inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR–NYSEArca–2012–60 and should be submitted on or before July 9, 2012.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.11  
Kevin M. O’Neill,  
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2012–14739 Filed 6–15–12; 8:45 am]  
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SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  


June 12, 2012.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”)1 and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,2 notice is hereby given that on June 8, 2012, NYSE Arca, Inc. (“NYSE Arca”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by NYSE Arca. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

NYSE Arca proposes to amend the Independence Policy of the Board of Directors of NYSE Euronext (the “NYSE Euronext Director Independence Policy”) and create a new independence policy (the “Subsidiary Director Independence Policy”) for the boards of directors of NYSE MKT LLC (“NYSE MKT”), New York Stock Exchange LLC (“the Exchange”), NYSE Market, Inc. (“NYSE Market”) and NYSE Regulation, Inc. (“NYSE Regulation”) and, together,

the “Regulated Subsidiaries”

In addition, NYSE MKT proposes to amend the Amended and Restated Bylaws of NYSE Euronext, the Amended and Restated Bylaws of NYSE Market, Inc., Third Amended and Restated Bylaws of NYSE Regulation, Inc., the Third Amended and Restated Operating Agreement of New York Stock Exchange LLC and the Second Amended and Restated Operating Agreement of NYSE MKT LLC (collectively the “Organizational Documents”) to make certain conforming changes described below. The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange’s Web site at www.nyse.com at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, NYSE Arca included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of those statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. NYSE Arca has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The purpose of this rule filing is to amend the NYSE Euronext Director Independence Policy, create the Subsidiary Director Independence Policy for the boards of directors of the Regulated Subsidiaries, and make certain conforming changes to the Organizational Documents, as set forth below.

NYSE Euronext Director Independence Policy

Under the Proposed Rule Change, the NYSE Euronext Director Independence Policy would be amended to reflect the following changes (the “Proposed Amendments”):

(i) A majority (as opposed to 75%) of the board of directors of NYSE Euronext (the “Board”) would be required to be independent;

(ii) Executive officers of listed companies would no longer be prohibited from being considered independent for purposes of the Board;

(iii) The “additional independence requirements” at the end of the current NYSE Euronext Director Independence Policy—which provide that executive officers of foreign private issuers, executive officers of NYSE Euronext and directors of affiliates of member organizations must together comprise no more than a minority of the total Board—would be eliminated;

(iv) References to certain European regulatory authorities would be updated, because their names have changed;

(v) References to NYSE Alternext US LLC and NYSE Amex LLC would refer instead to NYSE MKT LLC, because of this entity’s previous name changes; and

(vi) Footnote 2 would be deleted because the NYSE Euronext Director Independence Policy would not be applicable to the Regulated Subsidiaries, each of which is proposed to have its own director independence policy.

The Commission previously considered and approved these aspects of the director independence policy in connection with the previously proposed combination of NYSE Euronext and Deutsche Börse AG (the “Combination”).4 Under the rule change approved in connection with the Combination, Alpha Beta Netherlands Holding N.V. (“Holdco”)—which was the holding company formed in connection with the Combination that would have become the parent company of NYSE Euronext—would have adopted a director independence policy that was substantially similar to the current NYSE Euronext Director Independence Policy, except for the Proposed Amendments noted above and except for certain references to the independence standards and criteria in the Dutch Corporate Governance Code that would be added, given that Holdco was formed under and subject to the laws of the Netherlands. Upon consummation of the Combination, the NYSE Euronext Director Independence Policy would have ceased to apply. On February 2, 2012, following the European Commission’s decision to prohibit the Combination, NYSE Euronext and Deutsche Börse agreed to terminate the agreement to combine their businesses.

