DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[LLCON00000 L10200000.DF0000 LXSS080C0000]

Notice of Public Meeting Location Change, Northwest Colorado Resource Advisory Council Meeting

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of Public Meeting Location Change.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Federal Land Policy and Management Act (FLPMA) and the Federal Advisory Committee Act of 1972 (FACA), the U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management (BLM) Northwest Colorado Resource Advisory Council (RAC) will meet on August 23 at the Rio Blanco County Fairgrounds in Meeker. This is a location change from what was announced in the March 30, 2012 **Federal Register**.

DATES: The Northwest Colorado RAC will meet August 23 beginning at 8 a.m. and adjourn at approximately 3:00 p.m., with public comment periods regarding matters on the agenda at 10 a.m. and 2 p.m. The agendas will be available before the meeting at *http://www.blm.gov/co/st/en/BLM_Resources/racs/nwrac.html.*

ADDRESSES: Rio Blanco County Fairgrounds, 700 Sulphur Creek Road, Meeker, CO.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David Boyd, Public Affairs Specialist, Colorado River Valley Field Office, 2300 River Frontage Road, Silt, CO, (970) 876–9008. Persons who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1–800–877–8339 to contact the above individual during normal business hours. The FIRS is available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, to leave a message or question with the above individual. You will receive a reply during normal business hours.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Northwest Colorado RAC advises the Secretary of the Interior, through the Bureau of Land Management, on a variety of public land issues in northwestern Colorado.

Topics of discussion during Northwest Colorado RAC meetings may include the BLM National Sage-Grouse Conservation Strategy, working group reports, recreation, fire management, land use planning, invasive species management, energy and minerals management, travel management, wilderness, wild horse herd management, land exchange proposals, cultural resource management, and other issues as appropriate. These meetings are open to the public. The public may present written comments to the RACs. Each formal RAC meeting will also have time, as identified above, allocated for hearing public comments. Depending on the number of persons wishing to comment and time available, the time for individual oral comments may be limited.

Subcommittees under this RAC meet regarding the McInnis Canyon National Conservation Area; Resource Management Plan revisions for the Colorado River Valley, Kremmling, and Grand Junction field offices; and the White River Field Office Resource Management Plan Oil and Gas Amendment. Subcommittees report to the NW RAC at each council meeting. Subcommittee meetings are open to the public. More information is available at *http://www.blm.gov/co/st/en/BLM_Resources/racs/nwrac.html.*

Dated: June 12, 2012.

Helen M. Hankins,

BLM Colorado State Director.

[FR Doc. 2012–15111 Filed 6–19–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–JB–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

[NPS–WASO–NRNHL–10455; 2200–3200–665]

National Register of Historic Places; Notification of Pending Nominations and Related Actions

Nominations for the following properties being considered for listing or related actions in the National Register were received by the National Park Service before May 26, 2012. Pursuant to section 60.13 of 36 CFR part 60, written comments are being accepted concerning the significance of the nominated properties under the National Register criteria for evaluation. Comments may be forwarded by United States Postal Service, to the National Register of Historic Places, National Park Service, 1849 C St. NW., MS 2280, Washington, DC 20240; by all other carriers, National Register of Historic Places, National Park Service,1201 Eye St. NW., 8th floor, Washington, DC 20005; or by fax, 202–371–6447. Written or faxed comments should be submitted by July 5, 2012. Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Dated: May 31, 2012.

J. Paul Loether,

Chief, National Register of Historic Places/National Historic Landmarks Program.

CALIFORNIA

Humboldt County

Tishawnik, Address Restricted, Orleans, 12000397

Sierra County

Durgan Bridge, (Highway Bridges of California MPS) Nevada St., Downieville, 12000398

Hansen Bridge, (Highway Bridges of California MPS) E. River St. between Upper Main, & Pearl Sts., Downieville, 12000399

Hospital Bridge, (Highway Bridges of California MPS) Upper Main St. over Downie R., Downieville, 12000400

Jersey Bridge, (Highway Bridges of California MPS) CA 49 from Main to Commercial Sts., Downieville, 12000401

Solano County

Sacramento Northern Railway Historic District, 5848 CA 12, Suisun City, 12000402

IOWA

Plymouth County

Akron Opera House, (Footlights in Farm Country: Iowa Opera Houses MPS) 151 Reed St., Akron, 12000403

MASSACHUSETTS

Middlesex County

Central Square Historic District (Boundary Increase), Roughly 831 to 351–355 Massachusetts Ave., Cambridge, 12000404

NEW YORK

Columbia County

Copake Falls Methodist Episcopal Church, Miles Rd., Copake Falls, 12000405

Van Buren, Martin, National Historic Site (Boundary Increase), 1013 Old Post Rd., Kinderhook, 12000406

Onondaga County

Scottholm Tract Historic District, Roughly bounded by E. Genesee St., Scottholm Terrace, Meadowbrook Dr., & Bradford Pkwy., Syracuse, 12000407

Rockland County

Brookside, 406 N. Broadway, Upper Nyack, 12000408

VERMONT

Chittenden County

Mad River Glen Ski Area Historic District, McCullough Tpk., Fayston, 12000409

Windsor County

Terraces Historic District, 22–60 Maplewood Terr., 2–364 Fairview Terr., 12–249 Hillcrest Terr., 82, 176 Forest Hills Ave., Hartford, 12000410

[FR Doc. 2012–14975 Filed 6–19–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312–51–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–741/749]

