

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

*Purpose of the Board:* The purpose of the Board is to make recommendations to DOE-EM and site management in the areas of environmental restoration, waste management, and related activities.

*Tentative Agenda:* The main meeting presentation will be an overview of URS/CH2M Oak Ridge LLC (UCOR), the new prime cleanup contractor for the DOE-Oak Ridge Office, and their project plans.

*Public Participation:* The EM SSAB, Oak Ridge, welcomes the attendance of the public at its advisory committee meetings and will make every effort to accommodate persons with physical disabilities or special needs. If you require special accommodations due to a disability, please contact Patricia J. Halsey at least seven days in advance of the meeting at the phone number listed above. Written statements may be filed with the Board either before or after the meeting. Individuals who wish to make oral statements pertaining to the agenda item should contact Patricia J. Halsey at the address or telephone number listed above. Requests must be received five days prior to the meeting and reasonable provision will be made to include the presentation in the agenda. The Deputy Designated Federal Officer is empowered to conduct the meeting in a fashion that will facilitate the orderly conduct of business. Individuals wishing to make public comments will be provided a maximum of five minutes to present their comments.

*Minutes:* Minutes will be available by writing or calling Patricia J. Halsey at the address and phone number listed above. Minutes will also be available at the following Web site: <http://www.oakridge.doe.gov/em/ssab/minutes.htm>.

Issued at Washington, DC, on August 17, 2011.

**LaTanya R. Butler,**

*Acting Deputy Committee Management Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2011-21637 Filed 8-23-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6450-01-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

[OE Docket No. PP-230-4]

**Notice of Supplemental Filing; International Transmission Company, d/b/a ITC Transmission.**

**AGENCY:** Office of Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability, DOE.

**ACTION:** Notice of Supplemental Filing.

**SUMMARY:** International Transmission Company, d/b/a ITC *Transmission* (ITC),

filed supplemental documents in an ongoing Presidential permit proceeding regarding the ITC application to amend Presidential Permit No. PP-230-3.

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted and received by DOE on or before September 23, 2011.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments should be addressed to: Christopher Lawrence, Office of Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability, *Mail Code:* OE-20, U.S. Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20585-0350. Because of delays in handling conventional mail, it is recommended that documents be transmitted by overnight mail, by electronic mail to [Christopher.Lawrence@hq.doe.gov](mailto:Christopher.Lawrence@hq.doe.gov), or by facsimile to 202-586-8008.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Christopher Lawrence (Program Office) at 202-586-5260, or by e-mail to [Christopher.Lawrence@hq.doe.gov](mailto:Christopher.Lawrence@hq.doe.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On January 5, 2009, ITC applied to the DOE to amend Presidential Permit No. PP-230-3 by authorizing ITC to replace a failed 675-MVA transformer with two 700-MVA phase-shifting transformers connected in series at ITC's Bunce Creek Station in Marysville, Michigan.

DOE issued a notice of ITC's application in the **Federal Register** on February 10, 2009 (74 FR 6607), requesting that any comments, protests, or motions to intervention be filed by March 12, 2009. Numerous responsive documents were filed, including late requests to intervene. The filings raised various issues, including the need to review the operational protocols for the facilities with the installation of the new transformers, also known as phase angle regulators (PARs).

On August 9, 2011, DOE received Supplemental Reply Comments from ITC, which completed the ITC response to earlier comments filed in the proceeding by the Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator (MISO), Inc. and the Independent Electricity System Operator of Ontario. According to ITC, the supplemental filing provided the operational agreements required to complete ITC's application in the amendment proceeding, including a letter of agreement between ITC and MISO assigning functional control of the subject facilities at the Bunce Creek Station to MISO.

ITC requested that DOE accept this filing as sufficient to allow DOE to approve its application to amend the ITC Presidential permit on an expedited basis without further notice so that the transformers can be placed into service and benefits from controlling the Lake

Erie loop flow can be begin. ITC has also indicated that placing the PARs into service now will also allow the parties to better assess the various impacts of PARs operations and thus, better determine if the current operational procedures would need to be modified.

However, DOE is interested in receiving comments from prior participants in this proceeding and other interested persons on this most recent filing by ITC before deciding on how to proceed on ITC's amendment application. In particular, DOE is interested in obtaining the views of other affected utilities and system operators on the sufficiency of the operating principles provided by ITC.

*Procedural Matters:* Any person desiring to be heard in response to this notice should file written comments with DOE. Fifteen copies of such comments should be sent to the address provided above on or before the date listed above.

Additional copies of such petitions to intervene or protests also should be filed directly with: Stephen J. Videto, ITC *Transmission*, 27175 Energy Way, Novi, MI 48377 and AND John R. Staffier, Stuntz, Davis & Staffier, P.C., 555 Twelfth Street, NW., Suite 630, Washington, DC.

Copies of the supplemental filing will be made available, upon request, for public inspection and copying at the address provided above or it may be reviewed or downloaded electronically at <http://energy.gov/node/292291>. All of the documents filed in the OE Docket No. PP-230-4 proceeding may be viewed by going to the pending permits page at <http://energy.gov/node/11845> and scrolling to the Docket No. PP-230-4 section.

Issued in Washington, DC, on August 18, 2011.

**Brian Mills,**

*Director, Permitting and Siting, Office of Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability.*

[FR Doc. 2011-21635 Filed 8-23-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6450-01-P**

---

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

[EPA-HQ-OPP-2008-0850; FRL-8886-6]

**Chlorpyrifos Registration Review; Preliminary Human Health Risk Assessment; Extension of Comment Period**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice; Extension of comment period.