NYSE Arca explained the reasons for incorporating the Proposed Amendments in Holdco’s director

disqualification was initially adopted by NYSE Euronext. The per se disqualification of listed company executives tends to undermine rather than facilitate NYSE Euronext’s efforts to ensure a qualified and balanced board composition and promote various other important corporate governance objectives, such as ensuring appropriate expertise and experience on the Board, as well as representation of the interests of a diverse range of market constituencies and local European and U.S. interests. A per se disqualification narrows the pool of potential NYSE Euronext director candidates and arbitrarily eliminates from consideration a large number of highly qualified, experienced individuals who have proven track records as business leaders. Under the NYSE Euronext Director Independence Policy, the Board would still need to assess whether a listed company executive meets the various independence criteria, including whether he or she has any “material relationship” with NYSE Euronext and its subsidiaries.

Furthermore, NYSE Arca believes that the objectivity of Board members is adequately protected by the various other independence criteria in the NYSE Euronext Director Independence Policy, such as the requirement that independent directors may not be or have been within the last year, and may not have an immediate family member who is or within the last year was, a member of NYSE Arca, the Exchange or NYSE MKT. In addition, if and to the extent that a matter concerning a listed company whose executive is a NYSE Euronext director were ever to come before the Board for consideration, such director would be required to be recused from acting on such matter pursuant to the Board’s conflicts of interest policy.

Additional Independence Requirements

Finally, the NYSE Euronext Director Independence Policy provides that the sum of (i) executive officers of foreign private issuers, (ii) executive officers of NYSE Euronext and (iii) directors of affiliates of “members” (as defined in Sections 3(a)(A)(3)(ii), 3(a)(A)(3)(iii) and 3(a)(A)(3)(iv) of the Exchange Act) of NYSE Arca, the Exchange or NYSE MKT, may not constitute more than a majority of the total number of directors of NYSE Euronext. The purpose of this requirement is to ensure that, although executives of listed companies who are foreign private issuers are not disqualified from serving on the Board, such executives may not, together with NYSE Euronext executives and directors of affiliates of members, constitute more than a minority of the Board. In light of NYSE Arca’s proposal to eliminate the disqualification of listed company executives from the NYSE Euronext Director Independence Policy, this requirement would serve no purpose because the exception to such disqualification for foreign private issuer executives would also be eliminated. NYSE Arca further notes that under the proposed NYSE Euronext Director Independence Policy, executives of NYSE Euronext and directors of affiliates of exchange members would not be deemed independent and, accordingly, could not in any event constitute more than a minority of the Board.

Subsidiary Director Independence Policy

Currently, the independent directors of the Regulated Subsidiaries must satisfy the requirements of the NYSE Euronext Director Independence Policy. Under the Proposed Rule Change, each of the Regulated Subsidiaries would have its own independence policy in the form of the Subsidiary Director Independence Policy attached as Exhibit 5B to the Proposed Rule Change, in lieu of the NYSE Euronext Director Independence Policy.

The Commission previously considered and approved this form of Subsidiary Director Independence Policy to be adopted by the Regulated Subsidiaries in connection with the previously proposed Combination (except that the prior form contained certain references to Holdco that have been replaced in Exhibit 5B with references to NYSE Euronext). NYSE Arca explained the reasons for creating the Subsidiary Director Independence Policy to determine whether a majority of the independent directors of the Regulated Subsidiaries, and believes these reasons (as set forth below) continue to be applicable.

The Subsidiary Director Independence Policy is substantially similar to the current NYSE Euronext Director Independence Policy, except for the following changes:

(i) References to NYSE Euronext would refer instead to the relevant Regulated Subsidiary;

(ii) The requirement that at least three-fourths of the directors must be independent would be deleted, since

