## Order

Pursuant to the authority vested in me by 21 U.S.C. 824(a)(3) & (4), as well as 28 CFR 0.100(b), I order that DEA Certificate of Registration AA0044040, issued to Muzaffer Aslan, M.D., be, and it hereby is, revoked. I further order that any pending application of Muzaffer Aslan, M.D., to renew or modify his registration, be, and it hereby is, denied. This Order is effective immediately.[4]

Dated: June 8, 2012.

**Michele M. Leonhart,**

*Administrator.*

[FR Doc. 2012–15061 Filed 6–19–12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410–09–P**

factors.'' *Hoxie* v. *DEA,* 419 F.3d 477, 482 (6th Cir. 2005); *see also Morall* v. *DEA,* 412 F.3d 165, 173–74 (D.C. Cir. 2005). *See also MacKay* v. *DEA,* 664 F.3d 808, 816 (10th Cir. 2011).

In this matter, I have considered all of the factors. With respect to factor one, the same considerations as set forth above in the discussion of my authority under 21 U.S.C. 824(a)(3) apply. Furthermore, while there is no evidence that Respondent has been convicted of an offense falling within factor three, under DEA precedent, this is not dispositive. *See MacKay,* 664 F.3d at 817–18 (quoting *Dewey C. MacKay,* 75 FR 49956, 49973 (2010)).

However, I further find that evidence, which is relevant under factor two (Respondent's experience in dispensing controlled substances) and factor four (Respondent's compliance with applicable laws related to controlled substances), establishes that Respondent issued controlled substance prescriptions after the State revoked his medical license. This is a violation of 21 U.S.C. 1306.03(a)(1), which provides that ''[a] prescription for a controlled substance may be issued only by an individual practitioner who is * * * [a]uthorized to prescribe controlled substances by the jurisdiction in which he is licensed to practice his profession'' and thus constitutes a violation of 21 U.S.C. 841(a)(1). Moreover, while Respondent stated in his letter that ''[t]his is not accurate'' and that two MBC investigators ''talked to me about it,'' GX 3, at 1, he offered no probative evidence to refute the allegation.

[4] For the same reason that led me to order the Immediate Suspension of Respondent's registration, I conclude that the public interest necessitates that this Order be effective immediately. *See* 21 CFR 1316.67.

# DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

## Employment and Training Administration

## Proposed Collection of Information for the Evaluation of the Self-Employment Training Demonstration; New Collection

**AGENCY:** Employment and Training Administration (ETA), Labor.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Labor (Department or DOL), as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a pre-clearance consultation program to provide the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA) [44 U.S.C. 3505(c)(2)(A)]. The program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of the collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed.

The proposed application package, follow-up survey, site visit data collection, and case study interviews are for an evaluation of the Self-Employment Training (SET) Demonstration. This demonstration and its evaluation are sponsored by ETA to understand whether providing dislocated workers access to self-employment training and counseling services increases their likelihood of reemployment, their earnings, and their propensity to enter into self-employment.

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted to the office listed in the addressee's section below on or before August 20, 2012.

**ADDRESSES:** A copy of this proposed information collection request may be obtained by contacting Janet Javar at 202–693–3677 (this is not a toll-free number) or email: *javar.janet@dol.gov.* Comments are to be submitted to Department of Labor/Employment and Training Administration, Attn: Janet Javar, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Room N–5641, Washington, DC 20210. Written comments may be transmitted by facsimile to 202–693–2766 (this is not a toll-free number) or emailed to *javar.janet@dol.gov.*

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

### I. Background

ETA seeks to implement and rigorously evaluate the effectiveness of innovative strategies for promoting employment based on the authority granted to the agency under Title I of the Workforce Investment Act. The SET Demonstration focuses specifically on self-employment as a reemployment strategy for dislocated workers. The demonstration is premised on the hypotheses that: (1) Self-employment could be a viable strategy for dislocated workers to become reemployed; (2) starting a small business is difficult, especially for individuals who lack business expertise or access to start-up capital; and (3) dislocated workers might experience difficulties locating and accessing training and counseling services that could effectively prepare them for self-employment via the existing workforce infrastructure.

