

Upcoming Sunset Reviews for March 2012

The following Sunset Reviews are scheduled for initiation in March 2012 and will appear in that month's Notice of Initiation of Five-Year Sunset Reviews.

Antidumping Duty Proceedings

Activated Carbon From China (A-570-904) (1st Review).

Department Contact

Jennifer Moats, (202) 482-5047.

Countervailing Duty Proceedings

No Sunset Review of suspended investigations is scheduled for initiation in March 2012.

Suspended Investigations

No Sunset Review of suspended investigations is scheduled for initiation in March 2012.

The Department's procedures for the conduct of Sunset Reviews are set forth in 19 CFR 351.218. Guidance on methodological or analytical issues relevant to the Department's conduct of Sunset Reviews is set forth in the Department's Policy Bulletin 98.3—*Policies Regarding the Conduct of Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders; Policy Bulletin*, 63 FR 18871 (April 16, 1998). The Notice of Initiation of Five-Year ("Sunset") Reviews provides further information regarding what is required of all parties to participate in Sunset Reviews.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.103(c), the Department will maintain and make available a service list for these proceedings. To facilitate the timely preparation of the service list(s), it is requested that those seeking recognition as interested parties to a proceeding contact the Department in writing within 10 days of the publication of the Notice of Initiation.

Please note that if the Department receives a Notice of Intent to Participate from a member of the domestic industry within 15 days of the date of initiation, the review will continue. Thereafter, any interested party wishing to participate in the Sunset Review must provide substantive comments in response to the notice of initiation no later than 30 days after the date of initiation.

This notice is not required by statute but is published as a service to the international trading community.

Dated: January 13, 2012.

Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2012-2219 Filed 2-2-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-533-843]

Certain Lined Paper Products From India: Notice of Extension of Time Limit for the Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stephanie Moore or George McMahon, AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3692 or (202) 482-1167, respectively.

Background

On October 28, 2010, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published a notice of initiation of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain lined paper products from India.¹

On October 7, 2011, the Department published the preliminary results of this review.² The final results of this review are currently due no later than February 6, 2012.³

Extension of Time Limit of the Final Results

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), requires the Department to issue the final results of a review within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within that time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the time limit for the final results to a maximum of 180 days. *See also* 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2).

¹ *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 75 FR 66349 (October 28, 2010).

² *See Certain Lined Paper Products from India: Notice of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 76 FR 62343 (October 7, 2011).

³ As the due date of February 4, 2012, is a weekend, the due date falls on the next business day of February 6, 2012.

We determine that completion of the final results of this review within the original time limit is not practicable. The Department rejected a rebuttal brief from the respondent, Navneet Publications (India) Limited ("Navneet"), due to untimely filed new factual information and received a revised brief on December 23, 2011.⁴ Additional time is required by the Department in order to analyze and evaluate all of the issues raised by the parties based on the final version of the brief recently submitted on the record of this proceeding. Accordingly, the Department is extending the time limit for the final results by 30 days. The final results are now due no later than March 5, 2012.

This extension is in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2). This notice is published pursuant to sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: January 30, 2012.

Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2012-2508 Filed 2-2-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-533-810]

Stainless Steel Bar From India: Extension of Time Limit for the Preliminary Results of the 2010-2011 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: *Effective Date:* February 3, 2012.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joseph Shuler or Yasmin Nair, AD/CVD Operations, Office 1, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-1293 and (202) 482-3813, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On March 31, 2011, the Department of Commerce (Department) published in

⁴ *See* the Department's letter to Navneet, titled "Rejection of Rebuttal Brief with Untimely Filed New Factual Information," dated December 16, 2011; *see also* Memo from George McMahon to the File titled, "Rejection of Submission Due to Untimely Filed New Factual Information," dated December 16, 2011.

the **Federal Register** its initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel bar from India, covering the period February 1, 2010, through January 31, 2011. See *Initiation of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews, Requests for Revocation in Part, and Deferral of Administrative Review*, 76 FR 17825 (March 31, 2011) (*Initiation Notice*). On October 11, 2011, the Department published an extension notice for the preliminary results for this review extending the deadline to January 30, 2012. See *Stainless Steel Bar From India: Extension of Time Limit for the Preliminary Results of the 2010–2011 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 76 FR 62761 (October 11, 2011).