---


the organizational documents of the Regulated Subsidiaries contain the independence and other qualification requirements for directors: (iii) The requirement in the NYSE Euronext Director Independence Policy that the board consider the special responsibilities of a director in light of NYSE Euronext’s ownership of U.S. regulated subsidiaries and European regulated entities would be deleted, because unlike NYSE Euronext, the Regulated Subsidiaries are not holding companies; (iv) The requirement for directors to inform the Chairman of the Nominating and Governance Committee of certain relationships and interests would be deleted, since the boards of the Regulated Subsidiaries do not have a Nominating and Governance Committee, except that in the Subsidiary Director Independence Policy to be adopted by NYSE Regulation, this provision would reference the Nominating and Governance Committee of NYSE Regulation; (v) References to NYSE Alternext US LLC and NYSE Amex LLC would refer instead to NYSE MKT LLC, because of this entity’s previous name changes; (vi) Because the NYSE Euronext Director Independence Policy provides that a director of an affiliate of a “Member Organization” cannot qualify as an independent director of these Regulated Subsidiaries, the conflicting language stating that a director of an affiliate of a “Member Organization” shall not per se fail to be independent would be deleted; (vii) Because language in the NYSE Euronext Director Independence Policy provides that an executive officer of an issuer whose securities are listed on a NYSE Exchange cannot qualify as an independent director of these Regulated Subsidiaries, the conflicting language providing an exception applicable only to NYSE Euronext directors would be deleted; and (viii) The “additional independence requirements” at the end of the current Independence Policy of NYSE Euronext, which provides that executive officers of foreign private issuers, executive officers of NYSE Euronext and directors of affiliates of member organizations must together comprise no more than a minority of the total board, would be eliminated. This provision is designed to ensure that although persons who are directors of an affiliate of a Member Organization or who are executive officers of a “foreign private issuer” listed on a NYSE Exchange may in some circumstances qualify as independent for purposes of NYSE Euronext board membership, such persons may not, together with executive officers of NYSE Euronext, constitute more than a minority of the total NYSE Euronext directors. Under the proposed Subsidiary Director Independence Policy, such persons could not be deemed to be independent directors of the relevant Regulated Subsidiary and, accordingly, this limitation on the number of such persons who may serve on the board is unnecessary.

NYSE Arca believes that adopting a separate director independence policy for the Regulated Subsidiaries that is more tailored to their specific requirements—rather than applying the NYSE Euronext Director Independence Policy with various carve-outs and exceptions noted therein for the Regulated Subsidiaries—will add clarity to NYSE Arca’s rules. Proposed Conforming Modifications to Organizational Documents

The Organizational Documents would be modified to reflect the changes indicated in Exhibits 5C through 5G, which are summarized as follows: (i) References in the Amended and Restated Bylaws of NYSE Market, Inc., the Third Amended and Restated Bylaws of NYSE Regulation, Inc., the Third Amended and Restated Operating Agreement of New York Stock Exchange LLC and the Second Amended and Restated Operating Agreement of NYSE MKT LLC would be modified to refer to the applicable Subsidiary Director Independence Policy rather than to the NYSE Euronext Director Independence Policy; (ii) References to NYSE Alternext US LLC and NYSE Amex LLC in such Organizational Documents would be amended to refer instead to NYSE MKT LLC, because of this entity’s previous name changes; and (iii) Section 3.4 of the Amended and Restated Bylaws of NYSE Euronext would be modified to provide that a majority (rather than three-fourths) of the Board members would be required to be independent. 2. Statutory Basis

NYSE Euronext believes that this filing is consistent with Section 6(b)\(^7\) of the Exchange Act in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(1)\(^8\) in particular, in that it enables NYSE Arca to be so organized as to have the capacity to be able to carry out the purposes of the Exchange Act and to comply with the provisions of the Exchange Act, the rules and regulations \(^7\) 15 U.S.C. 78f(b). \(^8\) 15 U.S.C. 78f(f)(b)(1). thereunder, and the rules of NYSE Arca. The Proposed Rule Change will help to ensure that the boards of directors of NYSE Euronext and the Regulated Subsidiaries consist of individuals with independent, objective perspectives, while at the same time affording them sufficient flexibility to include persons with expertise and qualifications that will contribute meaningfully to these boards’ performance of their oversight and other functions. For example, some responsibilities of these boards call for in-depth industry knowledge and expertise on the Board, such as the requirement that NYSE Euronext directors take into consideration the effect that NYSE Euronext’s actions would have on the ability of its U.S. regulated subsidiaries to (i) foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaging in regulating, clearing, settling and processing information with respect to, and facilitating transactions in securities, and (ii) remove impediments to and perfect the mechanisms of a free and open market in securities and a U.S. national securities market system. NYSE Arca also believes that adopting a separate director independence policy for the Regulated Subsidiaries that is more tailored to their specific requirements—rather than applying the NYSE Euronext Director Independence Policy with various carve-outs and exceptions noted therein for the Regulated Subsidiaries—will add clarity to NYSE Arca’s rules. B. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition

