DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Fish and Wildlife Service

Receipt of Application for the Amendment of the Incidental Take Permit for the Multiple Species Conservation Program County of San Diego Subarea Plan, County of San Diego, California

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of receipt of permit amendment application; request for comments.

SUMMARY: We, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), have made a preliminary determination that amendment of the permit is neither a major Federal action that will significantly affect the quality of the human environment within the meaning of section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), nor will it individually or cumulatively have more than a negligible effect on the species covered in the MSCP Subarea Plan. Therefore, the permit amendment qualifies as a categorical exclusion under NEPA as provided by the Department of Interior Manual (516 DM 2, Appendix 1 and 516 DM 6, Appendix 1).

Background

Opporunity for public review of the original permit application and the habitat conservation plan was provided in the Federal Register on March 28, 1997 (62 FR 14938) and November 14, 1997 (62 FR 61140).

The Applicant is seeking an amendment to the incidental take permit, consistent with section 1.14.2 of the MSCP Subarea Plan Implementing Agreement, to modify the MSCP Subarea Plan boundary to add 210.6 acres of land for conservation purposes (i.e., a “hardline preserve”) in contemplation of establishing a privately-owned mitigation bank.

The establishment of the bank would be a future action that would require a formalized conservation banking agreement consistent with section 9.13 of the MSCP Subarea Plan Implementing Agreement.

The conservation lands are located in an unincorporated portion of northern San Diego County in the community of Ramona, approximately 1 mile north of the existing MSCP Subarea Plan boundary. The conservation land consists of 4 parcels; a single 63-acre parcel (Assessor’s Parcel Number [APN] 277–050–32), and 3 parcels totaling 147.6 acres (APN 277–121–05, 277–111–09, and 277–121–08) (i.e., unsectioned lands within Township 13 South, Range 1 West of the U.S. Geological Survey 7.5-minute San Pasqual quadrangle). The parcels are contiguous with other conserved lands and support vernal pool, riparian, and nonnative grassland habitats.

Sensitive species documented on the parcels include the federally listed endangered San Diego fairy shrimp (Branchinecta sandiegoensis) and the burrowing owl (Athene cunicularia), an MSCP Subarea Plan covered species. More details on the specific parcels and their locations are available in the permit amendment application (see ADDRESSES).

Public Availability of Comments
Written comments we receive become part of the public record associated with this action. Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comments, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Authority
We provide this notice under section 10(c) the Act (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.) and by NEPA regulations (40 CFR 1506.6).

Jim A. Bartel,
Field Supervisor, Carlsbad Fish and Wildlife Office.

ADDRESSES
To ensure consideration, please send your written comments by July 18, 2012.

ADDRESS: To request further information or submit written comments, please use one of the following methods and note that your request for comment or request in reference to the MSCP Subarea Plan:

• Email: Karen.Goebel@fws.gov. Include “MSCP Subarea Plan” in the subject line of the message.

• U.S. Mail: Field Supervisor, Fish and Wildlife Service, Carlsbad Fish and Wildlife Office, 6010 Hidden Valley Road, Suite 101, Carlsbad, California 92011.

• In Person-Drop off, Viewing, or Pickup: Call 760–431–9440 to make an appointment during regular business hours to drop off comments or view received comments at this location:

• Fax: Field Supervisor, 760–918–0638, Attn: MSCP Subarea Plan.

low-effect habitat conservation plan (Plan). We request comments on the applicant’s application and Plan, and the preliminary determination that the Plan qualifies as a “low-effect” habitat conservation plan, eligible for a categorical exclusion under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended (NEPA). We discuss our basis for this determination in our environmental action statement (EAS), also available for public review.

DATES: We must receive written comments on or before July 18, 2012.

ADDRESSES: To request further information or submit written comments, please use one of the following methods, and note that your information request or comment is in reference to the Low-Effect Habitat Conservation Plan for the California Tiger Salamander, Calaveras County, California.


• In-Person Drop-off, Viewing, or Pickup: Call 916–414–6600 to make an appointment during regular business hours to drop off comments or view received comments at the above address.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Mike Thomas, Chief, Conservation Planning Division, or Eric Tattersall, Deputy Assistant Field Supervisor, at the address shown above or at 916–414–6600 (telephone). If you use a telecommunications device for the deaf, please call the Federal Information Relay Service at 800–877–8339.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
Availability of Documents
You may obtain copies of the permit application, plan, and EAS from the individuals in FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT. Copies of these documents are also available for public inspection, by appointment, during regular business hours, at the Sacramento Fish and Wildlife Office (see ADDRESSES).

