review, the cash deposit rate will be the rates listed above; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies other than those covered by this review, the cash deposit rate will be the company-specific rate established for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the less-thanfair-value investigation, but the producer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the subject merchandise; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the producer is a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the investigation, the cash deposit rate will be 3.91 percent, the all-others rate established in the less-than-fairvalue investigation. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

### **Reimbursement of Duties**

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the presumption that reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties occurred and the subsequent increase in antidumping duties by the amount of antidumping and/or countervailing duties reimbursed.

## **Administrative Protective Order**

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: March 5, 2012.

#### Paul Piguado.

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

#### APPENDIX I

List of Comments in the Accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum:

- A. General Issue
- Comment 1: Treatment of Negative Dumping Margins (Zeroing)
- B. Company Specific Issues
  Comment 2: Whether to use Navneet's
- Purchase Order Date for its U.S. Sales Comment 3: Whether to Recalculate
- Navneet's Imputed Credit Expenses Comment 4: Whether to Adjust Navneet's Cost of Manufacturing
- Comment 5: Treatment of Navneet's Canvassing Expenses as a Direct Selling Expense
- Comment 6: Whether to make an Excise Tax Adjustment for Navneet
- Comment 7: Whether to Modify Navneet's Cost Calculation Data

[FR Doc. 2012–6082 Filed 3–12–12; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# International Trade Administration [A-570-979]

Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled Into Modules, From the People's Republic of China: Postponement of Preliminary Determination of Antidumping Duty Investigation

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**DATES:** March 13, 2012.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jeff Pedersen or Drew Jackson, AD/CVD Operations, Office 4, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482–2769 or (202) 482–4406, respectively.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# Postponement of Preliminary Determination

On November 16, 2011, the Department of Commerce ("Department") published a notice of initiation of an antidumping duty investigation of crystalline silicon photovoltaic cells, whether or not assembled into modules, from the People's Republic of China.¹ The period of investigation is April 1, 2011, through September 30, 2011. The notice of initiation stated that, unless postponed, the Department would issue its preliminary determination for this investigation no later than 140 days after the date of the initiation in

accordance with section 773(b)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), and 19 CFR 351.205(b)(1). The preliminary determination of the antidumping duty investigation is currently due no later than March 27, 2012

On March 2, 2012, SolarWorld Industries America Inc. ("Petitioner"), made a timely request pursuant to section 733(c)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(b)(2) and (e) for postponement of the preliminary determination in this investigation.<sup>2</sup> Petitioner requested a 30-day postponement of the preliminary determination in order to provide the Department with sufficient time to review the questionnaire responses and issue appropriate requests for clarification and additional information.

Sections 733(c)(1)(B)(i) and (ii) of the Act permit the Department to extend the time limits for the preliminary determination if it concludes that the parties concerned are cooperating and determines that the case is extraordinarily complicated by reason of the number and complexity of the transactions to be investigated or adjustments to be considered, the novelty of issues presented, or the number of firms whose activities must be investigated, and additional time is necessary to make the preliminary determination. The Department may postpone making the preliminary determination under section 733(c)(1)(B) of the Act until not later than the 190th day after the date on which the administering authority initiates an investigation under section 732(c) of the Act, or an investigation is initiated under section 732(a) of the Act.3

The Department has concluded that the parties concerned are cooperating with the antidumping duty investigation and the nature of this case is extraordinarily complicated. Since the initiation of this investigation, the mandatory respondents filed timely, extensive questionnaire and supplemental questionnaire responses. Further, the instant investigation involves a technologically sophisticated product that is manufactured through a complex, multi-stage production process using numerous factors of production ("FOP"). Accordingly, the methodology employed to report FOP data is inherently complex. Moreover, additional time is necessary in order to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled Into Modules, From the People's Republic of China: Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigation, 76 FR 70960 (November 16, 2011).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Letter from Petitioner to the Secretary of Commerce, "Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled into Modules, from the People's Republic of China: Request to Extend Preliminary Determination in Antidumping Duty Investigation," dated March 2, 2012.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See generally section 733(c)(1)(B) of the Act.

issue the preliminary determination. For the forgoing reasons, the Department determines that this investigation in extraordinarily complicated within the meaning of section 733(c)(1)(B)(i) of the Act and that a full extension of the preliminary determination is necessary.