NYSE Arca does not believe that the Proposed Rule Change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Exchange Act. C. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

NYSE Arca has neither solicited nor received written comments on the Proposed Rule Change. III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Within 45 days of the date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register or within such longer period (i) as the Commission may designate up to 90 days of such date if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the self-regulatory organization consents, the Commission will:
A. By order approve or disapprove such proposed rule change; or
B. Institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments
Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments
- Use the Commission’s Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR–NYSEArca–2012–59 on the subject line.

Paper Comments
- Send paper comments in triplicate to Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR–NYSEArca–2012–59. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s Internet Web site (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission’s Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the NYSE Arca. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR–NYSEArca–2012–59 and should be submitted on or before July 9, 2012.

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Self-Regulatory Organizations; NASDAQ OMX PHLX LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change Relating to Market Participant Categories, Rebates and Fees for Adding and Removing Liquidity in Select Symbols and Multiply Listed Options

June 12, 2012.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”),1 and Rule 19b–4 thereunder, notice is hereby given that, on May 31, 2012, NASDAQ OMX PHLX LLC (“Phlx” or “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC” or “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change
The Exchange proposes to: (i) Amend certain definitions in the Preface Section, including certain categories of market participants; (ii) delete the Directed Participant category in Section I of the Pricing Schedule and add a Specialist category in Sections I, II and III; (iii) amend the title of Section II fees to “Multiply Listed Options” and amend Firm fees; and (iv) make other technical modifications to the Pricing Schedule.

While the changes proposed herein are effective upon filing, the Exchange has designated these changes to be operative on June 1, 2012.


2012–59

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change
In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose
The Exchange proposes to amend the Pricing Schedule, specifically the Preface, Section I, entitled “Rebates and Fees for Adding and Removing Liquidity in Select Symbols” and Section II, entitled “Equity Options Fees.”2 The Exchange also proposes to make other conforming and technical amendments to other sections of the Pricing Schedule. The Exchange will describe the purpose of each amendment to the Pricing Schedule in greater detail below.

Preface and Market Participant Categories

The Exchange is proposing to amend its categories of market participants to specifically define a Specialist and to separate and apart from other Market Makers. Today, the Exchange defines a Market Maker in the Preface to the Pricing Schedule to include Specialists and Registered Options Traders.3 The Exchange is proposing to redefine a Market Maker to include ROTs, SQTs and RSQTs. The Exchange will eliminate the category “Directed Participant”4 from the categories of

3Equity options fees include options overlying equities, ETFs, ETNs, indexes and HOLDRS which are Multiply Listed, except SOX, HGX and OSX.

4A Specialist is an Exchange member who is registered as an options specialist pursuant to Rule 1020(a).

5A Registered Options Trader (“ROT”) includes a Streaming Quote Trader (“SQT”), a Remote Streaming Quote Trader (“RSQT”) and a Non-SQT, which by definition is neither a SQT or a RSQT. A ROT is defined in Exchange Rule 1014(b) as a regular member of the Exchange located on the trading floor who has received permission from the Exchange to trade in options for his own account. See Exchange Rule 1014 (b)(i) and (ii).

6The term “Directed Participant” applies to transactions for the account of a Specialist, Streaming Quote Trader or Remote Streaming Quote Trader resulting from a Customer order that is [1]