Public Availability of Comments
Before including your address, phone number, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Background Information
Section 9 of the Act prohibits taking of fish and wildlife species listed as endangered or threatened under section 4 of the Act. Under the Act, the term “take” means to harass, harm, pursue, hunt, shoot, wound, kill, trap, capture, or collect, or to attempt to engage in any such conduct. The term “harm” is defined in the regulations as significant habitat modification or degradation that results in death or injury of listed species by significantly impairing essential behavioral patterns, including breeding, feeding, or sheltering (50 CFR 17.3). The term “harass” is defined in the regulations as to carry out actions that create the likelihood of injury to listed species to such an extent as to significantly disrupt normal behavioral patterns, which include, but are not limited to, breeding, feeding, or sheltering (50 CFR 17.3).

However, under specified circumstances, the Service may issue permits that allow the take of federally listed species, provided that the take that occurs is incidental to, but not the purpose of, otherwise lawful activity. Regulations governing permits for endangered and threatened species are at 50 CFR 17.22 and 17.32, respectively. Section 10(a)(1)(B) of the Act contains provisions for issuing such incidental take permits to non-Federal entities for the take of endangered and threatened species, provided the following criteria are met:

1. The taking will be incidental;
2. The applicants will, to the maximum extent practicable, minimize and mitigate the impact of such taking;
3. The applicants will develop a proposed HCP and ensure that adequate funding for the plan will be provided;
4. The taking will not appreciably reduce the likelihood of the survival and recovery of the species in the wild; and
5. The applicants will carry out any other measures that the Service may require as being necessary or appropriate for the purposes of the HCP.

The applicant seeks an incidental take permit for covered activities within 109 acres of grassland associated with the construction of 15-lot subdivision, with a minimum 5-acre parcel size, on the north side of Highway 12, in northwest Calaveras County, just west of Burson, California. The following federally listed species will be included as a covered species (covered species) in the applicants’ proposed Plan:

• Central California Distinct Population Segment of the California tiger salamander (Ambystoma californiense) (threatened)

The applicant would receive assurances under our “No Surprises” regulations (50 CFR 17.22(b)(5) and 17.32(b)(5)) for take of tiger salamanders.

Covered activities include the following:

• Grading and ground leveling associated with construction of 15 residential homes,
• Vegetation removal and planting,
• Use of heavy equipment (not limited to bulldozers and backhoes),
• Erosion control structures (such as silt fencing and barriers),
• Dust control (such as watering surface soils),
• Construction of driveways and roadways,
• Trenching and installation of utilities and irrigation systems, and
• Landscaping associated with all of the above activities and structures.

The applicant proposes to avoid, minimize, and mitigate the effects to the covered species associated with the covered activities by fully implementing the Plan. Minimization measures will include, but are not limited to:

• An employee education program,
• Temporary construction fencing,
• A 15-mile per hour speed limit,
• Construction work time windows (i.e., to avoid the rainy season and nighttime work), and
• A deed restriction or conservation easement on 54 acres of the site for protection of tiger salamander upland habitat.

The applicant proposes to build a 15-lot subdivision, with a minimum 5-acre parcel size. Thirteen of the lots would be between 5.0 and 5.5 acres, one lot would be 9.0 acres, and the largest and most northerly lot would encompass 26.57 acres, including an existing pond that would be left undisturbed. The subdivision includes 15 single-family residences with associated landscaping, utilities, and roadways.

Alternatives
Our proposed action is approving the applicant’s Plan and issuance of an incidental take permit for the applicant’s covered activities. As required by the Act, the applicant’s Plan considers alternatives to the take under the proposed action. The Plan considers the environmental consequences of two alternatives to the proposed action, the No Action Alternative and a Reduced Take Alternative. Under the No Action Alternative, we would not issue a permit; the applicant would not build the proposed subdivision, the on-site upland grassland habitat would not receive protection, and no take would occur for the construction of the
residence and its associated structures. For these reasons, the No-Action Alternative has been rejected.

Under the Reduced Take Alternative, we would issue an incidental take permit for the development of 10 residential units instead of the proposed 15. However, due to the relatively small project site dimensions, the County’s zoning ordinance of a minimum 5-acre parcels, and infrastructure that would still be required by the landowner (e.g., roads, utilities, etc.) any further reduction in the number of lots would make the project economically unviable. In addition, even though this alternative would result in larger lot size and slightly less vehicular traffic due to the reduced number of homeowners, the impacts to the covered species relative to the increase in preserved upland habitat would be small. For these reasons, the Reduced Take Alternative was rejected.

