from 12:01 a.m. on January 23, 2012 until 12:01 a.m. on April 1, 2012. It will be activated for enforcement as described in paragraph (d) of this section.

(d) Enforcement Periods. The Captain of the Port Columbia River will cause notice of the enforcement of the grain-shipment vessel safety zone to be made by all appropriate means to effect the widest publicity among the affected segments of the public as practicable, in accordance with 33 CFR 165.7. This notification of enforcement will identify the grain-shipment vessel by name and IMO number. Such means of notification may include, but are not limited to, Broadcast Notices to Mariners or Local Notices to Mariners. The Captain of the Port Columbia River will issue a Broadcast Notice to Mariners and Local Notice to Mariners notifying the public when enforcement of the grain-shipment vessel safety zone is suspended. Upon notice of enforcement by the Captain of the Port Columbia River, the Coast Guard will enforce the grain-shipment vessel safety zone in accordance with rules set out in this section. Upon notice of suspension of enforcement by the Captain of the Port Columbia River, all persons and vessels are authorized to enter, transit, and exit the grain-shipment vessel safety zone, consistent with the Navigation Rules.

(e) Regulation. (1) In accordance with the general regulations in § 165.23 of this part, entry into or movement within these zones is prohibited unless authorized by the Captain of the Port Columbia River, the official patrol, or other designated representatives of the Captain of the Port.

(2) To request authorization to enter or operate within a grain-shipment vessel safety zone contact the on-scene official patrol on VHF–FM channel 16 or 13. Authorization will be granted based on the necessity of access and consistent with safe navigation.

(3) Vessels authorized to enter or operate within a grain-shipment vessel safety zone shall operate at the minimum speed necessary to maintain a safe course and shall proceed as directed by the on-scene official patrol. The Navigation Rules shall apply at all times within a grain-shipment vessel safety zone.

(4) Maneuver-restricted vessels. When conditions permit, the on-scene official patrol should:

(i) Permit vessels constrained by their navigational draft or restricted in their ability to maneuver to enter or operate within a grain-shipment vessel safety zone in order to ensure a safe passage in accordance with the Navigation Rules; and

(ii) Permit commercial vessels anchored in a designated anchorage area to remain at anchor within a grain-shipment vessel safety zone; and

(iii) Permit vessels that must transit via a navigable channel or waterway to enter or operate within a grain-shipment vessel safety zone in order to do so.

(f) Exemption. Public vessels as defined in paragraph (a) of this section are exempt from complying with paragraph (e) of this section.

(g) Enforcement. Any Coast Guard commissioned, warrant or petty officer may enforce the rules in this section. In the navigable waters of the United States to which this section applies, when immediate action is required and representatives of the Coast Guard are not present or are not present in sufficient force to provide effective enforcement of this section, any Federal Law Enforcement Officer, Oregon Law Enforcement Officer, or Washington Law Enforcement Officer may enforce the rules contained in this section pursuant to 46 U.S.C. 70118. In addition, the Captain of the Port may be assisted by other federal, state or local agencies in enforcing this section.

(h) Waiver. The Captain of the Port Columbia River may waive any of the requirements of this section for any vessel or class of vessels upon finding that operational conditions or other circumstances are such that application of this section is unnecessary or impractical for the purpose of port safety or environmental safety.

Dated: January 6, 2012.

B. C. Jones,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port, Columbia River.
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DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 165

[Docket No. USCG–2011–1164]

RIN 1625–AA87

Security Zone: Choptank River and Cambridge Channel, Cambridge, MD

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Temporary final rule.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard is establishing a temporary security zone encompassing certain waters of the Choptank River and Cambridge Channel in order to safeguard high-ranking public officials from terrorist acts and incidents. This action is necessary to ensure the safety of persons and property, and prevent terrorist acts or incidents. This rule prohibits vessels and people from entering the security zone and requires vessels and persons in the security zone to depart the security zone, unless specifically exempted. This rule is in effect from 8 a.m. on January 25, 2012, until 11:59 p.m. on January 27, 2012.

ADDRESSES: Documents indicated in this preamble as being available in the docket are part of docket USCG–2011–1164 and are available online by going to http://www.regulations.gov, inserting USCG–2011–1164 in the “Keyword” box, and then clicking “Search.” They are also available for inspection or copying at the Docket Management Facility (M–30), U.S. Department of Transportation, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC 20590, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions on this temporary rule, call or email Mr. Ronald L. Houck, at Sector Baltimore Waterways Management Division, Coast Guard; telephone (410) 576–2674, email Ronald.L.Houck@uscg.mil. If you have questions on viewing the docket, call Renee V. Wright, Program Manager, Docket Operations, telephone (202) 366–9826.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Regulatory Information

