proposed new location for the Anaheim Regional Transportation Intermodal Center (ARTIC) is an approximately 19-acre site in the City of Anaheim, along the existing OCTA railroad right-of-way. The ARTIC will include an intermodal terminal, public plaza drop-off area, stadium pavilion, track and platforms, road improvements, surface parking, and surface access. A pedestrian bridge will be built for crossing Katella Avenue between the project and Honda Center. Final agency actions: No use of Section 4(f) resources; Section 106 finding of no adverse effect; project-level air quality conformity; and Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI), dated January 2012. Supporting documentation: Environmental Assessment, dated September 2011.

Issued on: February 3, 2012.
Lucy Garliauskas,
Associate Administrator for Planning and Environment, Washington, DC.
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DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Surface Transportation Board
[Docket No. EP 558 (Sub-No. 15)]

Railroad Cost of Capital—2011

AGENCY: Surface Transportation Board.

ACTION: Notice of decision instituting a proceeding to determine the railroad industry’s 2011 cost of capital.

SUMMARY: The Board is instituting a proceeding to determine the railroad industry’s cost of capital for 2011. The decision solicits comments on the following narrow issues: (1) The railroads’ 2011 current cost of debt capital; (2) the railroads’ 2011 current cost of preferred equity capital (if any); (3) the railroads’ 2011 cost of common equity capital; and (4) the capital structure mix of the railroad industry on a market value basis. Comments should focus on the various cost of capital components listed above using the same methodology followed in “Railroad Cost of Capital—2010,” EP 558 (Sub-No. 14) (STB served Oct. 3, 2011).

DATES: Notices of intent to participate are due by March 9, 2012. Statements of the railroads are due by March 30, 2012. Statements of other interested persons are due by April 19, 2012. Rebuttal statements by the railroads are due by May 9, 2012.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be submitted either via the Board’s e-filing system or in the traditional paper format. Any person using e-filing should comply with the instructions at the E–FILING link on the Board’s Web site, at http://www.stb.dot.gov. Any person submitting a filing in the traditional paper format should send an original and 10 copies to: Surface Transportation Board, Attn: Docket No. EP 558 (Sub-No. 15), 395 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20423–0001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Pedro Ramirez at (202) 245–0333. Assistance for the hearing impaired is available through the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at (800) 877–8339.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Board’s decision is posted on the Board’s Web site, http://www.stb.dot.gov. Copies of the decision may be purchased by contacting the Board’s Office of Public Assistance, Governmental Affairs, and Compliance at (202) 245–0238. Assistance for the hearing impaired is available through FIRS at (800) 877–8339.

This action will not significantly affect either the quality of the human environment of the conservation of energy resources.

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 10704(a).

By the Board, Chairman Elliott, Vice Chairman Mulvey, and Commissioner Begeman.

Raina S. White,
Clearance Clerk.

[FR Doc. 2012–2851 Filed 2–7–12; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4915–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Surface Transportation Board

Release of Waybill Data

The Surface Transportation Board has received a request from Baker & Miller PLLC on behalf of the Kansas City Southern (WB595–9—1/27/12), for permission to use certain data from the Board’s 2010 Carload Waybill Samples. A copy of this request may be obtained from the Office of Economics.

The waybill sample contains confidential railroad and shipper data; therefore, if any parties object to these requests, they should file their objections with the Director of the Board’s Office of Economics within 14 calendar days of the date of this notice. The rules for release of waybill data are codified at 49 CFR 1244.9.
How can decision makers take steps to limit the spread of disease but at the same time ensure the least restrictions on individual liberties? As the largest health care system in the United States, VA elected to address these difficult issues to ensure that VA is prepared to respond thoughtfully and consistently to severe and widespread health crises. For each of these challenges, the Guidance presents ethical principles and national guidance for VA. The expectation is that VA leadership and health care professionals will use this information in pandemic workforce, communications, and patient care planning and response.

The Guidance has received feedback from VA clinicians and administrators as well as experts outside of VA. Because the Guidance document is anticipated to affect patients, their families, staff, and the VA community as a whole, the NCEHC is inviting Veterans, members of the general public and interested parties from relevant Federal, State, and professional bodies to provide feedback through written comments. The goal of this Notice is to ensure that people who may be directly affected during a severe influenza pandemic have an opportunity to contribute to the development of ethical concepts and processes that will guide VA emergency planning. VA is aware that there are no perfect approaches to managing a catastrophe, but we still believe that with forward thinking and incorporation of broad public input and lessons learned from the 2009 H1N1 pandemic, we can develop the best possible, most scientifically- and ethically-informed approaches. We believe this approach, led by VA, stands the best chance of developing a sound model to serve as framework for other public and private healthcare organizations on a national scale and beyond.

Comments are invited in response to the following:

1. Does the Guidance include the range of ethical issues relevant to pandemic influenza planning and response that are of concern to you? Are there other issues that you would like to see addressed in the VA Guidance?

2. Does the Guidance support the needs of Veterans with regard to fair treatment during a public health crisis?

3. Does the Guidance support the needs of health care workers with regard to fair treatment during a public health crisis?

4. The Guidance presents a team process for allocation of scarce lifesaving resources based on illness severity, the likelihood of benefiting from treatment, and resource availability. Apart from maintaining accountability for an established decision process, the rationale for a team-based approach is to allow individual health care providers to maintain their focus treating individual patients. Do you think that this is a good and fair approach to making these difficult decisions?

5. Do you think that the key ethical concepts presented in the Guidance for pandemic influenza planning and response can also be used in VA’s planning for other highly contagious illnesses? If so, are there important differences that we should keep in mind?

Any other comments/observations regarding the Guidance are welcome.

Availability: Persons with access to the Internet may obtain the document at: http://www.ethics.va.gov/activities/pandemic_influenza_preparedness.asp. Alternatively, the guidance may be obtained by mail by calling NCEHC at (202) 501–0364 (this is not a toll-free number).


Robert C. McFetridge,
Director, Regulation Policy & Management,
Office of the General Counsel Department of Veterans Affairs.
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