Under the Proposed Action Alternative, we would issue an incidental take permit for the applicant’s proposed project, which includes the activities described above. The Proposed Action Alternative would result in permanent loss of 55 acres of upland grassland habitat for the California tiger salamander. To mitigate for these effects, the applicant proposes to protect, enhance, and manage in perpetuity 54 acres of on-site grassland habitat.

National Environmental Policy Act

As described in our EAS, we have made the preliminary determination that approval of the proposed Plan and issuance of the permit would qualify as a categorical exclusion under NEPA (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.), as provided by Federal regulations (40 CFR 1500, 5(k), 1507.3(b)(2), 1508.4) and the Department of the Interior Manual (516 DM 2 and 516 DM 8). Our EAS found that the proposed plan qualifies as a “low-effect” habitat conservation plan, as defined by our Habitat Conservation Planning Handbook (November 1996). Determination of low-effect habitat conservation plans is based on the following three criteria: (1) Implementation of the proposed plan would result in minor or negligible effects on federally-listed, proposed, and candidate species and their habitats; (2) implementation of the proposed plan would result in minor or negligible effects on other environmental values or resources; and (3) impacts of the plan, considered together with the impacts of other past, present, and reasonably foreseeable similarly situated projects, would not result, over time, in cumulative effects to environmental values or resources that would be considered significant. Based upon the preliminary determinations in the EAS, we do not intend to prepare further NEPA documentation. We will consider public comments when making the final determination on whether to prepare an additional NEPA document on the proposed action.

Public Comments

We request data, comments, new information, or suggestions from the public, other concerned governmental agencies, the scientific community, Tribes, industry, or any other interested party on this notice. We particularly seek comments on the following:
1. Biological information concerning the species;
2. Relevant data concerning the species;
3. Additional information concerning the range, distribution, population size, and population trends of the species;
4. Current or planned activities in the subject area and their possible impacts on the species;
5. The presence of archeological sites, buildings and structures, historic events, sacred and traditional areas, and other historic preservation concerns, which are required to be considered in project planning by the National Historic Preservation Act; and
6. Identification of any other environmental issues that should be considered with regard to the proposed development and permit action.

Authority

We provide this notice pursuant to section 10(c) of the Act and the NEPA public-involvement regulations (40 CFR 1500.1(b), 1500.2(d), and 1506.6). We will evaluate the permit application, including the Plan, and comments we receive to determine whether the application meets the requirements of section 10(a) of the Act. If the requirements are met, we will issue a permit to the applicant for the incidental take of the California tiger salamander from the implementation of the covered activities described in the Low-Effect Habitat Conservation Plan for the California Tiger Salamander, Calaveras County, California. We will make the final permit decision no sooner than 30 days after the date of this notice.

Dated: June 11, 2012.

Susan K. Moore,
Field Supervisor, Sacramento Fish and Wildlife Office, Sacramento, California.
[FR Doc. 2012–14649 Filed 6–15–12; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4310–55–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Indian Affairs

Implementation of Indian Reservation Roads Program and Streamlining the Federal Delivery of Tribal Transportation Services

AGENCY: Bureau of Indian Affairs, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of Tribal Consultations and Informational Meetings; Correction.

SUMMARY: The Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA) published a document in the Federal Register of May 7, 2012, announcing tribal consultations to discuss the following topics: (1) Changes in how Proposed Roads and Access Roads are considered in the calculation of the Relative Needs Distribution Formula (RNDF) used for the allocation of Indian Reservation Roads (IRR) funding among tribes; (2) streamlining BIA delivery of transportation program services to tribal governments; and (3) update on implementation of “Question 10.” BIA and the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) will also present an update on potential congressional reauthorization of the current transportation legislation involving the Indian Reservation Roads program or, if new transportation legislation is enacted prior to the meetings, BIA and FHWA will discuss its anticipated impacts on the IRR program. This notice corrects the meeting dates, locations, and agenda.

DATES: See the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section of this notice for consultation dates.

ADDRESSES: See the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section of this notice for locations where the consultations will be held.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Corrections

In the Federal Register of May 7, 2012, on page 26786, the meeting date of June 21, 2012 in Lincoln, NE has been removed. In the same section, the Meeting Agenda has been modified by switching time slots for Question 10 Update section with the Reauthorization Update section. In addition, three new