The Coast Guard is issuing this temporary final rule without prior notice and opportunity to comment pursuant to authority under section 4(a) of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA) (5 U.S.C. 553(b)). This provision authorizes an agency to issue a rule without prior notice and opportunity to comment when the agency for good cause finds that those procedures are “impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary to the public interest.” Under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(b), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for not publishing a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) with respect to this rule because it is contrary to public interest to delay the effective date of this rule. The Coast Guard is establishing the security zone to protect high-ranking government officials, mitigate potential terrorist acts, and enhance public and maritime safety.
and security. The Coast Guard was unable to publish a NPRM due to the short time period between event planners notifying the Coast Guard of the event and publication of the security zone. Furthermore, delaying the effective date would be contrary to the security zone’s intended objectives of protecting high-ranking government officials, mitigating potential terrorist acts and enhancing public and maritime safety security.

Under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for making this rule effective less than 30 days after publication in the Federal Register. Due to the need for immediate action, the restriction of vessel traffic is necessary to protect life, property and the environment, therefore, a 30-day notice period is impracticable. Delaying the effective date would be contrary to the security zone’s intended objectives of protecting high-ranking government officials, mitigating potential terrorist acts and enhancing public and maritime safety and security.

**Background and Purpose**

The U.S. House of Representatives Democratic Issues Conference will be held at the Hyatt Regency Chesapeake Bay Golf Resort, Spa and Marina in Cambridge, Maryland during January 25–27, 2012. Activities associated with this event include the movement of high-ranking United States officials across the Senator Frederick C. Malkus Memorial (US–50) Bridge at Cambridge, Maryland. The event is located along the waterfront in Cambridge, MD, in close proximity to navigable waters within the Captain of the Port’s Area of Responsibility.

The Coast Guard has given each Coast Guard Captain of the Port the ability to implement comprehensive port security regimes designed to safeguard human life, vessels, and waterfront facilities while still sustaining the flow of commerce. The Captain of the Port Baltimore is establishing this security zone to protect high-ranking government officials, mitigate potential terrorist acts, and enhance public and maritime safety and security in order to safeguard life, property, and the environment on or near the navigable waters.

**Discussion of Rule**

Through this regulation, the Coast Guard will establish a security zone. The security zone will be in effect from 8 a.m. on January 25, 2012, through 11:59 p.m. on January 27, 2012. The security zone will include all navigable waters of the Choptank River, within 2,000 yards of the Hyatt Regency Chesapeake Bay Golf Resort, Spa and Marina’s Breakwater Pavilion, in approximate position latitude 38°33′54″ N, longitude 076°02′47″ W, located in Cambridge, Maryland. In addition, the security zone will include all navigable waters of the Choptank River and Cambridge Channel, within an area bounded on the west by a line drawn between position latitude 38°35′52″ N, longitude 076°03′11″ W and position latitude 38°34′25″ N, longitude 076°04′14″ W and bounded on the east by a line drawn between position latitude 38°35′06″ N, longitude 076°02′27″ W and position latitude 38°34′02″ N, longitude 076°03′10″ W. These locations are entirely within the Area of Responsibility of the Captain of the Port Baltimore, as set forth at 33 CFR 3.25–15.

This rule provides that entry into, attempted entry into, or remaining in this security zone is prohibited unless authorized by the Coast Guard Captain of the Port Baltimore. Except for persons or vessels authorized by the Captain of the Port Baltimore, no person or vessel may enter or remain in the regulated area during the effective period. Vessels already at berth, mooring, or anchor at the time the security zone is implemented, however, do not have to depart the security zone. All vessels under way within the security zone at the time it becomes effective are to depart the zone immediately. To seek permission to transit the area, the Captain of the Port Baltimore can be contacted at telephone number (410) 576–2693 or on Marine Band Radio, VHF–FM channel 16 (156.8 MHz). Coast Guard vessels enforcing the security zone can be contacted on Marine Band Radio, VHF–FM channel 16 (156.8 MHz). The Coast Guard will issue Broadcast Notices to Mariners to further publicize the security zone.

**Regulatory Analyses**

We developed this rule after considering numerous statutes and executive orders related to rulemaking. Below we summarize our analyses based on 13 of these statutes or executive orders.

**Regulatory Planning and Review**

This rule is not a significant regulatory action under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that Order. The Office of Management and Budget has not reviewed it under that Order. Although this security zone restricts vessel traffic through the affected area, vessels may seek permission from the Captain of the Port Baltimore to enter and transit the zone. Furthermore, the effect of this regulation will not be significant due to the limited size and duration that the regulated area will be in effect. In addition, notifications will be made to the maritime community via marine information broadcasts so mariners may adjust their plans accordingly.