Extension of Time Limit for the Preliminary Results of Review

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department to issue its preliminary results in an administrative review of an antidumping duty order within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of the order for which the administrative review was requested. However, if the Department determines that it is not practicable to complete the review within the aforementioned specified time limits, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2) allow the Department to extend the time limit for the preliminary results to a maximum of 365 days after the last day of the anniversary month. See section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2).

The Department has determined that it requires additional time to complete the preliminary results for this review. The Department needs additional time to issue a supplemental questionnaire regarding the reporting period for sales and to analyze the response. Thus, it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results by January 30, 2012, and the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the preliminary results by an additional 30 days to February 28, 2012. Accordingly, the deadline for completion of the preliminary results is now no later than February 28, 2012.

This notice is published pursuant to sections 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2).

Dated: January 27, 2012.

Gary Taverman,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2012–2480 Filed 2–2–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C–570–980]

Countervailing Duty Investigation of Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled Into Modules, From the People’s Republic of China: Preliminary Determination of Critical Circumstances

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On October 19, 2011, the Department of Commerce (Department) received a countervailing duty (CVD) petition concerning imports of crystalline silicon photovoltaic cells, whether or not assembled into modules (solar cells), from the People’s Republic of China (PRC), filed in proper form by SolarWorld Industries America Inc. (Petitioner).¹ The petition included a timely allegation, pursuant to section 703(e)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.206, that critical circumstances exist with respect to imports of the merchandise under investigation. In accordance with section 703(e)(1) of the Act, because Petitioner submitted its critical circumstances allegation more than 20 days before the scheduled date of the preliminary determination, the Department must promptly issue a preliminary critical circumstances determination.² Based on information provided by Petitioner and the data placed on the record of this investigation by the mandatory respondents, Wuxi Suntech Power Co., Ltd. (Suntech) and Changzhou Trina Solar Energy Co., Ltd. (Trina) (collectively, respondents), the Department preliminarily determines that critical circumstances exist for imports of solar cells from the PRC for Suntech, Trina, and all other producers or exporters.

DATES: *Effective Date:* February 3, 2012.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Gene Calvert, Jun Jack Zhao or Emily Halle, AD/CVD Operations, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of

¹ See Petition for the Imposition of Antidumping and Countervailing Duties Against Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled Into Modules, from the People’s Republic of China, dated October 19, 2011 (Petition).

² An allegation of critical circumstances was also included with the antidumping duty (AD) petition. However, the statute establishes an earlier due date for a CVD preliminary determination than for an AD determination. As such, a critical circumstances determination in the AD proceeding will be issued subsequent to this determination.

Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3586, (202) 482–1396 or (202) 482–0176, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On November 8, 2011, the Department initiated a CVD investigation of solar cells from the PRC.³ In the *Initiation Notice*, the Department stated that, if the criteria for a finding of critical circumstances are established, we would issue a critical circumstances finding at the earliest possible date.⁴ Section 703(e)(1) of the Act provides that the Department will preliminarily determine that critical circumstances exist if there is a reasonable basis to believe or suspect: (A) That “the alleged countervailable subsidy” is inconsistent with the Subsidies and Countervailing Measures (SCM) Agreement of the World Trade Organization, and (B) that there have been massive imports of the subject merchandise over a relatively short period. To determine whether imports of the subject merchandise under investigation have been “massive,” 19 CFR 351.206(h)(1) provides that the Department normally will examine: (i) The volume and value of the imports; (ii) seasonal trends; and (iii) the share of domestic consumption accounted for by the imports. In addition, 19 CFR 351.206(h)(2) provides that imports must increase by at least 15 percent during the “relatively short period” to be considered “massive.” A “relatively short period” is defined in the regulations as normally being the period beginning on the date the proceeding begins (*i.e.*, the date the petition is filed) and ending at least three months later.⁵ The regulations also provide, however, that, if the Department finds that importers, or exporters or producers had reason to believe, at some time prior to the beginning of the proceeding, that a proceeding was likely, the Department may consider a period of not less than three months from that earlier time.⁶

In determining whether the above statutory and regulatory criteria have been satisfied, we examined the evidence presented in the October 19, 2011 petition, comments from both

³ See *Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled Into Modules, from the People’s Republic of China: Initiation of Countervailing Duty Investigation*, 76 FR 70966 (November 16, 2011) (*Initiation Notice*).

⁴ See *id.* at 70969.

⁵ See 19 CFR 351.206(i).

⁶ *Id.*