Accordingly, in accordance with section 733(c)(1) of the Act, we are fully extending the due date for the preliminary determination to no later than 190 days after the day on which the investigation was initiated. Thus the new deadline for issuing the preliminary determination is May 16, 2012.

This notice is issued and published pursuant to section 733(c)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(f)(1).

Dated: March 6, 2012.

#### Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 2012-6023 Filed 3-12-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# International Trade Administration [A-570-900]

Diamond Sawblades and Parts Thereof From the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**DATES:** Effective Date: March 13, 2012.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Jerrold Freeman, AD/CVD Operations,
Office 1, Import Administration,
International Trade Administration,
U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th
Street and Constitution Avenue NW.,
Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202)
482–0180.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## Background

On December 6, 2011, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the **Federal Register** the *Preliminary Results* of the first administrative review covering the antidumping duty order on diamond sawblades and parts thereof from the People's Republic of China for the period January 23, 2009, through October 31, 2010.¹ Subsequent to the

publication of the *Preliminary Results*, the Department extended the deadlines for submission of post-preliminary surrogate values, rebuttal comments and case briefs.<sup>2</sup> The final results of the review are currently due no later than April 4, 2012.

## **Extension of Time Limit for Final Results**

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department to complete the final results of an administrative review within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published. If it is not practicable to complete the review within these time periods, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the time limit for the final results to a maximum of 180 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published.

We determine that it is not practicable to complete the final results of this review within the original time limit because of the complexity of issues involving the analysis of surrogate value data on the record and because of the additional extensions we have granted, at the request of various parties, to review and submit post-preliminary surrogate value data, case briefs and rebuttal comments. Therefore, we are extending the time period for issuing the final results of this review by 40 days until May 14, 2012.

This notice is published in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2).

Dated: March 7, 2012.

#### Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations. [FR Doc. 2012–6077 Filed 3–12–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

#### **International Trade Administration**

[A-405-803, A-421-811]

Purified Carboxymethylcellulose From Finland and the Netherlands: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: Effective Date: March 13, 2012.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Robert James or Angelica Mendoza, AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0649 or (202) 482–3019, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### **Background**

On August 26, 2011, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the **Federal Register** the initiation of administrative review of the antidumping duty orders on, *inter alia*, purified carboxymethylcellulose from Finland and the Netherlands covering the period July 1, 2010, through June 30, 2011. See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part, 76 FR 53404 (August 26, 2011). The current deadline for the preliminary results of these reviews is April 1, 2012.

# Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary Results of Review

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires that the Department complete the preliminary results of an administrative review within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order for which a review is requested. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within this time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the time limit for the preliminary results to a maximum of 365 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order for which a review is requested.

The Department finds it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results of these reviews within the original time frame because the Department requires additional time to gather and analyze the information submitted on the record. Thus, the Department finds it is not practicable to complete these reviews within the original time limit (*i.e.*, April 1, 2012). Accordingly, the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the preliminary results of these administrative reviews by 120 days (i.e., until July 30, 2012), in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2). We intend to issue the final results no later than 120 days after publication of the preliminary results notice.

This extension is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(3)(A) and 777(i) of the Act.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Diamond Sawblades and Parts Thereof From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Intent To Rescind Review in Part, 76 FR 76135 (December 6, 2011) (Preliminary Results).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Letters from Minoo Hatten, Program Manager, Office 1, to All Interested Parties dated December 22, 2011, January 24, 2012, and February 2, 2012.