**Small Entities**

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601–612), we have considered whether this rule would have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The term “small entities” comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000. The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. This rule may affect the following entities, some of which might be small entities: the owners or operators of vessels intending to operate or transit through or within the security zone during the enforcement period. The security zone will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities for the following reasons. The security zone is of limited size and duration. Although the security zone will apply to the entire widths of the Choptank River and Cambridge Channel, traffic may be allowed to pass through the zone with the permission of the Captain of the Port Baltimore. Before the effective period, maritime advisories will be widely available to the maritime community.

**Assistance for Small Entities**

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Public Law 104–121), we offer to assist small entities in understanding the rule so that they can better evaluate its effects on them and participate in the rulemaking process. Small businesses may send comments on the actions of Federal employees who enforce, or otherwise determine compliance with, Federal regulations to the Small Business and Agriculture Regulatory Enforcement Ombudsman and the Regional Small Business Regulatory Fairness Boards. The Ombudsman evaluates these actions annually and rates each agency’s responsiveness to small entities. If you wish to comment on actions by employees of the Coast Guard, call
The Coast Guard will not retaliate against small entities that question or complain about this rule or any policy or action of the Coast Guard.

Collection of Information
This rule calls for no new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

Federalism
A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132.
Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on State or local governments and would either preempt State law or impose a substantial direct cost of compliance on them. We have analyzed this rule under that Order and have determined that it does not have implications for federalism.

Unfunded Mandates Reform Act
The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1533) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of $100,000,000 or more in any one year. Though this rule will not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

Taking of Private Property
This rule will not effect a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

Civil Justice Reform
This rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

Protection of Children
We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This rule is not an economically significant rule and does not create an environmental risk to health or risk to safety that may disproportionately affect children.

Indian Tribal Governments
This rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

Energy Effects
We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use. We have determined that it is not a “significant energy action” under that order because it is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866 and is not likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy. The Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs has not designated it as a significant energy action. Therefore, it does not require a Statement of Energy Effects under Executive Order 13211.

Technical Standards
The National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTAA) (15 U.S.C. 272 note) directs agencies to use voluntary consensus standards in their regulatory activities unless the agency provides Congress, through the Office of Management and Budget, with an explanation of why using these standards would be inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impractical. Voluntary consensus standards are technical standards (e.g., specifications of materials, performance, design, or operation; test methods; sampling procedures; and related management systems practices) that are developed or adopted by voluntary consensus standards bodies.
This rule does not use technical standards. Therefore, we did not consider the use of voluntary consensus standards.

Environment
We have analyzed this rule under Department of Homeland Security Management Directive 023–01 and Commandant Instruction M16475.1D, which guide the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and have concluded this action is one of a category of actions which do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. This rule is categorically excluded, under figure 2–1, paragraph (34)(I) of the Instruction. This rule involves establishing a temporary security zone. An environmental analysis checklist and a categorical exclusion determination are available in the docket where indicated under ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165
Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.
For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

2. Add § 165.T05–1164 to read as follows:

§ 165.T05–1164 Security Zone; Choptank River and Cambridge Channel, Cambridge, MD.
(a) Locations. The following areas are a security zone:
(1) All waters of the Choptank River, within 2,000 yards of the Hyatt Regency Chesapeake Bay Golf Resort, Spa and Marina’s Breakwater Pavilion, in approximate position latitude 38°33’54” N, longitude 076°02’47” W, located in Cambridge, Maryland; and
(2) All waters of the Choptank River and Cambridge Channel, within an area bounded on the west by a line drawn between position latitude 38°35’52” N, longitude 076°03’11” W and position latitude 38°34’25” N, longitude 076°04’14” W and bounded on the east by a line drawn between position latitude 38°35’06” N, longitude 076°02’27” W and position latitude 38°34’02” N, longitude 076°03’10” W. All positions refer to North American Datum 1983.
(b) Definitions. As used in this section:
Captain of the Port Baltimore means the Commander, U.S. Coast Guard Sector Baltimore, Maryland.
Designated representative means any Coast Guard commissioned, warrant, or petty officer who has been authorized by the Captain of the Port Baltimore to assist in enforcing the security zone described in paragraph (a) of this section.
(c) Regulations. The general security zone regulations found in 33 CFR 165.33 apply to the security zone created by this temporary section, § 165.T05–1164.
(1) All persons are required to comply with the general regulations governing security zones found in 33 CFR 165.33.

(2) Entry into or remaining in this zone is prohibited unless authorized by the Coast Guard Captain of the Port Baltimore. Vessels already at berth, mooring, or anchor at the time the security zone is implemented, however, do not have to depart the security zone. All vessels underway within this security zone at the time it is implemented are to depart the zone. The Captain of the Port Baltimore may, in his discretion, grant waivers or exemptions to this rule, either on a case-by-case basis or categorically to a particular class of vessel that otherwise is subject to adequate control measures.