The SET Demonstration will implement a new service delivery model that seeks to better connect dislocated workers to self-employment services. This approach differs from previous large-scale demonstration programs, which have provided mixed evidence on the effectiveness of self-employment services on earnings and employment, because the SET Demonstration will: (1) Rely on self-employment advisors to offer more intensive business development counseling services than prior demonstrations have offered; and (2) concentrate on dislocated workers who have fairly limited traditional employment prospects but are well-positioned to benefit from self-employment counseling and training. The SET Evaluation will assess the effectiveness of the SET Demonstration model.

### II. Review Focus

The Department is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

### III. Current Actions

This proposed information collection will involve collecting data from participants of the SET Demonstration.

*Agency:* Employment and Training Administration.

*Type of Review:* New Collection.

*Title of Collection:* Information and Survey Collection for the Self-Employment Training Demonstration.

*OMB Control Number:* 1205–0NEW.

*Affected Public:* Applicants and participants (dislocated workers), One-Stop Career Center (OSCC)

administrators and staff, and participating providers' self-employment advisors and other staff providing support for the demonstration.

*Estimated Total Burden Hours:* 5,449.

*Estimated Total Burden Cost:* $107,530.

*For Consent and Application Forms*

*Number of Respondents:* 4,000 applicants.[1]

*Frequency:* Once.

*Average Time per Response:* 30 minutes.

*Estimated Burden Hours:* 2,000 hours [ = 4,000 × (20/60) ].

*Estimated Burden Cost:* $33,260 [ = 2,000 × $16.63 ].[2]

*For Program Participation Records*

• Participant Tracking Data

*Number of Respondents:* 24 self-employment advisors at the demonstration's microdevelopment organizations (MDO) partner providers (on behalf of 1,500 program group members, each active for approximately 10 months).

*Frequency:* Monthly during two-year implementation period.

Number of Responses: 15,000 [ 10 monthly responses × 1,500 cases].[3]

*Average Time per Response:* 3 minutes.

*Estimated Burden Hours:* 750 hours [ = 15,000 × (3/60) ].

*Estimated Burden Cost:* $25,403 [ = 750 × $33.87 ].[4]

• Service Termination Information

*Number of Respondents:* 24 self-employment advisors at the SET Demonstration's microdevelopment organizations (MDO) partner providers (on behalf of each participant exiting the SET Demonstration before the end of the one-year service period).

*Frequency:* Once per case.

*Number of responses:* 225 [ 0.15 × 1,500 cases].

*Average Time per Response:* 20 minutes.

*Estimated Burden Hours:* 75 hours [ = 225 × (20/60) ].

*Estimated Burden Cost:* $2,450 [ = 75 × $33.87 ].

*Subtotal of Estimated Burden Hours, Program Participation Records:* 885 hours.

*Subtotal of Estimated Burden Cost, Program Participation Records:* $27,493.

*For the 12–Month Follow-Up Survey*

*Number of Respondents:* 2,400 study members.[5]

*Frequency:* Once.

*Average Time per Response:* 60 minutes.

*Estimated Burden Hours:* 2,400 hours [ = 2,400 × (60/60) ].

*Estimated Burden Cost:* $39,912 [ = 2,400 × $16.63 ].

*For Site Visit Data Collection*

*Number of Respondents:* 64 individuals, which includes 24 self-employment advisors at MDO partner providers, 16 OSCC administrators and staff, 24 additional staff members at organizations providing support for the demonstration.

*Frequency:* Twice.

*Number of responses:* 128 [ = 2 × (24 + 16 + 24) ].

*Average Time per Response:* 90 minutes.

*Estimated Burden Hours:* 192 hours [ = 128 × (90/60) ].