Certain Liquid Crystal Display Devices, Including Monitors, Televisions, Modules, and Components Thereof; Final Determination of No Violation of Section 337 With Respect to U.S. Patent Nos. 5,978,063; 5,648,674; 5,621,556; and 5,375,006 and Termination of the Investigation as to Those Patents and Remand of the Investigation as to U.S. Patent No. 6,121,941

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to reverse the determination of the presiding administrative law judge (''ALJ'') that found a violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 with respect to U.S. Patent No. 5,648,674 (''the '674 patent''), and to affirm, with modifications, the determination of the ALJ that found no violation with respect to U.S. Patent Nos. 5,978,063 (''the '063 patent''); 5,648,674 (''the '674 patent''); 5,621,556 (''the '556 patent''); and 5,375,006 (''the '006 patent''). The Commission hereby terminates the investigation with a finding of no violation as to the '006, '063, '556 and '674 patents. With respect to U.S. Patent No. 6,121,941 (''the '941 patent''), the Commission has determined to issue a remand to the ALJ to determine whether the asserted claims are invalid in view of the ViewFrame II+2 prior art.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jia Chen, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708–4737. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at *http://www.usitc.gov.* The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at *http://edis.usitc.gov.* Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted Inv. No. 337–TA–741 on October 18, 2010, based on a complaint filed by Thomson Licensing SAS of France and Thomson Licensing LLC of Princeton, New Jersey (collectively ''Thomson''). 75 FR 63856 (Oct. 18, 2010). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended 19 U.S.C. 1337, by reason of infringement of various claims of the '941,'063,'674,'556; and '006 patents. The Commission instituted Inv. No. 337–TA–749 on November 30, 2010, based on a complaint filed by Thomson. 75 FR 74080 (Nov. 30, 2010). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 by reason of infringement of various claims of the '063, '556, and '006 patents. On January 5, 2011, the Commission consolidated the two investigations. The respondents are Chimei InnoLux Corporation of Miaoli County, Taiwan and InnoLux Corportation of Austin, Texas (collectively, ''CMI''); MStar Semiconductor Inc. of ChuPei, Taiwan (''MStar''); Qisda Corporation of Taoyuan, Taiwan and Qisda America Corporation of Irvine, California (collectively, ''Qisda''); and BenQ Corporation of Taipei, Taiwan, BenQ America Corporation of Irvine, California, and BenQ Latin America Corporation of Miami, Florida (collectively ''BenQ''); Realtek Semicondustor Corp. of Hsinchu, Taiwan (''Realtek''); and AU Optronics Corp. of Hsinchu, Taiwan and AU Optronics Corp. America of Houston, Texas (collectively ''AUO'').

On January 12, 2012, the ALJ issued the subject ID finding a violation of Section 337 with respect to the '674 patent. The ALJ found that the CMI accused products including the Type 2 Array Circuitry and any Qisda or BenQ accused products incorporating these CMI accused products infringe the asserted claims of the '674 patent. The ALJ found that no other accused products infringe the '674 patent. The ALJ also found that no accused products infringe the asserted claims of the '063 patent, the '006 patent, the '556 patent, or the '941 patent. The ALJ also found that claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 8, 11, 12, 14, and 18 of the '063 patent are invalid for obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103, and that claims 4 and 14 of the '006 patent are invalid as anticipated under 35 U.S.C. 102. The ALJ further found that claim 17 of the '063 patent, claim 7 of the '006 patent, and the asserted claims of the '556 patent, the '674 patent, and the '941 patent are not invalid. The ALJ concluded that a domestic industry exists in the United States that exploits the asserted patents as required by 19 U.S.C. 1337(a)(2). On January 25, 2011, Thomson, CMI, MStar, Realtek, and AUO each filed a petition for review of the ID. BenQ and Qisda filed a joint petition for review incorporating the other respondents' arguments by reference.

On March 26, 2012 the Commission determined to review (1) Claim construction of the limitation ''layer'' of the asserted claims of the '006 patent; (2) infringement of the asserted claims of the '006 patent; (3) anticipation of claims 4 and 7 of the '006 patent by Scheuble; (4) the claim construction of the limitations ''mechanically rubbing''/''mechanically rubbed,'' ''a plurality of spacing elements,'' and ''an affixing layer'' of the asserted claims of the '063 patent; (5) infringement of the asserted claims of the '063 patent; (6) obviousness of the asserted claims of the '063 patent in view of Sugata and Tsuboyama; (7) whether Lowe and Miyazaki are prior art to the asserted claims of the '063 patent; (8) anticipation of the asserted claims of the '063 patent by Lowe; (9) anticipation of the asserted claims of the '063 patent by Miyazaki; (10) obviousness of the asserted claim of the '556 patent in view of Takizawa and Possin; (11) anticipation and obviousness of the asserted claims of the '674 patent in view of Fujitsu; (12) claim construction of the ''second rate'' ''determined by'' limitation of the asserted claims of the '941 patent and the ''input video signal'' limitation of claim 4 of the '941 patent; (13) infringement of the asserted claims of the '941 patent; (14) anticipation of the asserted claims of the '941 patent by Baba; (15) exclusion of evidence of the ViewFrame II+2 LCD Panel; and (16) economic prong of the domestic industry requirement.

On March 26, 2012, the Commission also determined to review and to take no position on the claim construction of the terms ''drain electrodes'' and ''source electrodes'' of the '556 patent. The Commission requested briefing from the parties on the issues on review, as well as on remedy, the public interest, and bonding.

Having examined the record of this investigation, including the ALJ's final ID and the submissions of the parties,