Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to OIRA_Submission@omb.eop.gov.


Gwollmar Banks,
Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.
[FR Doc. 2012–2299 Filed 1–23–12; 8:45 am]
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DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Bureau of Industry and Security
Materials Technical Advisory Committee; Notice of Partially Closed Meeting

The Materials Technical Advisory Committee will meet on February 9, 2012, 10 a.m., Herbert C. Hoover Building, Room 3B84, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC. The Committee advises the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Export Administration with respect to technical questions that affect the level of export controls applicable to materials and related technology.

Agenda

Open Session:

1. Opening Remarks and Introductions.
2. Remarks from Bureau of Industry and Security Senior Management.
5. Public Comments and New Business.

Closed Session:

6. Discussion of matters determined to be exempt from the provisions relating to public meetings found in 5 U.S.C. app. 2 §§ 10(a)(1) and 10(a)(3).

7. Open session will be accessible via teleconference to 20 participants on a first come, first serve basis. To join the conference, submit inquiries to Ms. Yvette Springer at Yvette.Springer@bis.doc.gov, no later than February 2, 2012.

A limited number of seats will be available during the public session of the meeting. Reservations are not accepted. To the extent time permits, members of the public may present oral statements to the Committee. Written statements may be submitted at any time before or after the meeting. However, to facilitate distribution of public presentation materials to Committee members, the materials should be forwarded prior to the meeting to Ms. Springer via email.

The Assistant Secretary for Administration, with the concurrence of the delegate of the General Counsel, formally determined on November 16, 2011, pursuant to Section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, as amended (5 U.S.C. app. 2 § 10(d)), that the portion of the meeting dealing with pre-decisional changes to the Commerce Control List and the U.S. export control policies shall be exempt from the provisions relating to public meetings found in 5 U.S.C. app. 2 § 10(a)(1) and 10(a)(3). The remaining portions of the meeting will be open to the public.

For more information, call Yvette Springer at (202) 482–2813.


Yvette Springer,
Committee Liaison Officer.
[FR Doc. 2012–1346 Filed 1–23–12; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510–JT–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: Effective Date: January 24, 2012.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Karine Gziryan or Erin Kearney at (202) 482–4081 or (202) 482–0167, respectively (the People’s Republic of China (the “PRC”)), AD/CVD Operations, Office 4; or Brandon Farlander or Trisha Tran at (202) 482–0182 or (202) 482–4852, respectively (the Socialist Republic of Vietnam (“Vietnam”)), AD/CVD Operations, Office 4, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Petitions
On December 29, 2011, the Department of Commerce (the “Department”) received petitions concerning imports of utility scale wind towers (“wind towers”) from the PRC and Vietnam filed in proper form on behalf of the Wind Tower Trade Coalition (“Petitioner”). The Petitions for the Imposition of Antidumping and Countervailing Duties on Utility Scale Wind Towers from the People’s Republic of China and Antidumping Duties on Utility Scale Wind Towers from Vietnam filed on December 29, 2011 (the “Petitions”). On January 5 and 6, 2012, the Department requested additional information and clarification of certain areas of the Petitions. Petitioner filed responses to these requests on January 11, 2012, (hereinafter, “First Supplement to the PRC Petition,” “First Supplement to the Vietnam Petition,” and “First Supplement to the AD/CVD Petitions,” respectively). The Department requested additional clarifications from Petitioner on January 12, 2012. See Memorandum to the File from Meredith Rutherford, titled “Phone Call to Counsel for the Petitioner,” dated January 12, 2012.

Petitioner provided these additional clarifications on January 12, 2012, (hereinafter, “Second Supplement to the PRC Petition,” “Second Supplement to the Vietnam Petition,” and “Second Supplement to the AD/CVD Petitions,” respectively). Further, the Department requested additional information and clarifications to the scope and the Petitions on January 13, 2012. See Memorandum to the File from Karine Gziryan, titled “Phone Call to Counsel for the Petitioner,” dated January 17, 2012.

Petitioner filed responses to these requests on January 17, 2012, (hereinafter, “Second Supplement to the AD/CVD Petitions,” “Third Supplement to the PRC Petition,” and “Third Supplement to the Vietnam Petition,” respectively). The Department requested additional clarifications concerning the surrogate value for one material input from Petitioner on January 17, 2012. See Memorandum to the File from Karine Gziryan, titled “Phone Call to Counsel for the Petitioner,” dated January 17, 2012. Petitioner provided these additional clarifications on January 18, 2012, (hereinafter, “Fourth Supplement to the PRC Petition”).

In accordance with section 732(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the “Act”), Petitioner alleges that imports of wind towers from the PRC and Vietnam are, or are likely to be, sold in the United States at less than fair value, within the meaning of section 731 of the Act, and that such imports are materially injuring, or threatening material injury to, an industry in the United States. Also, consistent with section 732(b)(1) of the Act, the Petitions are accompanied by information reasonably available to Petitioner supporting its allegations. The Department finds that Petitioner filed the Petitions on behalf of the domestic industry because Petitioner is an interested party as defined in section 771(9)(C) and (E) of the Act and has demonstrated sufficient industry