*Estimated Burden Cost:* $5,883 [ = 192 × ($33.87 × (24/64) + $28.70 × (40/64)) ].[6]

*For Case Study Interviews*

*Number of Respondents:* 32 selected members of the program group.

*Frequency:* Once.

*Average Time per Response:* 60 minutes.

*Estimated Burden Hours:* 32 hours [ = 32 × (60/60) ].

*Estimated Burden Cost:* $532 [ = 32 × $16.63 ].

TABLE 1—BURDEN ESTIMATES FOR SET EVALUATION DATA COLLECTION EFFORTS

| Respondents | Number of responses/instances of collection | Frequency of collection | Average time per response (minutes) | Burden (hours) | Burden cost [a] |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Consent and Application Forms:** | | | | | |
| Applicants to the program | 4,000 [b] | Once | 30 | 2,000 | $33,260 |
| **Program Participation Records:** | | | | | |
| *Participant Tracking Data:* | | | | | |
| Self-employment advisors | 24 respondents with 1,500 total cases [c] | 10 monthly responses per case, submitted over a two-year period [d] | 3 | 750 | 25,403 |
| *Service Termination Information:* | | | | | |

[1] This pool of 4,000 applicants is expected to be self-selected from a larger pool of dislocated workers after participating in online SET Demonstration orientation sessions. (No information will be collected in these orientation sessions.) It is anticipated that approximately 3,000 applicants will meet the demonstration's eligibility requirements; these successful applicants will be assigned with equal probability to the program and control groups.

[2] Hourly wage rates were calculated using the Project GATE public use dataset based on members of the control group who were (1) unemployed at baseline and (2) had collected UI benefits in the 12 months prior to applying to the program. (Project GATE files are available from ETA at: *http://www.doleta.gov/reports/projectgate/*.) This subgroup of the GATE study sample is likely to most closely resemble the pool of dislocated workers who will apply to the SET Demonstration. At the six-month follow-up survey (the midpoint of which was April, 2005), the average wage rate among employed members of this sub-group was $14.15. At the eighteen month follow-up, this average was $14.62. Adjusting for inflation, these wage rates translate to $16.62 and $16.64, respectively, in 2012 dollars. Given the similarity between these figures, a wage rate of $16.63 per hour is used for potential SET Demonstration applicants and participants.

[3] It is expected that most of the 1,500 program group members will remain active in the SET Demonstration for the entire one-year service period. However, some may become ''inactive'' before the end of the program. Specifically, it is assumed that 5 percent of the program group will drop out of the demonstration within the first month and another 15 percent will have their services terminated by the business development counselor within the first four months of participation. As a result, the average program group member will remain active for approximately 10 person-months.

[4] Based on the May 2011 National Occupational Employment and Wage Estimates maintained by the Bureau of Labor Statistics (*http://www.bls.gov/oes/current/oes_nat.htm*), the average wage for ''Business Operations Specialists, All Other'' was $32.21, which corresponds to $33.87 in 2012 dollars.

[5] It is assumed that the follow-up survey will achieve a response rate of 80 percent among individuals who were randomly assigned to the program and control groups.

[6] According to the May 2011 National Occupational Employment and Wage Estimates maintained by the Bureau of Labor Statistics (*http://www.bls.gov/oes/current/oes_nat.htm*), the average wage for ''Training and Development Specialists'' was $28.14, which corresponds to $28.70 in 2012 dollars. This wage rate is used for OSCC staff and additional staff members (other than the program's self-employment advisors) at organizations providing support for the demonstration.