(3) Persons desiring to transit the area of the security zone must first obtain authorization from the Captain of the Port Baltimore or his designated representative. To seek permission to transit the area, the Captain of the Port Baltimore and his designated representatives can be contacted at telephone number (410) 576–2693 or on Marine Band Radio, VHF–FM channel 16 (156.8 MHz). The Coast Guard vessels enforcing this section can be contacted on Marine Band Radio, VHF–FM channel 16 (156.8 MHz). Upon being hailed by a U.S. Coast Guard vessel, or other Federal, State, or local agency vessel, by siren, radio, flashing light, or other means, the operator of a vessel shall proceed as directed. If permission is granted, all persons and vessels must comply with the instructions of the Captain of the Port Baltimore or his designated representative and proceed at the minimum speed necessary to maintain a safe course while within the zone.

(4) Enforcement. The U.S. Coast Guard may be assisted in the patrol and enforcement of the zones by Federal, State, and local agencies.

(d) Enforcement period. The security zone will be enforced from 8 a.m. on January 25, 2012, through 11:59 p.m. on January 27, 2012.

Dated: January 10, 2012.

Mark P. O’Malley,
Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port Baltimore.
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DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

34 CFR Part 668

RIN 1840–AD06

[Docket ID ED–2010–OPE–0012]

Program Integrity: Gainful Employment—Debt Measures; Correction

AGENCY: Department of Education.
ACTION: Final regulations; correction.

SUMMARY: On June 13, 2011, the Secretary of Education (Secretary) published a notice of final regulations in the Federal Register for Program Integrity: Gainful Employment—Debt Measures (Gainful Employment—Debt Measures) (76 FR 34386). In the preamble of the final regulations, we used the wrong data to calculate the percent of total variance in institutions’ repayment rates that may be explained by race/ethnicity. Our intent was to use the data that included all minority students per institution. However, we mistakenly used the data for a subset of minority students per institution. We have now recalculated the total variance using the data that includes all minority students. Through this document, we correct, in the preamble of the Gainful Employment—Debt Measures final regulations, the errors resulting from this misapplication. We do not change the regression analysis model itself; we are using the same model with the appropriate data. Through this notice we also correct, in the preamble of the Gainful Employment—Debt Measures final regulations, our description of one component of the regression analysis. The preamble referred to use of an institutional variable measuring acceptance rates. This description was incorrect; in fact we used an institutional variable measuring retention rates. Correcting this language does not change the regression analysis model itself or the variance explained by the model. The text of the final regulations remains unchanged.

DATES: These regulations are effective July 1, 2012.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Kolotlos or David Bergeron for general information only. Telephone: (202) 502–7805. Any other questions or requests for information regarding these final regulations must be submitted to: GElquestions@ed.gov.

If you use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) or a text telephone (TTY), call the Federal Relay Service (FRS), toll free, at 1–(800) 877–8339.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: We make the following corrections to the Gainful Employment—Debt Measures final regulations:

On page 34460, third column, we correct the phrase “(i.e., 72 percent for 4-year public institutions; 57 percent for 2-year nonprofit institutions; and 56 percent for 4-year nonprofit institutions).” to read “(i.e., 71 percent for 4-year public institutions; 64 percent for 2-year nonprofit institutions; and 61 percent for 4-year nonprofit institutions).” On page 34461, first column, first paragraph, we correct the sentence “Similarly, in four of the nine models, the proportion of an institution’s student body that was represented by students identified as racial/ethnic minorities was a statistically significant predictor. However, in no case did it explain more than approximately 13 percent of variance in repayment rates.” to read “Similarly, in eight of the nine models, the proportion of an institution’s student body that was represented by students identified as racial/ethnic minorities was a statistically significant predictor. Across those models, it ranged from explaining 6.5 percent (4-year, for-profit institutions) to 37.8 percent (2-year, nonprofit institutions) of the variance in repayment rates.”

On page 34461, second column, first full paragraph, we correct the phrase “72 percent” to read “71 percent”.

On page 34461, second column, first full paragraph, we correct the sentence “However, when used as a sole predictor, the percentage of Pell Grant recipients was not a statistically significant predictor.” to read “An institution’s percentage of minority students explained 29 percent of the variance in repayment rates when used as a sole predictor.”

On page 34461, second column, second full paragraph, we correct the phrase “56 percent” to read “61 percent”.

On page 34461, third column, carryover paragraph, we correct the phrase “less than 2 percent” to read “31 percent”.

On page 34461, third column, first full paragraph, we correct the phrase “22 percent” to read “27 percent”.

On page 34461, third column, first full paragraph, we correct the sentence “The racial/ethnic composition of an institution’s student body was not a statistically significant predictor when used alone to model repayment rates, and, although the percentage of students receiving Pell Grants was predictive, it explained only 7 percent of the variance in repayment rates.” to read “Both the