The human remains were recognized by the Conner Museum staff while accessioning the faunal skeletons and were transferred to the WSU Museum of Anthropology so that the NAGPRA process could be completed. No known individuals were identified. No associated funerary objects are present.

The human remains consist of a single cranium that has been described as that of an adult male Native American, determined by the physical character of the remains, particularly the dental remains. The western border of Stevens County, WA, is the eastern shore of Lake Roosevelt, the reservoir behind the Grand Coulee Dam. While available information does not confirm that the remains were removed from the shores of Lake Roosevelt, it is well known that thousands of burials have been located in the eroding lake margin sediments, and it is extremely likely that these remains were also found along the shores of Lake Roosevelt. Both the Confederated Tribes of the Colville Reservation, Washington, and the Spokane Tribe of the Spokane Reservation, Washington, have reservation lands as well as traditional lands along Lake Roosevelt.

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

**Bureau of Reclamation**

**Colorado River Basin Salinity Control Advisory Council**

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of public meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The Colorado River Basin Salinity Control Advisory Council (Council) was established by the Colorado River Basin Salinity Control Act of 1974 (Pub. L. 93–320) (Act) to receive reports and advise Federal agencies on implementing the Act. In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act, the Bureau of Reclamation announces that the Council will meet as detailed below. The meeting of the Council is open to the public.

**DATES:** The Council will convene the meeting on Thursday, May 17, 2012, at 1 p.m. and recess at approximately 5 p.m. The Council will reconvene the meeting on Friday, May 18, 2012, at 8:30 a.m. and adjourn the meeting at approximately 11:30 a.m.

**ADDRESSES:** The meeting will be held at the Homestead Resort, 700 North Homestead Drive, Midway, Utah 84049. Send written comments to Mr. Kib Jacobson, Bureau of Reclamation, Upper Colorado Regional Office, 125 South State Street, Room 6107, Salt Lake City, Utah 84138–1147; telephone (801) 524–3753; facsimile (801) 524–3826; email at: kjacobson@usbr.gov.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Kib Jacobson, telephone (801) 524–3753; facsimile (801) 524–3826; email at: kjacobson@usbr.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Any member of the public may file written statements with the Council before, during, or up to 30 days after the meeting either in person or by mail. To the extent that time permits, the Council chairman will allow public presentation of oral comments at the meeting. To allow full consideration of information by Council members, written notice must be provided at least 5 days prior to the meeting. Any written comments received prior to the meeting will be provided to Council members at the meeting.

The purpose of the meeting will be to discuss and take appropriate actions regarding the following: (1) The Basin States Program created by Public Law 110–246, which amended the Act; (2) responses to the Council Report; and (3) other items within the jurisdiction of the Council.

**PUBLIC DISCLOSURE**

Before including your name, address, telephone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

**DETERMINATIONS MADE BY THE MUSEUM OF ANTHROPOLOGY AT WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY**

Officials of the Museum of Anthropology at Washington State University have determined that:

- Pursuant to 25 U.S.C. 3001(9), the human remains described in this notice represent the physical remains of one individual of Native American ancestry.
- Pursuant to 25 U.S.C. 3001(2), there is a relationship of shared group identity that can be reasonably traced between the Native American human remains and the Confederated Tribes of the Colville Reservation, Washington, and the Spokane Tribe of the Spokane Reservation, Washington.

**ADDITIONAL REQUESTORS AND DISPOSITION**

Representatives of any other Indian tribe that believes itself to be culturally affiliated with the human remains should contact Mary Collins, WSU Museum of Anthropology, P.O. Box 644910, Pullman, WA 99164, telephone (509) 334–2812, before May 21, 2012. Repatriation of the human remains to the Confederated Tribes of the Colville Indian Reservation, Washington, may proceed after that date if no additional claimants come forward.

The Museum of Anthropology at Washington State University is responsible for notifying Confederated Tribes of the Colville Reservation, Washington, and the Spokane Tribe of the Spokane Reservation, Washington, that this notice has been published.

Dated: April 12, 2012.

David Tarler,

Acting Manager, National NAGPRA Program.

[FR Doc. 2012–9470 Filed 4–18–12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310–50–P**

**INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION**


**BRASS SHEET AND STRIP FROM FRANCE, GERMANY, ITALY, AND JAPAN**

**DETERMINATION**

On the basis of the record developed in the subject five-year reviews, the United States International Trade Commission (Commission) determines, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on brass sheet and strip from France, Germany, Italy, and Japan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

**BACKGROUND**

The Commission instituted these reviews on March 1, 2011 (76 FR 11509) and determined on June 6, 2011 that it would conduct full reviews (76 FR 35910, June 20, 2011). Notice of the scheduling of the Commission’s reviews and of a public hearing to be held in

---

1. The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

2. Commissioner Daniel R. Pearson dissenting with respect to the antidumping duty order on France.
connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the Federal Register on September 12, 2011 (76 FR 58299). The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on January 31, 2012, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.


By order of the Commission.

James R. Holbein,
Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2012–9463 Filed 4–18–12; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Notice of Determinations Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor herein presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance for workers by (TA–W) number issued during the period of April 2, 2012 through April 6, 2012.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made for workers of a primary firm and a certification issued regarding eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222(a) of the Act must be met.

I. Under Section 222(a)(2)(A), the Following Must Be Satisfied

(1) A significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers’ firm have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;
(2) The sales or production, or both, of such firm have decreased absolutely; and 
(3) One of the following must be satisfied:
(A) Imports of articles or services like or directly competitive with articles produced or services supplied by such firm have increased;  
(B) Imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles into which one or more component parts produced by such firm are directly incorporated, have increased;  
(C) Imports of articles directly incorporating one or more component parts produced outside the United States that are like or directly competitive with imports of articles incorporating one or more component parts produced by such firm have increased;  
(D) Imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles which are produced directly using services supplied by such firm, have increased; and 
(4) The increase in imports contributed importantly to such workers’ separation or threat of separation and to the decline in the sales or production of such firm; or

II. Section 222(a)(2)(B) All of the Following Must Be Satisfied

(1) A significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers’ firm have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;  
(2) One of the following must be satisfied:
(A) There has been a shift by the workers’ firm to a foreign country in the production of articles or supply of services like or directly competitive with those produced/supplied by the workers’ firm;  
(B) There has been an acquisition from a foreign country by the workers’ firm of articles/services that are like or directly competitive with those produced/supplied by the workers’ firm; and 
(3) The shift/acquisition contributed importantly to the workers’ separation or threat of separation. In order for an affirmative determination to be made for adversely affected workers in firms identified by the International Trade Commission and a certification issued regarding eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222(f) of the Act must be met.

(1) The workers’ firm is publicly identified by name by the International Trade Commission as a member of a domestic industry in an investigation resulting in—
(A) An affirmative determination of serious injury or threat thereof under section 202(b)(1);  
(B) An affirmative determination of market disruption or threat thereof under section 421(b)(1); or 
(C) An affirmative final determination of material injury or threat thereof under section 705(b)(1)(A) or 735(b)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1671d(b)(1)(A) and 1673d(b)(1)(A));
(2) The petition is filed during the 1-year period beginning on the date on which—
(A) A summary of the report submitted to the President by the International Trade Commission under section 202(f)(1) with respect to the affirmative determination described in paragraph (1)(A) is published in the