DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
[Docket No. ER11–4580–000]

California Independent System Operator Corporation; Supplemental Notice of Agenda and Discussion Topics for Staff Technical Conference

This notice establishes the agenda and topics for discussion at the technical conference to be held on February 2, 2012 to discuss issues related to the California Independent System Operator Corporation’s (CAISO) proposal to eliminate convergence bidding at intertie scheduling points.1 The technical conference will be held from 9 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. (Eastern Time) in Hearing Room 1 at the Commission’s headquarters, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC. The technical conference will be led by Commission staff.

The topics and related questions to be discussed during this conference are attached. The purpose of the technical conference is to provide Commission staff and interested parties an opportunity to discuss CAISO’s proposal to eliminate convergence bidding at intertie scheduling points in detail. No formal presentations will be made other than an opening presentation by CAISO; however, parties will be encouraged to participate in the discussion along with Commission staff. All interested parties may file written comments following the technical conference.

The technical conference will be open to the public to attend, and advance registration is not required. The conference will be accessible via telephone on a listen-only basis. For information regarding telephone access to the conference and to specify whether you will be dialing into the conference, please email colleen.farrell@ferc.gov or (202) 502–6272 or Colleen Farrell at colleen.farrell@ferc.gov or (202) 502–6751.

Dated: December 16, 2011.
Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary.

Agenda for the Technical Conference Discussing CAISO’s Proposal To Eliminate Convergence Bidding at Intertie Scheduling Points February 2, 2012

Opening Remarks
9 a.m.–9:15 a.m.—Greeting and Opening Remarks.
9:15 a.m.–10 a.m.—Opening Presentation by CAISO.

Discussion
Discussion on the following issues will be led by Commission staff, with questions on each topic to be raised by staff and interested parties in attendance. Commission staff and CAISO will be seated at tables located at the front of the hearing room. Staff does not anticipate any formal presentations during these discussions; however, parties should plan to participate in topics of specific interest to them. The objective of the technical conference is to obtain new information on and discuss these topics, including information on alternative proposals. Please note that although specified time periods have been allotted to discussion topics, we will continue to move forward to discuss topics as soon as discussion on the prior topic has concluded. There will be a lunch break.

Discussion on the following issues will be led by Commission staff, with questions on each topic to be raised by staff and interested parties in attendance. Commission staff and CAISO will be seated at tables located at the front of the hearing room. Staff does not anticipate any formal presentations during these discussions; however, parties should plan to participate in topics of specific interest to them. The objective of the technical conference is to obtain new information on and discuss these topics, including information on alternative proposals. Please note that although specified time periods have been allotted to discussion topics, we will continue to move forward to discuss topics as soon as discussion on the prior topic has concluded. There will be a lunch break.

Discussion of the Performance of Convergence Bidding at Intertie Scheduling Points and Internal Nodes

—What have the total aggregate monthly values of the real-time imbalance energy offset been since April 2009? CAISO claims that, out of approximately $102 million total real-time imbalance energy offset costs, the offsetting convergence supply bids at intertie scheduling points and convergence demand bids at the internal nodes have contributed a total of $53 million since February 2011.2 Meanwhile, SESCO Enterprises LLC, West Oaks Energy, LLC, and XO Energy CAL, LP (collectively, Financial Marketers) argue that when the offsetting bids are removed convergence bidding contributes only $34.9 million of the $53 million to the total real-time imbalance energy offset, and they argue that this value is declining.3 What has been the monthly contribution to the total real-time imbalance energy offset since February 2011? What has been the monthly contribution to the total real-time imbalance energy offset of convergence bidding when offsetting bids submitted within the same scheduling coordinator are isolated since February 2011?

—What has been the impact of the elimination of convergence bidding at intertie scheduling points, pursuant to the November 25 Order, in terms of the real-time imbalance energy offset and convergence/divergence of prices?

—CAISO argues that convergence bidding at the interties has led to divergence between day-ahead and real-time prices.4 Western Power Trading Forum (WPTF) argues that there has been convergence between day-ahead and real-time prices (hour ahead scheduling process prices and real-time dispatch prices).5 Please explain in greater detail the effects of convergence bidding at the internal nodes and interties. For example, under the current market design:

 specialization of CAISO only considers physical transactions when determining unit commitment, but considers both physical and virtual transactions to establish prices.

