

Campaign (CFC) to ensure its continued growth and success. The Commission is an advisory committee composed of Federal employees, private campaign administrators, charitable organizations and “watchdog” groups. The Commission is co-chaired by Thomas Davis and Beverly Byron.

The meeting is open to the public. Please contact the Office of Personnel Management at the address shown below if you wish to present material to the Commission at the meeting. The manner and time prescribed for presentations may be limited, depending upon the number of parties that express interest in presenting information.

DATES: May 29, 2012 at 2 p.m.

Location: U.S. Office of Personnel Management, Theodore Roosevelt Executive Conference, 5th Floor, Theodore Roosevelt Building, 1900 E Street NW., Washington, DC 20415.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Keith Willingham, Director, Combined Federal Campaign, U.S. Office of Personnel Management, 1900 E St. NW., Suite 6484, Washington, DC 20415. Phone (202) 606-2564, FAX (202) 606-5056 or email at cfc@opm.gov.

U.S. Office of Personnel Management.

John Berry,
Director.

[FR Doc. 2012-11724 Filed 5-14-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6325-46-P

**OFFICE OF PERSONNEL
MANAGEMENT**

**Federal Prevailing Rate Advisory
Committee; Cancellation of Upcoming
Meeting**

AGENCY: U.S. Office of Personnel Management.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Federal Prevailing Rate Advisory Committee is issuing this notice to cancel the May 24, 2012, public meeting scheduled to be held in Room 5A06A, U.S. Office of Personnel Management Building, 1900 E Street NW., Washington, DC. The original **Federal Register** notice announcing this meeting was published Friday, December 2, 2011, at 76 FR 75567.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Madeline Gonzalez, 202-606-2838; email pay-leave-policy@opm.gov; or FAX: (202) 606-4264.

U.S. Office of Personnel Management.

Sheldon Friedman,
*Chairman, Federal Prevailing Rate Advisory
Committee.*

[FR Doc. 2012-11728 Filed 5-14-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6325-49-P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

**[Docket Nos. MC2012-15 and CP2012-22;
Order No. 1334]**

Product List Changes

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission is noticing a recently-filed Postal Service request to add Parcel Select and Parcel Return Service Contract 3 to the competitive product list. This notice addresses procedural steps associated with this filing.

DATES: Comments are due: May 17, 2012.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>. Commenters who cannot submit their views electronically should contact the person identified in **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** by telephone for advice on alternatives to electronic filing.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel, at 202-789-6820.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Contents

- I. Introduction
- II. Notice of Filings
- III. Ordering Paragraphs

I. Introduction

In accordance with 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 39 CFR 3020.30 *et seq.*, the Postal Service filed a formal request and associated supporting information to add Parcel Select and Parcel Return Service Contract 3 to the competitive product list.¹ The Postal Service asserts that Parcel Select and Parcel Return Service Contract 3 is a “competitive product not of general applicability within the meaning of 39 U.S.C.

¹ Request of the United States Postal Service to Add Parcel Select and Parcel Return Service Contract 3 to Competitive Product List and Notice of Filing (Under Seal) of Unredacted Governors' Decision, Contract, and Supporting Data, May 4, 2012. On May 7, 2012, the Postal Service filed a Notice of the United States Postal Service of Filing Errata to the Request and Notice, May 7, 2012 (Request). The Request supersedes the filing of May 4, 2012.

3632(b)(3).” *Id.* at 1. The Request has been assigned Docket No. MC2012-15.

The Postal Service contemporaneously filed a redacted contract related to the proposed new product. *Id.*, Attachment B. The instant contract has been assigned Docket No. CP2012-22.

Request. To support its Request, the Postal Service filed the following six attachments:

- Attachment A—a redacted version of Governors' Decision No. 11-6 and accompanying analysis. An explanation and justification is provided in the Governors' Decision and analysis filed in the unredacted version under seal;
- Attachment B—a redacted version of the instant contract;
- Attachment C—the proposed change in the Mail Classification Schedule;
- Attachment D—a Statement of Supporting Justification as required by 39 CFR 3020.32;
- Attachment E—a certification of compliance with 39 U.S.C. 3633(a)(1), through (a)(3); and
- Attachment F—an Application for Non-public Treatment of the material filed under seal. The materials filed under seal are the unredacted version of the instant contract and the required cost and revenue data.

In the Statement of Supporting Justification, Karen F. Key, Manager, Shipping Products, asserts that the instant contract will cover its attributable costs, make a positive contribution to cover institutional costs, and increase contribution toward the requisite 5.5 percent of the Postal Service's institutional costs. *Id.*, Attachment D at 1. Ms. Key contends that there will be no issue of subsidization of market dominant products by competitive products as a result of the instant contract. *Id.*

Instant contract. The Postal Service filed a revised version of the instant contract on May 7, 2012. *Id.*, Attachment B. It is scheduled to become effective on the day the Commission issues all necessary regulatory approvals or June 1, 2012, whichever date is later. *Id.* at 8. It will expire on May 31, 2019 unless, among other things, either party terminates the agreement with 12 months' written notice to the other party. *Id.* The Postal Service represents that the instant contract is consistent with 39 U.S.C. 3633. *Id.*, Attachment e.

The Postal Service filed much of its supporting materials, including the unredacted version of the instant contract, under seal. *Id.*, Attachment F. It maintains that the unredacted Governors' decision, the unredacted version of the instant contract, and supporting documents establishing compliance with 39 U.S.C. 3633 and 39CFR 3015.5 should remain