Commission staff’s summary and response to these comments follow:

1. Cost of compliance (142 comments) and dire financial circumstances (131 comments).

   **Comment:** Members of the American Hotel & Lodging Association, the Illinois Department of Health, and others assert that the cost of retrofitting pools again would put an undue burden on them and cite the impact of the poor economy on their operating revenues and the loss of revenue that will be incurred while the pools are closed for the modifications that will be required to bring them into compliance. Commenters in this category also mention the respondents’ “dire financial circumstances” as a reason against the revocation of the Commission’s April 27, 2010 definition of “unblockable drain.”

   **Response:** Commission staff agrees that there may be financial hardship, but only to those who relied upon the Commission’s interpretive rule and installed an unblockable drain cover in lieu of installing a secondary system. Thus, Commission staff believes it seems reasonable to provide firms that relied on the Commission’s prior interpretation the time to budget and plan for the expenditure needed to install a secondary system.

2. Apply prospectively (4 comments).

   **Comment:** Commenters in this category cited the lack of injuries as a reason to apply the revocation only to facilities that are newly constructed or renovated in the future.

   **Response:** Commission staff does not agree with prospective application to new construction or renovation. The law has required pools to be compliant with the VGBA for almost four years. Only firms that relied on the unblockable drain interpretive rule of April 27, 2010, and installed VGBA-compliant unblockable drain covers on or before October 11, 2011, should be compliant. Firms that did not rely on the unblockable drain interpretive rule of April 27, 2010, and did not install VGBA-compliant unblockable drain covers on or before October 11, 2011, will have until May 23, 2013, to install a secondary system, as necessary. Firms that did not rely on the unblockable drain interpretive rule of April 27, 2010, and did not install VGBA-compliant unblockable drain covers on or before October 11, 2011, should be compliant with the VGBA, and will not have additional time to come into compliance if they are not.

   Dated: May 17, 2012.

Todd A. Stevenson,
Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission.

**[FR Doc. 2012–12335 Filed 5–23–12; 8:45 a.m.]**
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SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is correcting a
The final rule provides manufacturers of
biological products greater flexibility, as
appropriate, and encourages use of the
most appropriate and state-of-the-art test
methods for assuring the safety of
biological products. The rule was
published with an inaccurate citation in
the codified section of the rule. This
notice corrects that error.

**DATES:** Effective June 4, 2012.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Paul E. Levine, Jr., Center for Biologics
Evaluation and Research (HFM–17),
Food and Drug Administration, 1401
Rockville Pike, Suite 200N, Rockville,
MD 20852–1448, 301–827–6210.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In FR Doc.
2012–10649, appearing on page 26162
in the Federal Register \of Thursday,
May 3, 2012, the following correction is
made:

§ 680.3 [Corrected]

1. On page 26175, in the second
column, in Part 680 Additional Standards for Miscellaneous Products,
in § 680.3 Tests, paragraph (c), in line 4,
"$601.12" is corrected to read
"$610.12".

Dated: May 18, 2012.

Leslie Kux,
Assistant Commissioner for Policy.

[FR Doc. 2012–12594 Filed 5–23–12; 8:45 a.m.]