1 Financial Marketers Protest at 7.
2 CAISO Filing at 3.
3 WPTF at 14.
4 The dual intertie constraint refers to the fact that, on the interties, CAISO only considers physical transactions when determining unit commitment, but considers both physical and virtual transactions to establish prices.

3 WPTF at 14.
4 CAISO Filing at 14.

3 Financial Marketers Protest at 7.
2 CAISO Filing at 3.
3 WPTF at 14.

physical import or export schedules? If so, how has the elimination of explicit convergence bidding at intertie locations impacted the occurrence of implicit convergence bidding?

—Have there been any reliability impacts, price spikes, or price divergence from eliminating explicit convergence bidding at intertie scheduling points?

—Have there been benefits observed from permitting convergence bidding at intertie scheduling points? What evidence has there been of the benefits?

—How has convergence bidding been used to hedge congestion on intertie scheduling points?

—Has the hour-ahead scheduling process price been consistently below the day ahead price since April 2009? Has there been a predictable pattern of price difference in certain hours? How has that pattern been affected, if at all, since convergence bidding was allowed?

—What are the contributing factors to the real-time dispatch price being higher than hour-ahead scheduling process price (i.e., forecasting errors, operator biasing, ramping flexibility procurement, hourly interchange scheduling)? How do these factors impact the ability of convergence bidding to result in price convergence

Discussion of the Dual Real-Time Market Structure (Scheduling and Pricing Interties in the Hour-Ahead Scheduling Process, and Scheduling and Pricing Internal Nodes in Real-Time Dispatch)

—Has the hour-ahead scheduling process price been consistently below the day ahead price since April 2009? Has there been a predictable pattern of price difference in certain hours? How has that pattern been affected, if at all, since convergence bidding was allowed?

—What are the contributing factors to the real-time dispatch price being higher than hour-ahead scheduling process price (i.e., forecasting errors, operator biasing, ramping flexibility procurement, hourly interchange scheduling)? How do these factors impact the ability of convergence bidding to result in price convergence

Discussion of alternative proposals: Please evaluate the alternatives proposed by protestors and discussed by CAISO in its filing as described below, as well as any others, to eliminating convergence bidding indefinitely at intertie scheduling points. Please be prepared to discuss whether these alternatives could be implemented and how the alternatives will address the costs identified by CAISO that are attributed to convergence bidding at intertie scheduling points.

—Prohibit offsetting internal and external virtual bids.

—Implement a settlement rule that would neutralize the price arbitrage of the hour-ahead scheduling process and real-time dispatch.

—Modify the timing of convergence bidding liquidation and settlement. For instance, CAISO states that it considered keeping day-ahead awarded virtual supply and demand positions in the hour ahead scheduling process.

—Modify the existing allocation of the real-time imbalance energy offset to measured demand, to more accurately reflect cost causation.

—The approach utilized in the New York Independent System Operator to settle the interties.9

—Pay as bid or pay the greater of the bid or the real-time dispatch price.

4:15 p.m.–4:30 p.m.—Closing Remarks.

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
[ Docket No. CP12–19–000; Docket No. CP12–20–000]
Dominion Transmission Inc.; Notice of Onsite Environmental Review

On December 28, 2011, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission’s (FERC or Commission) Office of Energy Projects staff will be in Tioga and Potter Counties, Pennsylvania and Steuben County, New York to gather data related to the environmental analysis of the Tioga Area Expansion and Sabinsville to Morrisville Projects proposed by Dominion Transmission Inc. (DTI) in the above-referenced dockets. Staff will examine the proposed TL–610 pipeline route and various above-ground facilities where modifications or additions are proposed. Viewing of this area is anticipated to be from public access points and DTI’s existing right-of-way. The review is open to the public. All interested parties in attendance must provide their own transportation.

Those attending should meet:

Wednesday, December 28, 2011, 9 a.m., at DTI’s Sabinsville Office—5094 Route 349, Westfield, PA 16950, Local DTI Contact—Debra Annimilla—telephone (814) 628–6068.

The review will be cancelled if there is a significant weather event. In case of a snowfall that may result in cancellation, please check the event calendar posted on the Commission’s Internet Web page. Information about this onsite environmental review will be posted on the Commission’s calendar at:


For additional information, contact the FERC’s Office of External Affairs at (866) 208–FERC. Please use the FERC’s free eSubscription service to keep track of all formal issuances and submittals in these dockets. This can reduce the average of the real-time price at the relevant proxy bus. Imports receive a bid production cost guarantee if the real-time price is lower than their offer price. CAISO Filing at 18.