TABLE 1—BURDEN ESTIMATES FOR SET EVALUATION DATA COLLECTION EFFORTS—Continued

| Respondents | Number of responses/instances of collection | Frequency of collection | Average time per response (minutes) | Burden (hours) | Burden cost [a] |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Self-employment advisors | 24 respondents with 225 total cases [e]. | Once | 20 | 75 | 2,540 |
| *Total for Program Participation Records.* | | | | 825 | 27,943 |
| **12-Month Follow-Up Survey:** | | | | | |
| Successful applicants who went through random assignment. | 2,400 [f] | Once | 60 | 2,400 | 39,912 |
| **Site Visits:** [g] | | | | | |
| Self-employment advisors and other staff at SET Demonstration partner providers. | 24 | Twice | 90 | 72 | 2,439 |
| OSCC administrators and case managers. | 16 | Twice | 90 | 48 | 1,378 |
| Other staff at organizations providing support for the demonstration. | 24 | Twice | 90 | 72 | 2,066 |
| *Total for Site Visits* | | | | 192 | 5,883 |
| **Case Study Interviews:** | | | | | |
| Selected members of the program group completing follow-up surveys. | 32 | Once | 60 | 32 | 532 |
| Total Burden | | | | 5,549 | 107,530 |

[a] As noted in the main text, burden cost calculations assume wage rates of (1) $16.63 per hour among potential applicants and participants in the SET Demonstration; (2) $33.87 per hour among self-employment advisors; and (3) $28.70 per hour among staff at OSCCs and other staff at organizations providing support for the demonstration.

[b] Although eligibility criteria will be explicitly outlined in publicity materials and orientation sessions for the program, it is assumed that approximately one in four applicants will be determined to be ineligible and, therefore, screened out. Thus, it is anticipated that 4,000 applications will be collected in order to enroll 3,000 study members.

[c] Each of the 1,500 members of program group will be tracked by one of 24 self-employment advisors.

[d] Given a one-year service period, it is expected that 10 monthly tracking reports per case, on average, will be received based on the following assumptions: (1) 5 percent of the program group will drop out of the demonstration within the first month after random assignment, and (2) another 15 percent will have services terminated and be referred back to an OSCC by SET self-employment advisors within the first four months after random assignment.

[e] An expected total of 225 service terminations will be initiated by one of 24 self-employment advisors.

[f] This figure assumes that the follow-up survey will achieve a response rate of 80 percent.

[g] The burden estimates for each site visit respondent include (1) time coordinating with the study team and preparing for the interview and (2) participating in the on-site meeting.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and may be included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval of the final information collection request. The comments will become a matter of public record.

Signed in Washington, DC this Tuesday of June 12, 2012.

**Jane Oates,**

*Assistant Secretary, Employment and Training Administration.*

[FR Doc. 2012–14921 Filed 6–19–12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510–FN–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

### Filing Location for Foreign Labor Certification Program Temporary Program Applications; Change of Address

**AGENCY:** Employment and Training Administration, Department of Labor.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This Notice announces a change in the location where applications for temporary labor certification programs will be filed and/or are being processed.

**DATES:** This notice is effective on August 2, 2012.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** William L. Carlson, Ph.D., Administrator, Office of Foreign Labor Certification, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Room C–4312, Washington, DC 20210; telephone: (202) 693–3010 (this is not a toll-free number).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

#### I. Background

The Office of Foreign Labor Certification (OFLC) provides national leadership and policy guidance, and develops regulations and procedures to carry out the responsibilities of the Secretary of Labor under the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) concerning foreign workers seeking admission to the United States (U.S.) in order to work under the labor certification programs authorized by the INA. In carrying out its statutory responsibility, OFLC administers both temporary nonimmigrant labor certification programs and the permanent immigrant labor certification program. The Secretary of Labor issues certifications in connection with several nonimmigrant visa programs as well as the permanent program. To obtain a labor certification under most labor certification programs administered by OFLC, employers must demonstrate that there are insufficient U.S. workers available, willing, and qualified to perform the work, and that the wage offered to the foreign worker(s) will not adversely impact U.S. workers similarly employed. These labor certification activities are carried out in two National Processing Centers (NPC), one in Atlanta, GA and one in Chicago, IL. The Chicago NPC is responsible for adjudicating all employer applications for temporary labor certification under the H–1B, H–1B1, E–3, H–2A, H–2B, and D–1 programs.