---

**SUMMARY:** EPA issued a notice in the **Federal Register** of Wednesday, July 6, 2011, concerning the availability of the chlorpyrifos registration review; preliminary human health risk assessment. This document extends the comment period for 30 days, from Tuesday, September 6, 2011 to Thursday, October 6, 2011.

**DATES:** Comments, identified by docket identification (ID) number EPA-HQ-OPP-2008-0850, must be received on or before Thursday, October 6, 2011.

**ADDRESSES:** Follow the detailed instructions as provided under **ADDRESSES** in the **Federal Register** document of Wednesday, July 6, 2011.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Tom Myers, Pesticide Re-evaluation Division, Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460-0001; *telephone number:* (703) 308-8589; *e-mail address:* [myers.tom@epa.gov](mailto:myers.tom@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This document extends the public comment period for the chlorpyrifos reregistration review, preliminary human health risk assessment, established in the **Federal Register** of Wednesday, July 6, 2011 (76 FR 39399) (FRL-8878-9). The document announced the availability of the human health assessment, along with all supporting documents, and commenced a 60-day public comment period that would end on September 6, 2011.

This preliminary assessment incorporates new information that was not available at the time of the last assessment in 2000. Since 2000, several Scientific Advisory Panels have been held specifically on chlorpyrifos and some of the Agency's science policies and methods have changed. Based on the extant data and assumptions made in the preliminary assessment, risks have been preliminarily identified for drinking water, handlers, and residential bystanders. A Reader's Guide accompanied the preliminary human health assessment that detailed some of these exposure assumptions and remaining evaluations needed on the hazard assessment.

As of early August, eight comments have been submitted to the EPA docket requesting a 60-day extension of the comment period based on the complex scientific issues and precedent setting policy applications. The submitters are Dow AgroSciences, Gharda Chemicals Limited, Agricultural Retailers Association, California Citrus Mutual, California Grape and Tree Fruit League, Oregonians for Food and Shelter, CropLife America and the Washington Friends of Farms and Forests.

In recognition of the complex, robust scientific database and mechanistic studies available for chlorpyrifos, the Agency will extend the public comment period by 30 days to end on October 6, 2011. Subsequent to this public comment period, the Agency will be revising the human health assessment based on a full weight of the evidence evaluation of all available data, and consideration of all comments received during the comment period as well as any additional information received on the health and exposure analyses. EPA is hereby extending the comment period, which was set to end on Tuesday, September 6, 2011, to Thursday, October 6, 2011.

To submit comments, or access the docket, please follow the detailed instructions as provided under **ADDRESSES** in the Wednesday, July 6, 2011 **Federal Register** document. If you have questions, consult the person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

#### List of Subjects

Environmental protection, chlorpyrifos, pesticides, and pests.

Dated: August 18, 2011.

**Peter Caulkins,**

*Acting Director, Pesticide Re-evaluation Division, Office of Pesticides Programs.*

[FR Doc. 2011-21677 Filed 8-23-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

#### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-9455-9]

#### Clean Air Act Operating Permit Program; Petition for Objection to State Operating Permit for Williams Four Corners, LLC, Sims Mesa CDP Compressor Station

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of final action.

**SUMMARY:** This document announces that the EPA Administrator has responded to a citizen petition asking EPA to object to an operating permit (Permit Number P026R2) issued by the New Mexico Environment Department, Air Quality Bureau (NMED). Specifically, the Administrator has granted the April 14, 2010 petition, submitted by WildEarth Guardians and San Juan Citizens Alliance (Petitioners) to object to the March 19, 2010, operating permit issued to Williams Four Corners, LLC, for the Sims Mesa Central Delivery Point (CDP) compressor station in northwestern Rio

Arriba County, New Mexico. Pursuant to sections 307(b) and 505(b)(2) of the Clean Air Act (CAA), a petition for judicial review of those parts of the Order that deny issues in the petition may be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit within 60 days from the date this notice appears in the **Federal Register**.

**ADDRESSES:** You may review copies of the final Order, the petition, and other supporting information at EPA Region 6, 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, Texas 75202-2733. EPA requests that if at all possible, you contact the individual listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section to view copies of the final Order, petition, and other supporting information. You may view the hard copies Monday through Friday, from 9 a.m. to 3 p.m., excluding Federal holidays. If you wish to examine these documents, you should make an appointment at least 24 hours before the visiting day. Additionally, the final Order for the Williams Four Corners, LLC, Sims Mesa CDP Compressor Station is available electronically at: [http://www.epa.gov/region07/air/title5/petitiondb/petitions/simsmesa\\_response2010.pdf](http://www.epa.gov/region07/air/title5/petitiondb/petitions/simsmesa_response2010.pdf)

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Jeffrey Robinson at (214) 665-7250, *e-mail address:* [robinson.jeffrey@epa.gov](mailto:robinson.jeffrey@epa.gov) or the above EPA, Region 6 address.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The CAA affords EPA a 45-day period to review, and object to as appropriate, a Title V operating permit proposed by State permitting authorities. Section 505(b)(2) of the Act authorizes any person to petition the EPA Administrator, within 60 days after the expiration of this review period, to object to a Title V operating permit if EPA has not done so. Petitions must be based only on objections to the permit that were raised with reasonable specificity during the public comment period provided by the State, unless the petitioner demonstrates that it was impracticable to raise these issues during the comment period or the grounds for the issue arose after this period.

EPA received a petition from the Petitioners dated April 14, 2010, requesting that EPA object to the issuance of the Title V operating permit to Williams Four Corners, LLC., for the operation of the Sims Mesa CDP Compressor Station in northwestern Rio Arriba County, New Mexico for the following reasons: (1) The Title V permit fails to ensure compliance with the Prevention of Significant Deterioration and Title V requirements; (2) the Title V permit fails to ensure prompt reporting of deviations; (3) the