Area Definitions

To make certain that they are using the correct AAFs, users should refer to the Area Definitions Table at [http://www.huduser.org/portal/datasets/aaf/FY2012_AreaDef.pdf](http://www.huduser.org/portal/datasets/aaf/FY2012_AreaDef.pdf). The Area Definitions Table lists CPI areas in alphabetical order by state, and the associated Census region is shown next to each state name. Areas whose AAFs are determined by local CPI surveys are listed first. All metropolitan areas with local CPI surveys have separate AAF schedules and are shown with their corresponding county definitions or as metropolitan counties. In the six New England states, the listings are for counties or parts of counties as defined by towns or cities. The remaining counties use the CPI for the Census Region and are not specifically listed in the Area Definitions Table at [http://www.huduser.org/portal/datasets/aaf/FY2012_AreaDef.pdf](http://www.huduser.org/portal/datasets/aaf/FY2012_AreaDef.pdf).

Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands use the South Region AAFs. All areas in Hawaii use the AAPs listed next to “Hawaii” in the Tables which are based on the CPI survey for the Honolulu metropolitan area. The Pacific Islands use the West Region AAFs.


Raphael W. Bostic,
Assistant Secretary for Policy Development and Research.
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National Register of Historic Places; Notification of Pending Nominations and Related Actions

Nominations for the following properties being considered for listing or related actions in the National Register were received by the National Park Service before March 24, 2012. Pursuant to section 60.13 of 36 CFR part 60, written comments are being accepted concerning the significance of the nominated properties under the National Register criteria for evaluation. Comments may be forwarded by United States Postal Service, to the National Register of Historic Places, National Park Service, 1201 Eye St. NW., 8th floor, Washington DC, 20005; or by fax, 202–371–6447. Written or faxed comments should be submitted by April 30, 2012. Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

J. Paul Loether,
Chief, National Register of Historic Places/ National Historic Landmarks Program.

MICHIGAN

Alger County

Grand Marais Harbor of Refuge Inner and Outer Lights, (Light Stations of the United States MPS) W. pier at entry to Grand Marais Harbor of Refuge (Burt Township), Grand Marais, 12000254.

MISSOURI

St. Louis Independent City

Yeatman Square Historic District, Parts of Glasgow, Leffingwell, Madison, Magazine, & N. Market Sts., St. Louis (Independent City), 12000255.

NEW YORK

Oneida County

Wright Settlement Cemetery, Cemetery Rd., Wright Settlement, 12000256.

Orange County

Denniston—Steidle House, 575 Jackson Ave., New Windsor, 12000257.

Orleans County

Clarendon General Store, 16301 E. Lee Rd., Clarendon, 12000258.

Payjack Chevrolet Building, 320 N. Main St., Medina, 12000259.

Saratoga County

Smith’s Grain and Feed Store, 857 Main St., Elnora, 12000260.

Schoharie County

Stewart House and Howard—Stewart Family Cemetery, 583 NY 10, South Jefferson, 12000261.

Westchester County

St. George’s Church, 1715 E. Main St., Mohegan Lake, 12000262.

NORTH CAROLINA

Forsyth County

Pepper Building, 100–106 W. 4th St., Winston-Salem, 12000263.

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731–TA–1089 (Review)]

Certain Orange Juice From Brazil

Determination

On the basis of the record 1 developed in the subject five-year review, the United States International Trade Commission (Commission) determines, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), that revocation of the antidumping duty order on certain orange juice from Brazil would not be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

Background

The Commission instituted this review on February 1, 2011 (76 FR 5822, February 2, 2011) and determined on May 9, 2011 that it would conduct a full review (76 FR 30197, May 24, 2011). Notice of the scheduling of the Commission’s review and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the Federal Register on July 14, 2011 (76 FR 43344, July 20, 2012). The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on January 24, 2012, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission transmitted its determination in this review to the Secretary of Commerce on April 9, 2012. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 4311 (April 2012), entitled Certain Orange Juice from Brazil: Investigation No. 731–TA–1089 (Review).


By order of the Commission.

James R. Holbein,
Secretary to the Commission.
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1 The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).