; and (4) determine whether the NEPA review conducted by the NRC staff has been adequate.

With respect to the LWAs: (1) Determine whether the requirements of Section 102(2)(A), (C), and (E) of NEPA and the regulations in Subpart A of 10 CFR part 51 have been met, with respect to the activities to be conducted under the LWAs: (2) independently consider the balance among conflicting factors with respect to the LWAs, which is contained in the record of the proceeding, with a review to determining the appropriate action to be taken; (3) determine whether the redress plan will adequately redress the activities performed under the LWAs, should limited work activities be terminated by the holder or the LWAs be revoked by the NRC, or upon effective termination of the Commission’s final decision denying the COL application; and (4) determine whether the NEPA review conducted by the NRC staff for the LWAs has been adequate.

Evidentiary Uncontested Hearing

The Commission will conduct this hearing beginning at 9 a.m., Eastern Daylight Time (EDT) on September 27, 2011, at the Commission’s headquarters in Rockville, Maryland. The hearing on these issues will continue on subsequent days, if necessary.

Presiding Officer

The Commission is the presiding officer for this proceeding.

Schedule for Submittal of Pre-Filed Documents

No later than September 12, 2011, unless the Commission directs otherwise, the staff and the applicant shall submit a list of its anticipated witnesses for the hearing.

No later than September 12, 2011, unless the Commission directs otherwise, the applicant shall submit its pre-filed written testimony. The staff previously submitted its testimony on August 9, 2011.

The Commission may issue written questions to the applicant or the staff before the hearing. If such questions are issued, an order containing such questions will be issued no later than August 30, 2011. Responses to such questions are due September 12, 2011, unless the Commission directs otherwise.

Interested Government Participants

No later than August 26, 2011, any interested State, local government body, or affected, Federally-recognized Indian Tribe may file with the Commission a statement of any issues or questions that the State, local government body, or Indian Tribe wishes the Commission to give particular attention to as part of the uncontested hearing process. Such statement may be accompanied by any supporting documentation that the State, local government body, or Indian Tribe sees fit to provide. Any statements and supporting documentation (if any) received by the Commission using the agency’s E-filing system 1 by the

---

1The process for accessing and using the agency’s E-filing system is described in the September 16, 2008, notice of hearing that was issued by the Commission for this proceeding. See Notice of Hearing and Opportunity To Petition for Leave To Intervene and Order Imposing Procedures for Access to Sensitive Unclassified Non-Safeguards Information and Safeguards Information for Contention Preparation on a Combined License for the Vogtle Electric Generating Plant Units 3 and 4 [73 FR 53446]. Participants who are unable to use the EIE, or who will have difficulty complying with EIE requirements in the time frame provided for submission of written statements, may provide their statements by electronic mail to hearingdocket@nrc.gov.
The Commission questions is solely at the Commission’s discretion. The Commission’s request will specify the issue or issues that the representative should be prepared to address.

States, local governments, or Indian Tribes should be aware that this evidentiary hearing is separate and distinct from the NRC’s contested hearing process. Issues within the scope of contentions that have been admitted in a contested proceeding for a COL application are outside the scope of the uncontested proceeding for that COL application. In addition, while States, local governments, or Indian Tribes participating as described above may take any position they wish, or no position at all, with respect to issues regarding the COL application or the NRC Staff’s associated environmental review that do fall within the scope of the uncontested proceeding (i.e., issues that are not within the scope of admitted contentions), they should be aware that many of the procedures and rights applicable to the NRC’s contested hearing process do not apply to this uncontested hearing. Participation in the NRC’s contested hearing process is governed by 10 CFR 2.309 (for persons or entities, including States, local governments, or Indian Tribes, seeking to file contentions of their own) and 10 CFR 2.315(c) (for interested States, local governments, and Indian Tribes seeking to participate with respect to contentions filed by others).

Participation in this uncontested hearing does not affect a State’s, local government’s, or Indian Tribe’s right to participate with respect to the NRC’s contested hearing process due to the inherently adversarial nature of such proceedings are not available with respect to this uncontested hearing. Participation in the NRC’s contested hearing process is governed by 10 CFR 2.309 (for persons or entities, including States, local governments, or Indian Tribes, seeking to file contentions of their own) and 10 CFR 2.315(c) (for interested States, local governments, and Indian Tribes seeking to participate with respect to contentions filed by others). Participation in this uncontested hearing does not affect a State’s, local government’s, or Indian Tribe’s right to participate in the separate contested hearing process.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 10th day of August 2011.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Andrew L. Bates,
Acting Secretary of the Commission.
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[NUC–2011–0006]

Sunshine Act Meetings

AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETINGS: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.


PLACE: Commissioners’ Conference Room, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland.

STATUS: Public and Closed.

Week of August 15, 2011

There are no meetings scheduled for the week of August 15, 2011.

Week of August 22, 2011—Tentative

There are no meetings scheduled for the week of August 22, 2011.

Week of August 29, 2011—Tentative

Tuesday, August 30, 2011

8:55 a.m. Affirmation Session (Public Meeting) (Tentative)

Final Rule: Enhancements to Emergency Preparedness Regulations (10 CFR part 50 and 10 CFR part 52) (RIN–3150–A110) (Tentative)

This meeting will be webcast live at the Web address—http://www.nrc.gov.

9 a.m. Information Briefing on Inspections, Tests, Analyses, and Acceptance Criteria (ITAAC) Related Activities (Public Meeting) (Contact: Aida Rivera-Varona, 301–251–4001)

This meeting will be webcast live at the Web address—http://www.nrc.gov.

Week of September 5, 2011—Tentative

There are no meetings scheduled for the week of September 5, 2011.

Week of September 12, 2011—Tentative

There are no meetings scheduled for the week of September 12, 2011.

Week of September 19, 2011—Tentative

There are no meetings scheduled for the week of September 19, 2011.

* * * * * * * * * * * *

* The schedule for Commission meetings is subject to change on short notice. To verify the status of meetings, call (recording)—(301) 415–1292.

Contact person for more information: Rochelle Bavol, (301) 415–1651.

* * * * * * * * * *


* * * * * * * * * *

The NRC provides reasonable accommodation to individuals with disabilities where appropriate. If you need a reasonable accommodation to participate in these public meetings, or need this meeting notice or the transcript or other information from the public meetings in another format (e.g. braille, large print), please notify Bill Dosch, Chief, Work Life and Benefits Branch, at 301–415–6200, TDD: 301–415–2108, or by e-mail at william.dosch@nrc.gov. Determinations on requests for reasonable accommodation will be made on a case-by-case basis.

* * * * * * * * * *

This notice is distributed electronically to subscribers. If you no longer wish to receive it, or would like to be added to the distribution, please contact the Office of the Secretary, Washington, DC 20555 (301–415–1969), or send an e-mail to darlene.wright@nrc.gov.

Dated: August 11, 2011.

Rochelle C. Bavol,
Policy Coordinator, Office of the Secretary.
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OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

[OMB Control number 3206–0248]

Submission for Review: Application for Senior Administrative Law Judge (OPM Form 1655), and Geographic Preference Statement for Senior Administrative Law Judge Applicant (OPM Form 1655–A)


ACTION: 30-Day Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Human Resources Solutions, U.S. Office of Personnel Management (OPM) offers the general public and other Federal agencies the opportunity to comment on an existing information collection request (ICR) 3206–0248, OPM 1655, and OPM 1655–A. These forms are used by retired Administrative Law Judges seeking